



**800 MHz TRANSITION ADMINISTRATOR, LLC
QUARTERLY PROGRESS REPORT
FOR THE QUARTER ENDED MARCH 31, 2008**

JUNE 6, 2008

Brett Haan, Managing Director

BearingPoint, Inc.

1676 International Drive

McLean, VA 22102

T: 703.747.4968

Brett.Haan@BearingPoint.com**Kamesh Mullapudi, Senior Manager**

BearingPoint, Inc.

1676 International Drive

McLean, VA 22102

T: 703.747.6820

Kamesh.Mullapudi@BearingPoint.com**Robert B. Kelly, Partner**

Squire, Sanders & Dempsey L.L.P.

1201 Pennsylvania Ave., NW

Washington, DC 20004

T: 202.626.6216

F: 202.626.6780

rkelly@ssd.com**Douglas L. Povich, Partner**

Squire, Sanders & Dempsey L.L.P.

8000 Towers Crescent Dr. 14th Floor

Tysons Corner, VA 22182

T: 703.720.7888

F: 703.720.7801

dpovich@ssd.com**Alan J. (Joe) Boyer, President**

Baseline Wireless Services, LLC

2770 Arapahoe Road, Suite 132-133

Lafayette, CO 80026

T: 303.444.1480

F: 888.361.0603

JBoyer@BaselineTelecom.com

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
OVERVIEW.....	1
I. Program-wide Matters and Recent developments.....	6
A. Recent Developments Regarding the Schedule	6
1. Deadlines for Completion of Planning	6
2. Border Area Licensees.....	6
B. Sprint Nextel's Monthly Reports	7
C. 30-Month Benchmark	8
D. Requests for Waiver of June 26, 2008 Deadline.....	9
II. Stage 1: Channels 1-120.....	10
A. Planning Funding	10
B. Negotiations	10
C. Reconfiguration Implementation Progress.....	12
III. Stage 2: NPSPAC and Public Safety Expansion Band	14
A. Planning and Planning Funding	14
B. Negotiations	14
1. Wave 1, Stage 2	16
2. Wave 2, Stage 2	16
3. Wave 3, Stage 2	17
4. Wave 4, Stage 2	17
C. Reconfiguration Implementation	18
1. Reconfiguration of Subscriber Equipment.....	20
2. Implementation Planning Sessions	21
3. Implementation Disputes	22
IV. KEY RECONFIGURATION DATA	23
A. Licenses to Be Reconfigured	23
B. Frequency Proposals	24
C. Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement Review.....	25
1. Stage 1	25
2. Stage 2	26
D. FCC Reconfiguration Applications.....	27
E. Status of Reconfiguration Completion Certifications (Closing).....	27

V.	COMMUNICATIONS WITH STAKEHOLDERS	30
A.	Stakeholder Inquiries	30
B.	TA-Produced Materials and the TA's Website.....	30
C.	Outreach Events and TA-Sponsored Education and Training	31
1.	Meetings and Conferences.....	31
2.	Webinars.....	31
3.	Licensee Outreach Campaigns.....	32
VI.	FINANCIAL.....	33
A.	Reconfiguration Expenditures	33
1.	800 MHz Incumbent Licensee Reconfiguration Costs	33
2.	Sprint Nextel Costs	33
3.	1.9 GHz Clearing Costs	34
4.	Estimated Total Reconfiguration Expenditures	34
B.	Letters of Credit	34
C.	800 MHz Incumbent Licensee Reviews	35
D.	External Audit.....	35
E.	Transition Administrator.....	35
1.	Fees, Expenses, and Staffing	35
2.	Disclosure of Non-Reconfiguration Fees.....	36

List of Appendices

APPENDIX 1	Status of Negotiations for Licensees in Channels 1-120: Milestones Completed by Number of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreements, Per Wave, Per Region, as of March 31, 2008
APPENDIX 2	Status of Reconfiguration for Licensees in Channels 1-120, the Expansion Band, NPSPAC Channels, and the Southeast ESMR Band: Milestones Completed by Number of Call Signs, Per Wave, Per Region, as of March 31, 2008, and Maps of Channels 1-120 Clearing and Southeast ESMR Band Clearing
APPENDIX 3	Status of Negotiations for Licensees in NPSPAC Channels: Milestones Completed by Number of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreements, Per Wave, Per Region, as of March 31, 2008
APPENDIX 4	Entities Filing Expansion Band Elections, as of March 31, 2008
APPENDIX 5	Call Sign-Related Reconfiguration Information, as of March 31, 2008
APPENDIX 6	Status of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement Review for Channels 1-120, Per Wave, Per Region, as of March 31, 2008
APPENDIX 7	Status of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement Review for NPSPAC Channels, Per Wave, Per Region, as of March 31, 2008
APPENDIX 8	Summary of FRAs and PFAs that have Closed, as of March 31, 2008
APPENDIX 9	Stakeholder Outreach Activities: Meeting and Conference Attendance by TA Representatives for Quarter Ended March 31, 2008 and Quarter Ending June 30, 2008
APPENDIX 10	800 MHz Transition Administrator, LLC Fees and Expenses through March 31, 2008

OVERVIEW

The 800 MHz Transition Administrator, LLC (“TA”) provides its Quarterly Progress Report to the Federal Communications Commission (“FCC”) regarding the progress of the reconfiguration of the 800 MHz band for the quarter ended March 31, 2008. Pursuant to the FCC’s *Reconfiguration Orders*,¹ the TA, as the manager of the reconfiguration effort, is required to report on a quarterly basis the progress of band reconfiguration.²

The band reconfiguration program generally consists of two broad stages of activity: the clearing of 806-809 MHz/851-854 MHz (Channels 1-120) and the relocation of Public Safety’s NPSPAC channel users to this vacated spectrum. At the beginning of the program, the FCC’s *Report and Order* envisioned two phases of reconfiguration for each of these stages – the negotiation phase that results in a Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement (“FRA”) between the licensee and Sprint Nextel and the implementation phase that consists of the actual reconfiguration of the radio systems.

Stage 1: Clearing of Channels 1-120

Stage 1, the reconfiguration of 800 MHz systems that were previously operating on Channels 1-120, is largely complete in non-border-affected areas, clearing the way for relocation of NPSPAC licensees. As of March 31, 2008, 98 percent of the 922 Stage 1 FRAs for licensees not affected by border areas have been successfully negotiated and submitted to the TA. In addition, Sprint Nextel reported that 93 percent of Stage 1 non-border area FRAs, accounting for 63 percent of all Stage 1 call signs, have completed implementation and been cleared from Channels 1-120 as of March 31, 2008.

Stage 2: NPSPAC and Public Safety Expansion Band Reconfiguration

Stage 2 of the program, the relocation of Public Safety licensees operating on NPSPAC and Expansion Band frequencies, has seen continued progress during the first quarter of 2008.

¹ Improving Public Safety Communications in the 800 MHz Band, *Report and Order, Fifth Report and Order, Fourth Memorandum Opinion and Order*, 19 FCC Rcd 14969 (2004) (“*Report and Order*”); as amended by *Erratum*, WT Docket No. 02-55 (rel. Sept. 10, 2005); *Second Erratum*, 19 FCC Rcd 19651 (2004); “Commission Seeks Comment on *Ex Parte* Presentations and Extends Certain Deadlines Regarding the 800 MHz Public Safety Interference Proceeding,” *Public Notice*, 19 FCC Rcd 21492 (2004); *Third Erratum*, 19 FCC Rcd 21818 (2004); *Supplemental Order and Order on Reconsideration*, 19 FCC Rcd 25120 (2004) (“*Supplemental Order*”); *Erratum*, WT Docket No. 02-55 (rel. Jan. 19, 2005); *Memorandum Opinion and Order*, 20 FCC Rcd 16015 (2005) (“*Memorandum Opinion and Order*”); *Second Memorandum Opinion and Order*, 22 FCC Rcd 10467 (2007); *Erratum*, WT Docket No. 02-55 (rel. July 26, 2007); *Third Memorandum Opinion and Order*, 22 FCC Rcd 17209 (2007) (“*Third Memorandum Opinion and Order*”); “FCC Announces Supplemental Procedures and Provides Guidance for Completion of 800 MHz Rebanding,” *Public Notice*, 22 FCC Rcd 17227 (2007) (“*September 12, 2007 Public Notice*”); “Federal Communications Commission Provides Guidance for Submission of Requests for Waiver of June 26, 2008 Deadline for Completion of 800 MHz Rebanding,” *Public Notice*, 23 FCC Rcd 664 (2008) (“*January 17, 2008 Public Notice*”); *Second Report and Order*, WT Docket No. 02-55, DA 08-1094 (rel. May 9, 2008) (“*Second Report and Order*”) (collectively “*Reconfiguration Orders*”).

² 47 C.F.R. § 90.676(b)(3).

As shown in the table below, a greater number of Stage 2 licensees are engaged in implementation than in planning or negotiations.

Table 1: Reconfiguration Status of Stage 2 FRAs as of March 31, 2008

Reconfiguration Milestone	Number of FRAs	Percentage
Licensees in Planning or Negotiations (by FRA) ³	310	36%
Those licensees with planning complete and a cost estimate submitted to Sprint Nextel that are negotiating an FRA	118	
FRAs Submitted to the TA	558	64%
FRAs where licensees have transitioned to new channels ⁴	136	
Total Anticipated FRAs (Non-Border Area)	868	

The number of non-border area Stage 2 licensees in the planning process continued to decline during the first quarter as licensees completed their planning activities and initiated negotiations regarding their reconfiguration costs. Many licensees that had not completed planning by the deadlines established in the FCC's *September 12, 2007 Public Notice* requested extensions of the deadlines from the FCC's Public Safety and Homeland Security Bureau ("PSHSB"). Wave 1 and 2 licensees that had not completed planning by March 17, 2008 and Waves 3 and 4 licensees that had not completed planning by April 15, 2008 were directed to request further planning extensions as part of their requests for interim waivers of the June 26, 2008 deadline. Many of the licensees with long planning cycles have large and complex systems with extensive interoperability with other systems. The FCC has encouraged licensees to consider expediting the reconfiguration implementation process through early replacement or retuning of equipment under the Subscriber Equipment Deployment ("SED") program. As shown in Table 1, approximately 38 percent of the licensees that had not completed FRA negotiations had completed planning and submitted a cost estimate to Sprint Nextel and were either (1) in the process of negotiating an FRA or (2) had reached agreement but had not yet executed their FRA as of March 31, 2008.

The TA also continues to see progress in Stage 2 FRA negotiations, which have generally proceeded in accord with the new timelines for FRA negotiations and mediation set forth in the FCC's *September 12, 2007 Public Notice*. During the first quarter, parties completed negotiations on and submitted to the TA 70 additional Stage 2 FRAs, raising the total completed by more than 14 percent to 558 FRAs (64 percent of non-border area Stage 2 FRAs) as of March

³ This shows the number of expected FRAs for licensees that are in planning, negotiations or mediation. While the majority of these are for mediations where the parties had negotiated PFAs and the licensees are in various stages of planning, the remaining mediations involve other situations, such as licensees that had reached verbal agreement but had not yet executed FRAs or mediations with disputes referred to the FCC for *de novo* review.

⁴ The number of Stage 2 FRAs where licensees have completed FRA negotiations and transitioned to their replacement channels through March 31, 2008, according to milestone data provided by Sprint Nextel.

31, 2008. For the most part, licensees and Sprint Nextel are reaching verbal agreement with respect to the terms of an FRA within the timeframe prescribed by the FCC, with very few disputes referred to the FCC.

As of March 31, 2008, Public Safety licensees in 136 Stage 2 FRAs had completed the transition to their new channels, including 73 FRAs for NPSPAC licensees. In addition, the TA had received 145 Retuning Schedule Letters (“RSLs”) memorializing the channel clearing schedule that the licensee and Sprint Nextel had agreed upon. Those licensees had not completed the transition to their new channels as of March 31, 2008. Many of the channel clearing schedules set forth in RSLs resulted from scheduling discussions at an Implementation Planning Session.

The TA’s focus continues to be on facilitating and monitoring implementation. The TA will continue to conduct regional Implementation Planning Sessions (“IPS” or “sessions”) with NPSPAC licensees, their vendors, consultants, and Sprint Nextel to develop consolidated implementation schedules. Through the end of the first quarter, the TA had conducted 20 IPS in 18 NPSPAC regions that were attended by approximately 271 licensees operating more than 486,000 radios. These sessions have been successful in building a common view among all stakeholders of licensee interdependencies and scheduling needs, and they have enabled parties to reach an understanding on the timing of clearing and surrendering frequencies for those licensees that are ready to transition to the new channels. The TA will continue to hold sessions in other regions as more licensees complete their agreements.

The TA continues to track, monitor, and report on the progress of reconfiguration implementation by licensees in each NPSPAC region. During the second quarter of 2008, the TA will continue follow-up with licensees that have attended an Implementation Planning Session to determine if their reconfiguration implementation is proceeding as planned and on schedule. The TA will continue to monitor licensees’ reconfiguration progress against the IPS schedule. In cases where licensees appear to be at risk of not meeting key milestones in their schedule, the TA will take appropriate measures to assist the licensees and in communicating with the FCC and all affected interoperability partners.

Requests for Waiver of the June 26, 2008 Deadline

On January 17, 2008, the FCC released a Public Notice establishing procedures and providing guidance to licensees regarding submission of requests for waiver of the June 26, 2008 deadline for completion of 800 MHz rebanding. The FCC’s Public Notice stated that any “non-border licensee that will require additional time past June 26, 2008 to complete rebanding must request a waiver of the deadline” from the PSHSB.⁵ Licensees submitting a request for waiver were required to demonstrate that they have worked diligently and in good faith to complete rebanding expeditiously, and that the additional time requested is no more than reasonably necessary to complete the rebanding process. Licensees also were expected to set forth their timetables for completing reconfiguration implementation. The FCC established deadlines, staggered by wave, for licensees to file requests for waiver. The TA developed a template

⁵ See January 17, 2008 Public Notice at 1.

Request for Waiver for licensees to use to provide this information.⁶ The FCC encouraged licensees filing requests for waiver to utilize the Request for Waiver templates and to request only such time as is needed to complete reconfiguration.

There have been 500 requests for waiver filed by licensees across all waves, including 171 for Wave 1, 119 for Wave 2, 175 for Wave 3, and 35 for Wave 4. Approximately 55 percent of the licensees filing requests for waiver had not completed FRA negotiations as of the filing deadline and therefore filed requests for “interim” waivers. The remaining licensees have an executed FRA and filed requests for waiver. Those licensees have requested various dates for completing their reconfiguration implementation, ranging from a few months to a few years beyond June 26, 2008. Licensees that have filed requests for waiver should continue to move forward expeditiously with their reconfiguration activities while the request is pending before the FCC.

On May 1, 2008, Sprint Nextel filed a request for waiver with the FCC seeking to maintain continued access to Channels 1-120 until NPSPAC licensees are ready to retune their systems, at which point Sprint Nextel states it will vacate the applicable channels on 60 days notice.⁷ Sprint Nextel’s filing addresses the criteria set forth in the FCC’s *Third Memorandum Opinion and Order* for Sprint Nextel to seek a waiver to remain on Channels 1-120.

Band Plan for U.S.-Canada Border Regions

On May 9, 2008, the FCC’s PSHSB released a *Second Report and Order* that established a reconfigured 800 MHz band plan in the U.S.-Canada border regions. The FCC established a 30-month transition period, of which the planning and negotiation process is expected to take approximately seven to eight months and implementation would take approximately 22 to 23 months.

The *Second Report and Order* also established timelines for planning, negotiations, and implementation in the border areas. The FCC adopted timelines for planning, negotiations, and mediation that are consistent with the expedited timelines in the FCC’s *September 12, 2007 Public Notice*. A licensee that intends to negotiate a Planning Funding Agreement (“PFA”) with Sprint Nextel must submit Request for Planning Funding (“RFPF”) to Sprint Nextel within 60 days of the effective date of the order. The parties will have 30 days for PFA negotiations. Once the PFA is approved by the TA, a licensee will have 90 to 110 days for planning, depending on number of radios in its system. Once planning is complete and a cost estimate is submitted to Sprint Nextel, the parties have 30 days for FRA negotiations. If they are unable to negotiate an FRA within 30 days, the parties will participate in mediation for 20 days.

The FCC directed the TA, within 60 days of the effective date of the order, to develop a more detailed region-by-region timetable with sequential milestones for completion of each stage

⁶ The template, which includes a Waiver Request Information Form, is available at http://www.800TA.org/content/documents/rebanding_deadline_extension_process.asp.

⁷ See Letter from L. Krevor, Sprint Nextel Corporation, to M. Dortch, Federal Communications Commission, “Waiver – Expedited Action Requested,” WT Docket No. 02-55 (filed May 1, 2008).

of the implementation process. The FCC stated that this timetable “should take into account both variations in border region band plans and the specific steps required in each border region to implement both Stage 1 relocation of lower-band licensees and Stage 2 relocation of NPSPAC licensees.”⁸

In the meantime, licensees in the Canadian border regions may proceed with planning or negotiation activities to the extent that they are not frequency-dependent and would not result in unnecessary duplication of costs. In the coming months, such licensees will receive a Frequency Proposal Report (“FPR”) from the TA that will detail their proposed replacement frequencies.

In closing, measurable progress continues to be made in the rebanding program and most activities are proceeding smoothly, even though many licensees will require more time beyond June 26, 2008.

⁸ *Second Report and Order* at ¶ 42.

I. PROGRAM-WIDE MATTERS AND RECENT DEVELOPMENTS

This section of the Quarterly Progress Report discusses recent developments in the reconfiguration program, identifies program-wide issues, and describes specific actions that the FCC and the TA have taken to address issues identified to date.

A. Recent Developments Regarding the Schedule

The 800 MHz band reconfiguration program commenced on June 27, 2005. As of March 31, 2008, the voluntary and mandatory negotiation periods, as described in the TA's Regional Prioritization Plan ("RPP"), had concluded for all waves and stages, except for border area licensees.

1. Deadlines for Completion of Planning

The FCC's *September 12, 2007 Public Notice* established deadlines for NPSPAC (Stage 2) licensees to complete planning and submit a cost estimate to Sprint Nextel.⁹ Planning deadlines were staggered by wave, with Wave 1, Stage 2 licensees to complete planning by October 15, 2007, Wave 2 by November 15, 2007, and Wave 3 by December 15, 2007. Wave 4, Stage 2 licensees and those licensees that had not yet begun planning were given 90 to 110 days from the date of TA approval of their Planning Funding Agreement to complete planning, depending upon the size of their system. Licensees requiring additional time to complete planning were directed to request an extension from the FCC's PSHSB, and many licensees submitted extension requests during the fourth quarter of 2007 and the first quarter of 2008. Some licensees whose extension requests were granted by the PSHSB were not able to complete planning by the extended deadline and submitted additional extension requests to the PSHSB. Waves 1 and 2 licensees that had not completed planning by March 17, 2008 and Waves 3 and 4 licensees that had not completed planning by April 15, 2008 were encouraged to request further planning extensions as part of their requests for interim waiver of the June 26, 2008 deadline. A list of PSHSB grants of extension requests appears on the FCC's website at <http://www.fcc.gov/pshs/docs/public-safety-spectrum/800mhz-rebanding-extensions.pdf>.

2. Border Area Licensees

Additional schedule changes were made for licensees that hold call signs with locations in the international border areas defined by the FCC.¹⁰ On March 31, 2008, the FCC issued a Public Notice modifying the schedule for border area non-NPSPAC (Stage 1) and NPSPAC (Stage 2) licensees in Wave 4.¹¹ The FCC extended the mandatory negotiation periods until July 1, 2008 and postponed the start of the mediation period until July 2, 2008 for such licensees.

⁹ See *September 12, 2007 Public Notice*.

¹⁰ Locations within 110 km (68.4 miles) of the U.S./Mexico border or within 140 km (87 miles) of the U.S./Canada border are within the FCC-defined border area.

¹¹ See "Public Safety and Homeland Security Bureau Extends 800 MHz Rebanding Negotiation Periods for Wave 4 Border Area NPSPAC and Non-NPSPAC Licensees," *Public Notice*, WT Docket No. 02-55, DA 08-766 (rel. Mar. 31, 2008) ("*Wave 4 Extension Public Notice*").

The FCC also extended the filing freeze on new applications in Wave 4 border areas until August 13, 2008. There are 201 licensees in Wave 4, Stage 1 and 199 licensees in Wave 4, Stage 2 that hold call signs with sites located in, or adjacent to, FCC-defined border areas with Mexico and Canada that are affected by this extension. As a result of the *Second Report and Order* that set forth the band plan for the Canadian border regions, the number of Wave 4 licensees will increase. The development of the Mexican border area band plan thus remains a necessary milestone for successful completion of the reconfiguration program.

During the extended negotiation periods, Wave 4 licensees affected by the border areas are not required to engage in planning or negotiation activities prior to receiving proposed replacement frequencies from the TA, although the FCC has encouraged them to engage in such activities to the extent that they are not frequency-dependent and would not result in unnecessary duplication of costs.¹² If planning funding is required, licensees will need to submit a Request for Planning Funding to the TA and negotiate a PFA with Sprint Nextel.¹³ Licensees may submit an RFPF prior to receiving replacement frequencies.

B. Sprint Nextel's Monthly Reports

The FCC's *Third Memorandum Opinion and Order* required Sprint Nextel to complete relocation of all non-Sprint Nextel, non-SouthernLINC Channels 1-120 incumbent licensees by December 26, 2007, with certain exceptions.¹⁴ The FCC required Sprint Nextel to file monthly reports with the FCC and the TA on its clearing of Channels 1-120 spectrum.¹⁵ During the first quarter, Sprint Nextel filed reports on January 2, 2008, February 1, 2008, and March 3, 2008. Sprint Nextel also filed a report on April 1, 2008, in which it reported that it has cleared all non-Sprint Nextel, non-SouthernLINC incumbent licensees from Channels 1-120 in 42 NPSPAC regions (an increase of three regions since January 2, 2008) and that more than 95 percent of Stage 1 licensees have been retuned.¹⁶ Sprint Nextel reported that of the 14 remaining NPSPAC regions or U.S. Territories, 11 of these regions have three or fewer Channels 1-120 licensees that remain to be retuned. In its March 3, 2008 report, Sprint Nextel announced that all of SouthernLINC's 1-120 Channels were retuned to new channel assignments in the new ESMR-band in the Southeastern U.S., leaving Sprint Nextel as the sole ESMR operator in Channels 1-

¹² See *Wave 4 Extension Public Notice*.

¹³ For Wave 4, Stage 1 and 2 licensees that did not receive Frequency Proposal Reports by August 1, 2006, RFPF submissions (if necessary) will be due 45 days from the date of an FPR mailing to the licensee. The RFPF deadline will be noted in the FPR cover letter.

¹⁴ See *Third Memorandum Opinion and Order* at ¶¶ 20-21. Excepted from this requirement are Wave 4, Stage 1 border area licensees, Puerto Rico licensees, and Stage 1 licensees that also have NPSPAC facilities that have planned to relocate both their Channels 1-120 and NPSPAC facilities in Stage 2.

¹⁵ See *id.* at ¶¶ 29-31. The reports must include the following information: (1) the number of licensees that have been cleared from Channels 1-120 and the number that remain to be cleared; (2) the number of Channels 1-120 frequencies being used by Sprint Nextel in its own network and the number it has vacated; and (3) information regarding requests by NPSPAC licensees for Sprint Nextel to vacate Channels 1-120 frequencies.

¹⁶ See Sprint Nextel, "Sprint Nextel's Status Report on 800 MHz Band Reconfiguration," WT Docket No. 02-55, at 2 (filed Apr. 1, 2008).

120 in the five SouthernLINC-impacted NPSPAC regions.¹⁷ For Stage 2, Sprint Nextel's April report provided a list of 167 NPSPAC licensees (up from 88 licensees as of January 2, 2008) that have agreed upon a date with Sprint Nextel by which Sprint Nextel will clear Channels 1-120 and have established with Sprint Nextel a date certain for starting and completing their physical retuning work.

C. 30-Month Benchmark

The *Report and Order* established a 30-month benchmark for the 800 MHz band reconfiguration process and all systems must have commenced reconfiguration by this date.¹⁸ Under the rebanding schedule, this 30-month date fell on December 26, 2007. The FCC provided additional guidance regarding the 30-month benchmark in the *Third Memorandum Opinion and Order*. Stage 2 licensees in compliance as of December 26, 2007 with the timelines set forth in the *September 12, 2007 Public Notice* for non-border area NPSPAC licensees were deemed to have met the 30-month benchmark. The 30-month benchmark does not apply to Wave 4 licensees that have not received frequency assignments because their systems are in border regions affected by ongoing negotiations with Canada and Mexico.¹⁹

In the *Third Memorandum Opinion and Order*, the FCC directed the TA to submit a report to the PSHSB regarding whether the 30-month benchmark has been met.²⁰ The TA's report, which was submitted on January 15, 2008, certified (1) whether all Stage 1 (Channel 1-120) incumbent licensees in the non-border areas had met the 30-month benchmark and whether Stage 2 licensees that had received frequency assignments from the TA as of September 12, 2007 had complied with the timelines set forth in the *September 12, 2007 Public Notice*.²¹ For Stage 1, the vast majority of non-border licensees had entered into FRAs and completed, or substantially completed, reconfiguration implementation. The TA found 24 licensees that did not have signed FRAs as of December 26, 2007, indicating that they had not commenced reconfiguration of their systems. For Stage 2, the TA found that 86 Wave 1, 60 Wave 2, 115 Wave 3, and 21 Wave 4 licensees did not complete planning and submit a cost estimate in accordance with the dates set forth in the *September 12, 2007 Public Notice*, including those licensees whose initial deadlines for submitting cost estimates are after December 26, 2007. The report noted that, "[b]ased on the status of reconfiguration activities at the 30-month benchmark date, the TA anticipates that a significant number of NPSPAC licensees, and possibly a majority, will not have completed their reconfigurations by the scheduled June 26, 2008 completion date."²²

¹⁷ See Sprint Nextel, "Sprint Nextel's Status Report on 800 MHz Band Reconfiguration," WT Docket No. 02-55, at 2 (filed Mar. 3, 2008).

¹⁸ See *Report and Order* at ¶¶ 28, 214, 347; see also 47 C.F.R. 90.677(a).

¹⁹ See *Third Memorandum Opinion and Order* at ¶ 33.

²⁰ See *id.* at ¶ 34.

²¹ See Letter from B. Haan, 800 MHz Transition Administrator, LLC, to D. Furth, Federal Communications Commission, "30-Month Benchmark Report," WT Docket No. 02-55, at 1 (Jan. 15, 2008).

²² *Id.* at 2.

D. Requests for Waiver of June 26, 2008 Deadline

On January 17, 2008, the FCC released a Public Notice establishing procedures and providing guidance to 800 MHz licensees regarding submission of requests for waiver of the June 26, 2008 deadline for completion of 800 MHz rebanding. The FCC's Public Notice stated that any "800 MHz non-border licensee that will require additional time past June 26, 2008 to complete rebanding must request a waiver of the deadline" from the PSHSB.²³

The TA developed a template Request for Waiver, which includes a Waiver Request Information Form, for licensees to use to provide this information.²⁴ The FCC recommended that licensees use this template in preparing their requests and request only such time as is needed to complete reconfiguration.

In the Public Notice, the FCC established deadlines, staggered by wave, for licensees to file requests for waiver. If a licensee had not executed an FRA with Sprint Nextel by the applicable filing deadline, it should have filed a request for interim waiver.

Waves 1 and 2 licensees filed requests for waiver during the first quarter. 171 Wave 1 licensees and 119 Wave 2 licensees filed requests for waiver. Approximately 47 percent of the Waves 1 and 2 licensees filing such requests had not completed FRA negotiations as of the filing deadline and therefore filed requests for "interim" waiver. The remaining licensees have an executed FRA and filed standard requests for waiver. Those licensees have requested various dates for completing their reconfiguration implementation, ranging from a few months to a few years beyond June 2008. Licensees that have filed requests for waiver should continue to move forward expeditiously with their reconfiguration activities while the request is pending before the FCC.

The TA has created a webpage that provides information on requests for waiver received by the TA. The link to this page can be found on the TA's website at http://www.800TA.org/content/documents/waiver_requests.asp. The following table contains information about the requests for waiver.

Table 2: Requests for Waiver of the June 26, 2008 Deadline, as of April 25, 2008

Wave	Total Number of Requests	Interim	Standard
1	171	73	98
2	119	63	56
3	175	119	56
4	35	21	14
Total Requests	500		

²³ See January 17, 2008 Public Notice at 1.

²⁴ The template is available on the TA's website at http://www.800TA.org/content/documents/rebanding_deadline_extension_process.asp.

II. STAGE 1: CHANNELS 1-120

This section of the Quarterly Progress Report summarizes the status of negotiations and reconfiguration implementation for Stage 1 licensees. Stage 1 licensees are primarily commercial, conventional systems that must be cleared from Channels 1-120 before Public Safety systems operating on NPSPAC channels (Stage 2) can be reconfigured. Significant progress has been made to date in the negotiation of FRAs for Stage 1 licensees as well as in the clearing of Channels 1-120.

A. Planning Funding

Many Stage 1 licensees included planning costs (if any) in their FRAs, but some negotiated Planning Funding Agreements with Sprint Nextel. As of March 31, 2008, 62 PFAs, with a total contract value of \$10.0 million, were submitted to the TA for Stage 1 licensees.

B. Negotiations

The negotiation of Stage 1 FRAs is virtually completed with the exception of border area licensees. As shown in the table below, as of March 31, 2008, 906 FRAs (98 percent) have been submitted to the TA out of the 922 FRAs anticipated for Stage 1 licensees in all waves.²⁵ The contract value of the FRAs submitted to the TA, including amendments, totals \$71.6 million. There were 48 NPSPAC regions where 100 percent of FRAs were approved by the TA as of March 31, 2008. As of March 31, 2008, the remaining FRAs to be negotiated in Stage 1 were in mediation, involve Economic Area (“EA”) licenses, or involve licensees affected by the international border areas that did not enter into mediation and were deferred pending the availability of revised border area band plans. The table below illustrates the percentage of approved Stage 1 FRAs and provides a summary of FRA milestones statistics by wave.

²⁵ The estimate of total FRAs does not include FRAs for licensees affected by the international border areas where revised border area band plans are still being developed.

**Table 3: Status of Reconfiguration for Licensees in Channels 1-120 as of March 31, 2008
(milestones achieved by number of FRAs)²⁶**

Wave	1-120 Anticipated FRAs**	FRA Negotiations and Approval			Reconfiguration Implementation				
		FRAs Submitted to TA	FRAs Approved by TA	% of Anticipated FRAs Approved by TA	# of FRAs with Physical Retunes Reported as Completed	% of Anticipated FRAs with Physical Retune Reported as Completed	Completion Certifications Submitted to TA	Completion Certifications Verified by TA	% of Anticipated FRAs with Completion Certifications Verified by TA
Wave 1	357	354	354	99%	346	97%	272	269	73%
Wave 2	206	206	206	100%	203	99%	172	171	83%
Wave 3	249	240	239	96%	212	85%	160	156	63%
Wave 4	110	106	106	96%	101	92%	57	56	51%
Total	922	906	905	98%	862	93%	661	652	71%

* This table does not include any estimate of deals that will include call signs in or affected by the FCC-defined border areas.

A summary of site-specific (non-EA) Channels 1-120 call sign milestone statistics is presented in Appendix 2. Appendices 1 and 2 provide information in summary form about reconfiguration status for Channels 1-120 licensees as of March 31, 2008.

In all of Stage 1, there were a total of 10 mediation dockets (or “cases”) pending as of March 31, 2008 out of the 487 mediation dockets opened for Stage 1 licensees. This includes one mediation docket in Wave 1, two in Wave 3, and seven in Wave 4.²⁷ A total of five Stage 1 mediation dockets were resolved during the first quarter. The pending mediation dockets fall into one of the following categories: (1) the mediation resulted in a PFA with an FRA still to be negotiated upon the completion of the planning contemplated by the PFA; or (2) the parties reached agreement, but had not yet executed an FRA. As of March 31, 2008, only 3.5 percent of Stage 1 mediations had been referred to the FCC for *de novo* review, many of which were settled by the parties prior to a decision by the FCC.

Wave 4, Stage 1 licensees with systems located in, or affected by, the international border areas with Mexico and Canada have not yet received replacement frequency proposals from the TA pending the availability of revised border area band plans. As noted in Section I.A.2. of this report, the FCC issued a Public Notice on March 31, 2008, which modified the schedule for such

²⁶ Sprint Nextel is the data source for columns 2 and 6. The total number of FRAs can change based on how Sprint Nextel structures various agreements with licensees. All FRAs covering the reconfiguration of EA licenses are reported in Stage 1 data; therefore the Stage 2 reporting includes only Public Safety licensees with either NPSPAC frequencies or Expansion Band frequencies not included as part of a Stage 1 FRA. The TA has received and approved seven FRAs that have included EA licenses.

²⁷ The remaining mediation dockets in Wave 4 include several Wave 3, Stage 1 licensees whose Gulf Coast Wave Change Requests were granted in June 2006, resulting in the licensee’s negotiations and reconfiguration implementation being moved to Wave 4, Stage 1.

licensees.²⁸ There are 201 potential Stage 1 licensees in Wave 4, Stage 1 that hold call signs with sites located in, or adjacent to, FCC-defined border areas that are affected by this extension.²⁹ In addition, the FCC issued the *Second Report and Order* on May 9, 2008 that established the reconfigured band plan for the Canadian border regions.

C. Reconfiguration Implementation Progress

Parties have made good progress in physically clearing Channels 1-120, which is a necessary prerequisite for Public Safety to begin its reconfiguration of NPSPAC channels. The TA generally measures the status of Stage 1 reconfiguration implementation progress in two ways: (1) clearing as a percentage of Stage 1 FRAs that Sprint Nextel has entered into with Channel 1-120 licensees; and (2) the percentage of Stage 1 *call signs* that Sprint Nextel reports as being cleared by licensees.

As shown in Table 3 above, as of March 31, 2008, Sprint Nextel is reporting that physical reconfiguration is complete for 93 percent of non-border Channels 1-120 FRAs (an increase from 92 percent as of December 31, 2007).

As of March 31, 2008, approximately 62.9 percent (up from 61.9 percent as of December 31, 2007) of the Stage 1 site-specific call signs were reported by Sprint Nextel as being cleared by licensees. The difference between the numbers by percentages of call signs compared to the numbers by percentages of FRAs is because the FRA statistics do not include agreements in border areas, while the call sign statistics do include call signs in border areas.³⁰ Progress during the first quarter included two additional NPSPAC regions – Region 37: South Carolina and Region 54: Southern Lake Michigan (Great Lakes including WI, IL, and IN) – reaching 100 percent reporting clear. As of March 31, 2008, there were 29 NPSPAC regions that reported 100 percent clear.


Viewed from a geographic perspective, Map 1 below shows the progress of clearing Channels 1-120 to allow relocation of the NPSPAC band, with significant progress in all areas of the country outside the areas affected by international borders.

²⁸ See *Wave 4 Extension Public Notice*.

²⁹ This includes approximately 80 licensees with systems entirely within a border area; 48 licensees with systems both inside and adjacent to a border area; and 73 licensees with systems adjacent to border areas and close enough to be affected.

³⁰ There are also a small number of call signs in Wave 1 and 2 that are in the FCC-defined border areas that are not included in FRA data.

Map 1: Channels 1-120 Locations and Reconfiguration Status, by NPSPAC Region as of March 31, 2008³¹



Data from reconfigurations to date indicate that it is taking Channels 1-120 licensees an average of approximately 129 calendar days to get from approval by the TA of their FRA to reporting clearing of their Channels 1-120 frequencies.³²

There have been some disputes arising out of the implementation of Stage 1 FRAs that have resulted in the parties turning to the mediation process for assistance. The TA opened a total of 20 mediations to resolve disputes arising out of the implementation of FRAs involving Stage 1 licensees, 18 of which had been resolved as of March 31, 2008.

³¹ The licensee clearing information is provided by Sprint Nextel. Some regions adjacent to international borders will not reach 100 percent until revised border area band plans are available. Locations noted on the map are based upon ULS data regarding Channels 1-120 licensing as of November 2004.

³² During that time period, FCC applications are being filed and granted to add replacement channels to the licenses of the incumbent licensee, Sprint Nextel is clearing the replacement channels to which the licensee is moving, and the licensee is implementing its reconfiguration plan in accordance with its FRA.

III. STAGE 2: NPSPAC AND PUBLIC SAFETY EXPANSION BAND

This section provides a summary of progress during the first quarter of 2008 for Stage 2 (NPSPAC and Public Safety Expansion Band) licensees. Planning, negotiations, and reconfiguration implementation for Stage 2 reconfigurations are usually more challenging and time consuming than Stage 1 reconfigurations because systems and FRAs for Stage 2 licensees are generally larger and more complex. Approximately 96 percent of all Stage 2 licensees did not execute PFAs and/or FRAs with Sprint Nextel during the allotted negotiation periods and entered mediation to complete the negotiation phase.

A. Planning and Planning Funding

Although most Channel 1-120 licensees did not require planning funding, nearly half of NPSPAC licensees have sought such funding and have negotiated Planning Funding Agreements. The additional steps of negotiating a PFA with Sprint Nextel and completing the planning process *prior* to negotiating an FRA have significantly extended the reconfiguration process for many NPSPAC licensees and on average have consumed approximately ten months – four months to negotiate the PFA and six months to complete the planning and prepare a reconfiguration cost estimate. A large number of Stage 2 licensees in mediation entered into PFAs, and the TA has provided flexibility in the mediation process to complete planning so long as progress continues to be made.

During the first quarter of 2008, parties completed negotiations on five additional Stage 2 PFAs. As shown in the table below, as of March 31, 2008, 431 PFAs for Stage 2 licensees had been submitted to the TA. The contract value of the submitted PFAs totals \$74.4 million.

Table 4: Number and Dollar Value of Stage 2 PFAs, as of March 31, 2008

Wave	PFAs Submitted to TA		PFAs Approved by TA	
	#	Dollar Value	#	Dollar Value
Wave 1	146	\$31,426,526	146	\$31,426,526
Wave 2	111	\$13,685,427	111	\$13,685,427
Wave 3	145	\$23,930,952	145	\$23,930,952
Wave 4	29	\$5,317,022	29	\$5,317,022
Total	431	\$74,359,927	431	\$74,359,927

B. Negotiations

Progress continues to be made in the negotiation of FRAs for Stage 2 licensees. During the first quarter of 2008, parties completed negotiations on 70 additional Stage 2 FRAs. As shown in the table below, as of March 31, 2008, 558 FRAs (63 percent) have been submitted to the TA out of the 868 FRAs anticipated for non-border Stage 2 licensees in all waves.³³ The contract value of these FRAs, including amendments, totals \$241.1 million.

³³ This total includes reconfiguration of Public Safety Expansion Band licensees. This total does not include FRAs for licensees located in, or affected by, the border areas that have not yet received replacement frequency proposals.

Table 5: Status of Reconfiguration for Stage 2 (NPSPAC and Public Safety Expansion Band) Licensees as of March 31, 2008 (milestones achieved by number of FRAs)³⁴

Wave	Stage 2 Anticipated FRAs**	FRA Negotiations and Approval			Reconfiguration Implementation				
		FRAs Submitted to TA	FRAs Approved by TA	% of Anticipated FRAs Approved by TA	# of FRAs with Physical Retunes Reported as Completed	% of Anticipated FRAs with Physical Retune Reported as Completed	Completion Certifications Submitted to TA	Completion Certifications Verified by TA	% of Anticipated FRAs with Completion Certifications Verified by TA
Wave 1	350	263	256	73%	64	18%	14	14	4%
Wave 2	229	167	160	70%	56	24%	10	10	4%
Wave 3	234	101	98	42%	13	5%	5	5	2%
Wave 4	55	27	27	49%	3	6%	1	1	2%
Total	868	558	541	62%	136	16%	30	30	3%

* This table does not include any estimate of deals that will include call signs in or affected by the FCC-defined border areas.

A summary of Stage 2 call sign milestone statistics is presented in the table below.³⁵

³⁴ Sprint Nextel is the data source for the estimated number of anticipated FRAs in column 2. The figures have not been verified by the TA. Any changes to the number of anticipated FRAs from previous Quarterly Progress Reports are the result of how Sprint Nextel structures deals with licensees (*i.e.*, deals cancelled or consolidated). For Wave 3, Stage 2, the number of expected FRAs will increase as additional FRAs are reached with Wave 3 Southeast ESMR Band licensees who were deferred from Wave 3, Stage 1 to Wave 3, Stage 2 and those deals are added to the count. Given that some FRAs noted in the table are for licensees with mobile-only systems, there will be a difference between the number of FRAs and the number of FRAs reporting frequencies cleared.

³⁵ The differences between the numbers by percentages of call signs compared to the numbers by percentages of FRAs largely reflect the size and complexity of the systems that remain in the mediation process. Simply put, the remaining Stage 2 FRAs involve systems with a greater number of call signs compared to those for which the parties have been able to reach agreement. In addition, the FRA statistics in Table 5 do not include all deals in border areas, while the call sign statistics in Table 6 do include all call signs in border areas.

Table 6: Status of Reconfiguration for Licensees in Stage 2 (NPSPAC and Public Safety Expansion Band) as of March 31, 2008 (milestones achieved by number of call signs)

Wave	Stage 2 Call Signs *	FRA Negotiations and Approval			Reconfiguration Implementation				
		# in FRAs Submitted to TA	# in FRAs Approved by TA	% in FRAs Approved by TA	# Reported as Cleared by Licensee	% Reported as Cleared by Licensee	Completion Certifications Submitted to TA	Completion Certifications Verified by TA	% with Completion Certifications Verified by TA
Wave 1	2181	1583	1054	48%	101	5%	22	22	1%
Wave 2	912	391	364	40%	74	8%	12	12	1%
Wave 3	1098	224	194	18%	30	3%	10	8	1%
Wave 4	1828	341	185	10%	10	0.5%	8	8	0%
Total	6019	2539	1797	30%	215	4%	52	50	1%

* Includes call signs in the FCC-defined international border areas.

Appendices 2 and 3 provide information in summary form about the status of negotiations for Stage 2 licensees as of March 31, 2008.

The mediation process has proven successful in aiding licensees and Sprint Nextel in reaching agreements. As of March 31, 2008, only 4.7 percent of Stage 2 mediations had been referred to the FCC for *de novo* review, many of which were settled by the parties prior to a decision by the FCC.

1. Wave 1, Stage 2

Wave 1, Stage 2 is the largest of the NPSPAC channel reconfiguration waves, with 350 FRAs expected to be negotiated between Sprint Nextel and Public Safety agencies. As shown in Table 5, as of March 31, 2008, approximately 73 percent of the FRAs expected for Wave 1, Stage 2 have been approved by the TA.

The Wave 1, Stage 2 mediation period began on November 1, 2006. As of March 31, 2008, of the 331 mediation dockets opened for Wave 1, Stage 2 licensees, 250 mediation dockets had been resolved (an increase from 230 resolved as of December 31, 2007). The parties in 67 mediations had negotiated PFAs and were in various stages of planning; 11 of these had reached agreement but had not yet executed FRAs. Four mediation dockets were the subject of Recommended Resolutions pending before the FCC for *de novo* review, and five were in the process of negotiating PFAs or FRAs or being appealed following *de novo* review by the FCC.

2. Wave 2, Stage 2

As shown in Table 5, as of March 31, 2008, approximately 70 percent of the FRAs expected for Wave 2, Stage 2 have been approved by the TA.

The Wave 2, Stage 2 mediation period began on February 1, 2007. As of March 31, 2008, of the 230 mediation dockets opened for Wave 2, Stage 2 licensees, 172 mediation dockets had been resolved (an increase from 140 resolved as of December 31, 2007). The parties in 57 mediations had negotiated PFAs and were in various stages of planning; 21 of these had reached agreement but had not yet executed FRAs. One mediation docket was in the process of negotiating an FRA following *de novo* review by the FCC.

3. Wave 3, Stage 2

Besides NPSPAC licensees, Wave 3, Stage 2 includes certain licensees with Southeast ESMR Band frequencies that were deferred from Wave 3, Stage 1 at the licensee's request.³⁶ As shown in Table 5, as of March 31, 2008, approximately 42 percent of the FRAs expected for Wave 3, Stage 2 have been approved by the TA.

The Wave 3, Stage 2 mediation period began on May 1, 2007. As of March 31, 2008, of the 262 mediation dockets opened for Wave 3, Stage 2 licensees, 123 mediation dockets had been resolved (an increase from 102 resolved as of December 31, 2007).³⁷ The parties in 134 mediations had negotiated PFAs and were in various stages of planning; 33 had reached agreement but had not yet executed FRAs. In addition, the parties in one mediation docket had reached agreement on terms but not yet executed an FRA. No mediations were referred to the FCC for *de novo* review.

4. Wave 4, Stage 2

As shown in Table 5, as of March 31, 2008, approximately 49 percent of the non-border area FRAs expected for Wave 4, Stage 2 have been approved by the TA.

The Wave 4, Stage 2 mediation period for non-border area licensees began on August 1, 2007. As of March 31, 2008, of the 66 mediation dockets opened for Wave 4, Stage 2 licensees, 36 mediation dockets had been resolved (an increase from 26 resolved as of December 31, 2007). The parties in 20 mediations had negotiated PFAs and were in various stages of planning; three had reached agreement but had not yet executed FRAs. In addition, the parties in three mediation dockets had reached agreement on terms but not yet executed their PFAs or FRAs. No mediations were referred to the FCC for *de novo* review.

Wave 4, Stage 2 licensees with systems located in, or affected by, the international border areas with Mexico and Canada have not yet received replacement frequency proposals from the TA pending the availability of revised border area band plans. As noted in Section I.A.2. of this report, the FCC issued a Public Notice on March 31, 2008, which modified the schedule for such

³⁶ Wave 3, Stage 1 licensees whose Wave 3 ESMR Negotiation Deferral Requests were granted in June 2006 had their negotiations and reconfiguration implementation deferred to Wave 3, Stage 2.

³⁷ The difference between the number of mediation dockets and the number of expected FRAs for Wave 3, Stage 2 is largely due to certain deals with Wave 3 Southeast ESMR Band licensees who were deferred from Wave 3, Stage 1 to Wave 3, Stage 2 not being included in the number of expected FRAs. The number of expected FRAs for Wave 3, Stage 2 will increase as additional FRAs are reached with such licensees and those deals are added to the count.


licensees.³⁸ There are 199 licensees in Wave 4, Stage 2 that hold call signs with sites located in, or adjacent to, FCC-defined border areas that are affected by this extension. In addition, the FCC issued the *Second Report and Order* on May 9, 2008 that established the reconfigured band plan for the Canadian border regions.

C. Reconfiguration Implementation

NPSPAC reconfiguration, often with complex system designs and interoperability requirements among numerous licensees in a region, will require more time and coordination than was needed for Channels 1-120 reconfiguration. While many NPSPAC FRAs have been approved by the TA, reconfiguration implementation is still getting underway for NPSPAC licensees. A number of NPSPAC licensees are moving forward with, and in some cases completing, their implementation activities and are helping to define an implementation framework that can serve as a model for other NPSPAC licensees. As of March 31, 2008, there were 136 FRAs where Stage 2 licensees had completed the transition to their new channels, including 73 FRAs for NPSPAC licensees. Map 2 graphically depicts a geographic perspective of NPSPAC reconfiguration progress, as of March 31, 2008, by licensed NPSPAC locations.

³⁸ See *Wave 4 Extension Public Notice*.

Map 2: NPSPAC Locations and Reconfiguration Status, by NPSPAC Region as of March 31, 2008³⁹




Many of the Public Safety systems that have completed reconfiguration are in the Expansion Band. For Public Safety systems operating in the Expansion Band that do not also include NPSPAC frequencies, parties are negotiating fixed schedules for and proceeding with reconfiguration implementation. As of March 31, 2008, 89 licensees in the Expansion Band have completed reconfiguration of their frequencies, and an additional 117 licensees have an executed FRA and, to the extent they are not also NPSPAC licensees, are proceeding with reconfiguration.⁴⁰ Map 3 below shows the progress of reconfiguration in the Expansion Band.

³⁹ The licensee clearing information is provided by Sprint Nextel. Regions adjacent to international borders will not reach 100 percent until revised border area band plans are available. Locations on Special Temporary Authorization (“STA”) call signs are only shown if they are included in an approved FRA.

⁴⁰ Seven of the 89 licensees in the Expansion Band also cleared NPSPAC frequencies as part of their reconfiguration.

Map 3: Public Safety Expansion Band Locations and Reconfiguration Status, by NPSAC Region as of March 31, 2008⁴¹



Many of the licensees in the expanded ESMR band in the southeastern United States are Public Safety entities. Appendix 2 contains a map showing progress in clearing the Southeast ESMR band. Many of the Southeast ESMR band licensees requested that FRA negotiation and reconfiguration implementation be done in conjunction with related NPSAC frequencies in Stage 2.

1. Reconfiguration of Subscriber Equipment

A key component of furthering rebanding progress is the reconfiguration of subscriber equipment. In March 2007, the TA made available to licensees the Subscriber Equipment Deployment initiative, an option to obtain replacement subscriber equipment and related software and services in advance of completing all cost negotiations. SED is intended to enable

⁴¹ Call signs for Public Safety entities with locations in the FCC defined border areas with frequencies that fall between 860 and 861 MHz are included. As the border area band plans are finalized these locations may be dropped. The licensee clearing information is provided by Sprint Nextel. Regions adjacent to international borders will not reach 100 percent until revised border area band plans are available.

licensees to proceed with subscriber equipment-related activities in parallel with completion of negotiations for infrastructure costs and timing.

In the first quarter of 2008, an additional 2 licensees submitted SED Requests covering slightly fewer than 800 subscriber units. As of March 31, 2008, a total of 25 licensees have submitted SED Requests covering more than 85,000 subscriber units. When the parties conclude the negotiations regarding the SED Request, an agreement is submitted to the TA as a “subscriber equipment FRA.”⁴² As of March 31, 2008, a total of 18 subscriber equipment FRAs, covering more than 62,000 subscriber units, have been submitted to the TA. Some of these NPSPAC licensees have made significant progress in retuning or replacing subscriber equipment and thousands of radios have already been retuned or replaced. Given the number of licensees that are still in the planning phase, the TA believes this figure should be much higher and that many licensees are not availing themselves of the opportunity to expedite the reconfiguration of their subscriber equipment. Many licensees can and should immediately begin subscriber equipment deployment activities under the SED program prior to execution of an FRA.

2. Implementation Planning Sessions

During the quarter ended March 31, 2008, the TA continued conducting Implementation Planning Sessions to identify and finalize reconfiguration implementation schedules among NPSPAC licensees in a given region of the country. These sessions facilitate joint planning between licensees and vendors at a regional level, enable licensee timeframes and interdependencies to be understood in aggregate, and provide a framework for the coordination among interdependent parties. This is particularly important as many NPSPAC FRAs did not contain firm implementation dates.

In the first quarter of 2008, the TA conducted IPS in Region 49: Texas – Central (Austin Area), Region 40: Texas (Central and Northeast), and New Jersey (Region 28 – Session 1). In May 2008, the TA conducted a session in Region 51: Texas – East (Houston Area). The licensees in attendance were in different stages of reconfiguration – some with completed FRAs and others still in planning and in mediation – in order to ensure all scheduling factors were considered.

During the meetings, the TA worked with licensees to develop implementation schedules for the regions that identify key milestones and dependencies associated with reconfiguration implementation activities. The key building blocks for developing these schedules were the licensees’ individual reconfiguration timelines, including the schedule for Sprint Nextel to clear replacement frequencies. These sessions provided insight into risks and dependencies unique to those specific regions.

Over the next few months, the TA will be conducting IPS for the following regions: Region 10: Georgia and Region 34: Oklahoma. In some cases, multiple sessions may be held

⁴² The number of subscriber units covered by any SED Request may differ from the number of subscriber units covered in the resulting FRA due to agreements reached by the parties.

within a region to cover all licensees. Other groups will be scheduled as FRAs are negotiated and appropriate planning activities draw to a close.

3. Implementation Disputes

There have been disputes involving Stage 2 licensees unrelated to the negotiation of FRAs that have resulted in the parties turning to the mediation process for assistance. The TA opened a total of two mediations to resolve such disputes, both of which had been resolved as of March 31, 2008.

IV. KEY RECONFIGURATION DATA

This section of the Quarterly Progress Report summarizes key reconfiguration data for the quarter ended March 31, 2008.

A. Licenses to Be Reconfigured

The table below provides the TA's analysis of the current population of call signs per wave. The primary source of this data is the FCC's Universal Licensing System ("ULS") database, with geographical augmentation by the TA to determine NPSPAC region and other reconfiguration-specific information. This data defines the population of licenses that need to be reconfigured, and is updated to reflect changes made to the ULS database.⁴³

Table 7: Current Population of Call Signs, Per Wave⁴⁴

Wave	Channels 1-120	Public Safety Expansion Band	NPSPAC	Southeast ESMR Band	Total
	Number of Call Signs				
Wave 1	805	324	1857	0	2986
Wave 2	480	177	735	7	1399
Wave 3⁴⁵	573	203	895	238	1909
Wave 4⁴⁶	902	301	1527	0	2730
TOTAL	2760	1005	5014	245	9024

Assumptions

The TA has made certain assumptions regarding the population of licenses to be reconfigured. First, for spectrum planning purposes, unless notified otherwise, the TA has assumed that all Public Safety licensees with regular licenses in the Expansion Band granted prior to the end of the Stage 1 freeze for the relevant Wave would relocate. The number of call signs to be reconfigured has decreased to the extent that the TA receives elections from Public Safety incumbent licensees opting not to reconfigure. Through March 31, 2008, the TA received

⁴³ The table includes site-specific (non-EA) call signs with primary fixed locations above 851 MHz, and – as of the second quarter of 2007 – all NPSPAC call signs, including STAs and licenses without fixed locations (*e.g.*, mobile-only authorizations). It does not include Sprint Nextel or SouthernLINC call signs. There are a number of ancillary call signs licensed in the 806-821 MHz (non-NPSPAC) range – for instance call signs with mobile frequencies related to reconfiguring base stations on different call signs – that are not included in the counts but will, however, be reconfigured in association with related call signs that are included in the counts. See Appendix 5 for more detailed data.

⁴⁴ The data in the table includes call signs in the FCC-defined international border areas adjacent to Canada and Mexico.

⁴⁵ By June 30, 2006, the TA had received and approved requests to defer 38 Channels 1-120 call signs to Wave 4 from licensees in the Hurricane Katrina affected region. These call signs are still counted in the Wave 3 data.

⁴⁶ Certain Public Safety call signs in the border areas are counted as Expansion Band herein. This is likely to change as the border area band plans are finalized.

246 Expansion Band Election filings from Public Safety licensees electing to remain in the Expansion Band.⁴⁷ Second, mobile-only systems and other secondary licenses (itinerant, demonstration, and temporary) are not generally being reconfigured in bands other than the NPSPAC channels.⁴⁸ Third, the call sign figures in this report include only active call signs. The current population of call signs will be reduced by any call signs that cancel without an FRA; it will also be increased for new call signs granted from FCC license reinstatements or pending applications filed prior to the commencement of an application freeze related to reconfiguration. Fourth, the data includes call signs in the FCC-defined international border areas adjacent to Canada and Mexico. In these areas the calls signs are defined based on the standard U.S. band plan; the data may change once revised border area band plans are available. Finally, the TA and Sprint Nextel have jointly defined milestones to track the status of ongoing reconfiguration activities at the licensee level.

B. Frequency Proposals

Because of the international border area band plans still under negotiation with Canada and Mexico as of March 31, 2008, Frequency Proposal Reports were sent only to Wave 4 licensees with systems located far enough away from the border so as not to be affected by revised border area band plans. Wave 4 licensees with systems located in, or affected by, the international border areas with Mexico and Canada had not yet received FPRs from the TA as of March 31, 2008 pending the availability of revised border area band plans. As additional call signs are granted for pending applications, for STAs at the request of licensees, or because of additional analysis of border area clearing options, FPRs will be sent in periodic batches. FPRs for STAs with NPSPAC frequencies are sent upon licensee request.

Table 8: Total Frequencies Analyzed and Proposed by Wave, as of March 31, 2008

Wave	Stage 1 and Expansion Band Frequencies	NPSPAC Frequencies
Wave 1	4,147	29,182
Wave 2	2,061	11,533
Wave 3	3,975	18,910
Wave 4	944	1,092
Total	11,127	60,717
Additions this Quarter	79	708

The TA has sent 1,133 FPRs for Public Safety Expansion Band call signs in Waves 1-4. Although Public Safety licensees may elect to remain on their current channels, for planning

⁴⁷ A list of entities that submitted Expansion Band Election filings as of March 31, 2008 appears in Appendix 4. The TA has granted license requests for rescission of fourteen of these elections.

⁴⁸ On December 20, 2006, the FCC issued guidance regarding the treatment of STA licenses within the reconfiguration process. The TA is continually assessing which licensees and call signs are affected by this guidance and updates call sign, FPR, and related data as necessary as it is determined which STA call signs are affected by reconfiguration. The data is most likely to change for NPSPAC call signs, where significant numbers of STAs are being filed for and granted.

purposes, new frequency proposals were prepared for all relevant call signs.⁴⁹ Most of these Expansion Band frequencies will be reconfigured within the same timeframe as the NPSPAC channels since many of the Expansion Band licensees are also associated with NPSPAC networks.

For each Wave, there were FPRs for certain call signs that were not generated or have been delayed as of March 31, 2008. The primary reasons for this are: (1) the call sign is licensed in the FCC-defined border area; (2) the call sign is affected by the border areas and frequency planning must be done in conjunction with the yet-to-be determined specialized border area band plans; or (3) the licensee negotiated an FRA ahead of its wave and the reconfiguration process is already underway. Delays in sending certain individual FPRs have not materially impacted the progress of reconfiguration. Generally, the delay in providing an FPR is indicative of a larger issue that needs to be resolved. Once that issue is resolved, the FPR can be sent and the licensee can move on quickly with the process.

C. Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement Review

1. Stage 1

The table below provides an overview of the elapsed time required by the TA to review and approve Channels 1-120 FRAs submitted to the TA.

Table 9: TA FRA Review Timeframes (in Business Days) for Approval of Stage 1 (Channels 1-120) FRAs

Wave	1-5 Days from Receipt	6-10 Days from Receipt	11-15 Days from Receipt	16-20 Days from Receipt	21 Days or More from Receipt	TOTAL
	Number of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreements					
Wave 1	293	48	13	0	0	354
Wave 2	168	35	2	1	0	206
Wave 3	217	18	4	0	0	239
Wave 4	102	3	1	0	0	106
Total	780	104	20	1*	0	905

* FRA required coordination with the FCC to ensure licensee's requests were in compliance with the *Report and Order*.

The table below illustrates the TA's time to review Channels 1-120 FRAs compared to service level targets, on a percentage basis.

Table 10: TA Stage 1 FRA Review Performance vs. Service Level Targets

Time to Review	Within 5 business days	Within 10 business days	Within 15 business days
Service Levels	80%	95%	100%
TA's Performance	86%	98%	100%

⁴⁹ As of March 31, 2008, Public Safety licensees had filed elections not to reconfigure for 447 call signs.

Additional information regarding the status of FRA review for Channels 1-120 (on a per wave, per region basis) can be found in Appendix 6.

2. Stage 2

Relative to Stage 1 FRAs, Stage 2 FRAs generally include more complex reconfigurations and associated cost estimates. The table below provides an overview of the elapsed time required by the TA to review and approve Stage 2 FRAs submitted to the TA.

Table 11: TA FRA Review Timeframes (in Business Days) for Approval of Stage 2 (NPSPAC and Public Safety Expansion Band) FRAs

Wave	1-5 Days from Receipt	6-10 Days from Receipt	11-15 Days from Receipt	16-20 Days from Receipt	21 Days or More from Receipt	TOTAL
	Number of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreements					
Wave 1	223	28	5	0	0	256
Wave 2	143	14	3	0	0	160
Wave 3	83	15	0	0	0	98
Wave 4	25	2	0	0	0	27
Total	474	59	8	0	0	541

The table below illustrates the TA's time to review Stage 2 FRAs on a percentage basis.

Table 12: TA Stage 2 FRA Review Performance

Time to Review	Within 5 business days	Within 10 business days	Within 15 business days
Service Levels	80%	95%	100%
TA's Performance	88%	99%	100%

Additional information regarding the status of FRA review for Stage 2 (on a per wave, per region basis) can be found in Appendix 7.

Although the TA continues to meet its service level goals for reviewing FRAs, approximately 36 percent of the Stage 2 FRAs approved during the first quarter required the issuance of a Request for Information ("RFI"). In some cases, multiple RFIs were required to resolve deficient items, thereby delaying TA review. Examples of the types of missing information include:

- Lack of or insufficient details associated with the reconfiguration costs, travel costs, and Project Management, Legal, or Engineering Consulting services and the associated costs identified in the FRA;
- Inconsistencies between payment terms identified in an FRA and Sprint Nextel's supporting back office system;
- Modifications to standard FRA contract terms and conditions; and
- Incomplete or erroneous FRA schedules regarding frequencies or call signs.

D. FCC Reconfiguration Applications

The TA has worked with FCC staff to define and implement data transfers to authenticate applications related to reconfiguration. The table below summarizes the status of reconfiguration applications for site-specific call signs submitted to the FCC through March 31, 2008.

Table 13: Reconfiguration FCC Application Milestones for Channels 1-120 Call Signs as of March 31, 2008⁵⁰

Wave	Updated Population as of 3/31/2008	Call Signs with Reconfiguration Applications Submitted to FCC	Call Signs with Reconfiguration Applications Granted	Call Signs with Surrender Applications Submitted to FCC ⁵¹	Call Signs with Surrender Applications Granted
	Number of Call Signs				
Wave 1	805	747	747	731	668
Wave 2	480	435	435	453	438
Wave 3	573	418	418	378	293
Wave 4	902	170	170	163	152
TOTAL	2760	1770	1770	1725	1551

The procedure developed by the TA together with the FCC and Sprint Nextel for processing reconfiguration related applications continues to function well. For Private Mobile Radio Service (“PMRS”) applications that do not require public notice, the average time from filing to grant remained at 7.7 calendar days to add new frequencies. Applications to delete reconfigured PMRS channels are being granted in an average of 12.1 days.

Applications for non-EA Specialized Mobile Radio (“SMR”) systems that may require a 30-day public notice are being granted, on average, in 49.1 calendar days to add new frequencies. Applications to delete reconfigured SMR channels are being granted in an average of 19.1 days.

During the first quarter, the TA worked with the PSHSB and the FCC’s Gettysburg Office to further the process of modifying EA licenses affected by reconfiguration.

Appendix 5 contains additional information regarding the TA’s FCC reconfiguration application milestones (on a per region basis) as of March 31, 2008.

E. Status of Reconfiguration Completion Certifications (Closing)

As of March 31, 2008, the TA had received 21 PFA Completion Certifications and had reviewed and certified 18 PFAs as complete.

⁵⁰ The data in the table includes call signs in the FCC-defined international border areas adjacent to Canada and Mexico.

⁵¹ Some FRAs stipulate that certain call signs are to be cancelled rather than reconfigured. Such cancellations are considered surrender applications for the purpose of this analysis. It is possible therefore that there will be more Surrender Applications than Reconfiguration Applications for one or more waves.

During the first quarter of 2008, an additional 37 FRA Completion Certifications⁵² were submitted to the TA, raising the total number received by the TA to 691. Of these, the TA had reviewed and certified 682 FRAs as complete,⁵³ with the remaining nine under review as of March 31, 2008. Appendix 8 contains a summary of deals that have closed as of March 31, 2008.

Sprint Nextel has provided the TA with the following data that describes the status of deals in terms of numbers of FRAs in each stage of the contract closing process as of March 31, 2008.

Table 14: Status of FRAs in the Closing Process (after completion of physical reconfiguration)⁵⁴

Status of FRAs	Number
Closed FRAs	682
FRAs Pending TA Completion Certification Review	9
FRAs Pending in the Sprint Nextel Closing Process:	
Sprint Nextel Execution of the Completion Certificates	18
Sprint Nextel Receipt of Signed Completion Certificates from Incumbent Licensees	30
Sprint Nextel Preparation of Completion Certificates	16
Total FRAs in the Sprint Nextel Closing Process	64
FRAs Pending in the Actual Cost Reconciliation Process:	
Sprint Nextel Pending Receipt of Signed Reconciliation Statement	28
Sprint Nextel Waiting for Receipts and Preparing Actual Cost Reconciliation Statement	108
Sprint Nextel Preparing Request for Receipt Letter	16
Total FRAs in the Actual Cost Reconciliation Process	152
FRAs not in the above Closing or Actual Cost Reconciliation Processes:	
Finalization of either certain Reconfiguration Project Management activities or Requisite Regulatory Filings	16
Completion of the Actual Cost Reconciliation and either Finalization of certain Reconfiguration Project Management activities or Requisite Regulatory Filings	67
Completion of the Actual Cost Reconciliation, Requisite Regulatory Filings, and certain Reconfiguration Project Management activities	8
Total FRAs not in the above Closing or Actual Cost Reconciliation Processes	91
Total FRAs for which physical reconfiguration is complete	998

The TA has observed significant lags between completing physical reconfiguration and completing all necessary filings with the FCC and processing Completion Certifications through Sprint Nextel and the TA. For the closed FRAs (including those pending TA review), the average elapsed time between the completion of the physical reconfiguration and completion of the closing process was 6.8 months as of March 31, 2008 (compared to 6.4 months for the period ending December 31, 2007). For FRAs that have not yet closed, the average outstanding elapsed time from completion of the physical reconfiguration as of March 31, 2008 is 11.7 months (compared to 11.1 months as of December 31, 2007).

⁵² From this point forward all subsequent information is related to FRA Completion Certifications only.

⁵³ Of these, 652 were for Stage 1 licensees and 30 were for Stage 2 licensees.

⁵⁴ Sprint Nextel is the data source for this table.

As of March 31, 2008, the major area of delay was the Actual Cost Reconciliation Process which accounts for 50 percent of the FRAs that have completed the physical reconfiguration but are not yet closed. Of the 152 FRAs in the Actual Cost Reconciliation Process, 133 (88 percent) of the FRAs have been in this same stage for three months or longer. The status of deals, in terms of numbers and percentage of FRAs outstanding in the Actual Cost Reconciliation Stage is listed in the table below.

Table 15: Status of Outstanding FRAs in the Actual Cost Reconciliation Stage (after completion of physical reconfiguration but prior to closing)⁵⁵

Outstanding for	Number of FRAs	Percentage of the Total FRAs in the Actual Cost Reconciliation Process
Less than 3 Months	19	12%
3 to 6 Months	12	8%
6 to 9 Months	18	12%
9 to 12 Months	83	55%
12 Months or Longer	20	13%

The average time to complete the Actual Cost Reconciliation under an FRA once the physical reconfiguration was completed continues to be influenced by delays in processing Change Notices by the incumbent licensee and Sprint Nextel and delays in incumbent licensees submitting to Sprint Nextel complete, accurate, and/or timely information required for the Actual Cost Reconciliation to substantiate Actual Costs incurred as well as Sprint Nextel delays in administering the Actual Cost Reconciliation Process. The TA will continue to monitor the closing process.

⁵⁵ Sprint Nextel is the data source for this table.

V. COMMUNICATIONS WITH STAKEHOLDERS

To facilitate successful 800 MHz band reconfiguration, licensees and other stakeholders must have rapid and consistent access to accurate reconfiguration information, processes, and procedures. As such, the TA executes an approach that is intended to engage, educate, and equip the impacted stakeholders with the knowledge necessary to plan and implement reconfiguration activities. The TA employs a multi-pronged approach, including direct calling campaigns, conference and event attendance (“Stakeholder Outreach”), Webinars (online training seminars), and interaction with industry press to accomplish these goals.

A. Stakeholder Inquiries

The TA maintains a “Contact Center” to receive and process questions and requests for information regarding reconfiguration and the TA’s activities. The TA receives inquiries from a variety of stakeholders: licensees, vendors, consultants, associations, and the trade press. During the first quarter, the TA received a total of 3,841 inquiries to the Contact Center (734 in January 2008; 1,288 in February 2008; 1,819 in March 2008). Access to the Contact Center is critically important to ensure that licensees and other stakeholders are able to obtain information to prepare for and implement the reconfiguration of their system(s).

B. TA-Produced Materials and the TA’s Website

During the first quarter, the TA continued to distribute informational materials to stakeholders relating to the reconfiguration process, including fact sheets, licensee forms, press releases, direct mailings, and other materials as listed below. Many of these items are posted on the TA’s website (www.800TA.org).

- Requests for Waivers of the June 26, 2008 Deadline – Published new Requests for Waiver and List of Requests for Waiver Web pages, which included FAQs, standard and interim waiver request templates, filing guidance, and the Waiver Lookup tools.
- Updates to FCC Orders and FCC Public Notices Web pages – Uploaded new Orders and Public Notices to the website as they were published by the FCC.
- Schedule and Freeze Date Graphic – Based on the recent extensions of the mandatory negotiation period and the application freeze dates for Wave 4, Stages 1 and 2 border area licensees, the TA updated all relevant information on the TA’s website and in print materials to reflect the extensions.
- Webinars – Posted presentation slides and recordings from the two IPS Webinar sessions held in February 2008.
- Email campaigns – Released six email campaigns relating to reconfiguration guidance and recent FCC Public Notices and Orders resulting in over 580 views.

These new or modified materials, in addition to materials previously published, are intended to provide stakeholders with sufficient information to effectively plan, negotiate, and implement reconfiguration.

The TA issued the following press releases during the first quarter:

- “Requests for Waiver of June 26, 2008 Deadline” (January 18, 2008)
- “TA Unveils New Implementation Section on 800 MHz Web site” (February 6, 2008)

During the first quarter, the TA also submitted written articles to various Public Safety communications publications as another medium to communicate with licensees and to educate those involved in the rebanding process.

In the first quarter, the TA also reached out to a number of licensees through various mailings. Throughout this period, the TA mailed STA freeze and STA mediation notices. In addition, the TA mailed several Review Rights – Request for Information letters to selected incumbents.

The TA’s website is a significant component of the Stakeholder Outreach efforts. The TA’s listserv feature – TA Alerts – allows website visitors to sign up to receive emails from the TA with the latest updates and news and has 301 active subscribers to date, an increase of about 12 percent from the previous quarter. During the first quarter, updates were made to the TA’s website to post and advertise new and updated TA-produced materials and FCC Public Notices and Orders. The TA’s website received an estimated 7,607 visits during the first quarter of 2008, an increase of approximately 18 percent over the 6,404 visits in the fourth quarter of 2007.⁵⁶

C. Outreach Events and TA-Sponsored Education and Training

1. Meetings and Conferences

Meetings and events are a central component of the TA’s ongoing efforts to communicate with and educate impacted stakeholders and licensees. Meetings and conferences attended by TA representatives during the first quarter and those expected to be attended during the second quarter of 2008 are listed in Appendix 9.

2. Webinars

The TA has continued to conduct numerous Webinars that provide information on all facets of reconfiguration. The Webinar series to date has totaled 48 sessions with 1,045 attendees across stakeholder groups. During the first quarter of 2008, the TA offered a Webinar on the topic of “Preparing for an Implementation Planning Session.” Webinars have proven to be an effective, low-cost method for reaching wide audiences and providing interactive and just-in-time guidance. The TA solicited feedback following each delivery. According to participant surveys, reaction to the Webinars has been overwhelmingly positive, with participants indicating that the opportunity for live discussion is the most helpful aspect.

⁵⁶ The TA has adopted “visits” instead of “hits” as a more accurate metric to utilize in identifying website traffic.

3. Licensee Outreach Campaigns

In an effort to further the progress of reconfiguration, the TA continued its communication and outreach efforts this quarter. Specifically, the TA executed an outbound communications campaign to licensees that were scheduled to participate in Implementation Planning Sessions held by the TA. This effort included the calling of licensees, gathering of information, and mailing of information pertaining to the meetings for the following regions: Region 49: Texas – Central (Austin Area), Region 40: Texas (Central and Northeast), New Jersey (Region 28 – Session 1), and Region 51: Texas – East (Houston Area).

VI. FINANCIAL

This section provides information for the first quarter of 2008 regarding reconfiguration expenditures, letters of credit, 800 MHz incumbent licensee reviews, the external audit, and the TA's fees and expenses.

A. Reconfiguration Expenditures

1. 800 MHz Incumbent Licensee Reconfiguration Costs

As of March 31, 2008, Sprint Nextel and incumbent licensees had executed FRAs and PFAs pursuant to TA-approved cost estimates totaling approximately \$337.6 million and Sprint Nextel had paid approximately \$144.6 million of this amount as advance payments and for work completed to date. This compares to \$270.0 million in executed FRAs and PFAs and \$109.7 million paid, as of December 31, 2007.

Sprint Nextel has reported to the TA that it had incurred, on a cash basis, approximately \$397.2 million (through March 31, 2008) in costs for (a) payments made to incumbent licensees or their vendors in accordance with TA-approved agreements executed by incumbent licensees and Sprint Nextel; and (b) replacement equipment costs, including costs related to the development of software for certain incumbent licensee subscriber equipment that enables such subscriber equipment to be retuned rather than replaced and costs related to replacement equipment to be provided to incumbent licensees by Sprint Nextel (collectively "800 MHz Incumbent Licensee Reconfiguration Costs"). To date, Sprint Nextel had requested that the TA assess approximately \$143.3 million of the 800 MHz Incumbent Licensee Reconfiguration Costs (submitted as of December 31, 2007) for the purpose of determining whether those costs are creditable against the payment Sprint Nextel will make to the United States Treasury at the completion of reconfiguration ("Creditable Costs"). The TA has performed a review of approximately \$143.3 million in costs (submitted as of December 31, 2007). The status of these costs is as follows:

- \$127.5 million was determined by the TA to be Creditable Costs pending the Final Accounting to be performed at completion of reconfiguration and the results of the external audit.
- The remaining \$15.8 million requires additional information from Sprint Nextel or incumbents to determine whether these costs are creditable.

Sprint Nextel has not submitted the remaining \$253.9 million of the 800 MHz Incumbent Licensee Reconfiguration Costs to the TA for credit assessment or for external audit.

2. Sprint Nextel Costs

Sprint Nextel has reported to the TA that it had incurred, on a cash basis, approximately \$284.1 million (through March 31, 2008) in costs to support (a) incumbent licensee negotiations, (b) systems development costs for tracking and reporting of program activity, (c) Sprint Nextel's

program and financial management activities, (d) other program costs, and (e) reconfiguration of Sprint Nextel's systems in the 800 MHz band (collectively "Sprint Nextel Costs"). The Sprint Nextel Costs exclude certain amounts incurred related to Sprint Nextel's internal network costs that Sprint Nextel may submit for credit at a later date ("To Be Determined Sprint Nextel Costs"). To date, Sprint Nextel has requested that the TA assess approximately \$185.0 million of the Sprint Nextel Costs (submitted as of December 31, 2007) for the purpose of determining whether those costs are Creditable Costs. The TA has performed a review of approximately \$15.0 million in costs (submitted as of December 31, 2006). The status of these costs is as follows:

- \$5.6 million was determined by the TA to be Creditable Costs pending the Final Accounting to be performed at completion of reconfiguration and the results of the external audit.
- The remaining \$9.4 million requires additional information from Sprint Nextel to determine whether these costs are creditable.

Sprint Nextel has not submitted the remaining \$99.1 million of the Sprint Nextel Costs or any of the To Be Determined Sprint Nextel Costs to the TA for credit assessment or for external audit.

3. 1.9 GHz Clearing Costs

Sprint Nextel has reported to the TA that it has incurred approximately \$439.5 million in costs (through March 31, 2008) associated with reconfiguration of the 1.9 GHz band. These costs are reported for informational purposes only. The TA does not conduct a review of these costs.

4. Estimated Total Reconfiguration Expenditures

On May 12, 2008, Sprint Nextel filed its Form 10-Q filing for the quarterly period ended March 31, 2008 with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") and disclosed that from the inception of the program through March 31, 2008, Sprint Nextel has incurred approximately \$1.3 billion of costs directly attributable to the spectrum reconfiguration program.⁵⁷

B. Letters of Credit

For the quarter ended March 31, 2008, Sprint Nextel made all its required payments to licensees and vendors. Accordingly, there has been no need to draw on the Letters of Credit through March 31, 2008. Sprint Nextel has reported to the TA that it had incurred, on a cash basis, approximately \$33.8 million (through March 31, 2008) in Letter of Credit Fees.

The TA reviewed the \$2.5 billion Letters of Credit in view of the programmatic obligations satisfied through December 31, 2007 by Sprint Nextel. On May 2, 2008, the TA

⁵⁷ See Sprint Nextel, Form 10-Q, at 22 (filed May 12, 2008), available at <http://www.sec.gov/Archives/edgar/data/101830/000119312508111070/d10q.htm>.

recommended that the Letters of Credit be reduced by \$337 million based on costs paid through December 31, 2007. On May 6, 2008, the FCC concurred with the TA's request, and on May 8, 2008, the Letters of Credit were reduced from \$2.5 billion to \$2.163 billion.

C. 800 MHz Incumbent Licensee Reviews

As of March 31, 2008, the TA received completion certifications for 21 PFAs and 691 FRAs. These completion certifications were filed as part of the closing process once all planning or reconfiguration implementation activities were completed. The TA has reviewed the amounts expended on planning activities and reconfiguration implementation activities covered by these PFAs and FRAs and concurs with the identified remaining payments due incumbent licensees or refunds due Sprint Nextel, pending any results of the TA's post-close review rights or external audit.

D. External Audit

In the first quarter of 2008, Reznick Group, the external auditors selected by the TA, commenced the annual audit of program expenditures for the year ended December 31, 2007 and for the period from inception (August 6, 2004) through December 31, 2007 ("the 2007 Audit"). As discussed previously, certain 800 MHz Incumbent Licensee Reconfiguration Costs and Sprint Nextel Costs have not been submitted by Sprint Nextel to the TA for credit assessment or for external audit. Accordingly, these costs will be included in a subsequent period audit.

During the 2007 Audit, incumbent licensees that have executed closing documents that have been reviewed and approved by the TA are subject to audit. As part of the 2007 Audit, Reznick Group will select incumbent licensee's closing documents to audit the actual costs reimbursed. As part of the process, Reznick Group will review the documentation provided to Sprint Nextel by the incumbent licensee as part of the Actual Cost Reconciliation process and determine what additional records and supporting documentation is required, if any. In situations where additional documentation is required to be provided by the incumbent licensee, the TA will notify the incumbent licensees by certified mail. The TA's letter will identify the reimbursed cost estimate line item(s) for which additional support is required, provide instructions on where to submit such records, and provide a due date for the incumbent licensee to provide such records. The TA's letter will be sent to the contacts identified in the legal notices section of the incumbent licensee's executed PFA or FRA. The TA encourages all incumbent licensees to ensure that the contact information in the legal notices section of their PFA or FRA is current.

E. Transition Administrator

1. Fees, Expenses, and Staffing

The TA's fees and expenses for the quarter ended March 31, 2008 were \$9.5 million in fees and \$0.2 million in expenses, for a total of \$9.7 million, which is approximately \$0.2 million lower than the forecast for the first quarter. Additional details are provided in Appendix 10. TA staffing as of March 31, 2008 consisted of 74 Full Time Equivalents ("FTEs").

The TA's fees and expenses for the quarter ending June 30, 2008 are estimated at \$8.9 million in fees and \$0.2 million in expenses, for a total of \$9.1 million.

2. Disclosure of Non-Reconfiguration Fees

In accordance with the TA's Independence Management Plan, the TA reports that BearingPoint received \$2,436,694 from Sprint Nextel in non-TA fees and costs for the quarter ended March 31, 2008.⁵⁸

⁵⁸ See Independence Management Plan for the 800 MHz Transition Administrator Team Members (Version 1.1), WT Docket No. 02-55, at 4 (filed May 9, 2005).

Appendix 1
Status of Negotiations for Licensees in Channels 1-120: Milestones Completed by Number of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreements, Per Wave, Per Region, as of March 31, 2008

Public Safety Region (PSR)	Number of Channels 1-120 FRAs (a)	Sprint Nextel Initiated Contact with Licensee (a)	Sprint Nextel and Licensee Reach Pre-Contract Agreement (a)	FRAs Submitted to TA	FRAs Approved by TA
		Number of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreements (FRAs)			
Wave 1	357	354	354	354	354
Multiregion	92	92	92	92	92
PSR TBD (b)	9	6	6	6	6
6	36	36	36	36	36
7	11	11	11	11	11
8	34	34	34	34	34
11	9	9	9	9	9
13	18	18	18	18	18
14	9	9	9	9	9
19	15	15	15	15	15
20	17	17	17	17	17
27	22	22	22	22	22
28	24	24	24	24	24
35	13	13	13	13	13
41	7	7	7	7	7
42	16	16	16	16	16
45	7	7	7	7	7
54	18	18	18	18	18
Wave 2	206	206	206	206	206
Multiregion	64	64	64	64	64
PSR TBD (b)	1	1	1	1	1
4	9	9	9	9	9
12	4	4	4	4	4
15	5	5	5	5	5
16	9	9	9	9	9
17	10	10	10	10	10
22	25	25	25	25	25
24	13	13	13	13	13
25	5	5	5	5	5
26	4	4	4	4	4
32	0	0	0	0	0
34	2	2	2	2	2
38	1	1	1	1	1
39	23	23	23	23	23
40	12	12	12	12	12
44	1	1	1	1	1
46	0	0	0	0	0
49	2	2	2	2	2
51	8	8	8	8	8
52	8	8	8	8	8

Appendix 1
Status of Negotiations for Licensees in Channels 1-120: Milestones Completed by Number of Frequency
Reconfiguration Agreements, Per Wave, Per Region, as of March 31, 2008

Public Safety Region (PSR)	Number of Channels 1-120 FRAs (a)	Sprint Nextel Initiated Contact with Licensee (a)	Sprint Nextel and Licensee Reach Pre-Contract Agreement (a)	FRAs Submitted to TA	FRAs Approved by TA
		Number of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreements (FRAs)			
Wave 3	249	245	242	240	239
Multiregion	74	73	72	72	72
PSR TBD (b)	1	1	1	1	1
1	14	13	13	13	13
9	59	57	55	55	55
10	35	35	35	35	35
18	15	15	15	15	14
23	14	14	14	14	14
31	22	22	22	22	22
37	5	5	5	5	5
47	7	7	7	5	5
48	3	3	3	3	3
Wave 4	110	109	106	106	106
Multiregion	22	22	21	21	21
PSR TBD (b)	3	2	2	2	2
2	4	4	4	4	4
3	22	22	22	22	22
5	2	2	2	2	2
10	1	1	1	1	1
18	11	11	9	9	9
21	1	1	1	1	1
29	7	7	7	7	7
30	5	5	5	5	5
33	7	7	7	7	7
36	4	4	4	4	4
43	5	5	5	5	5
50	6	6	6	6	6
53	6	6	6	6	6
54	4	4	4	4	4
55	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	922	914	908	906	905

Notes:

(a) Sprint Nextel is the data source for this column. The figures have not been verified by the TA.

(b) PSR TBD (To Be Determined) - The TA is unable to accurately assign a PSR based on data provided.

Appendix 2
Status of Reconfiguration for Licensees in Channels 1-120:
Milestones Completed by Number of Call Signs, Per Wave, Per Region, as of March 31, 2008


Public Safety Region (PSR)	PSR Name	Updated Call Sign Population as of 03/31/08	Sprint Nextel Initiated Contact with Licensee	Sprint Nextel and Licensee Reach Pre-Contract Agreement	Sprint Nextel Submits Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement to TA	TA Approves Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement	Through 03/31/08 Call Signs with Reconfiguration Applications Submitted to FCC	Through 03/31/08 Call Signs with Reconfiguration Applications Granted	Sprint Nextel Clears Frequencies	Incumbent Clears Frequencies	Through 03/31/08 Call Signs with Surrender Applications Submitted to FCC	Through 03/31/08 Call signs with Surrender Applications Granted
Number of Call Signs												
Wave 1	Subtotal	805	778	778	777	776	747	747	755	733	731	668
6	CA - North	109	107	107	106	107	106	106	106	85	86	68
7	Colorado	27	27	27	27	27	27	27	27	27	27	20
8	NY - Metro (CT, NJ, NY, PA)	96	96	96	96	96	96	96	95	96	96	93
11	Hawaii	58	57	57	57	55	55	55	55	55	55	54
13	Illinois	40	40	40	40	40	37	37	39	40	38	37
14	Indiana	27	27	27	27	27	27	27	27	27	27	27
19	ME, NH, VT, MA, RI, CT*	82	58	58	58	58	49	49	50	50	49	49
20	MD; DC; VA - Northern	66	66	66	66	66	58	58	58	56	55	51
27	Nevada	63	63	63	63	63	63	63	63	63	63	61
28	NJ, PA, DE	67	67	67	67	67	67	67	65	67	67	63
35	Oregon	48	48	48	48	48	48	48	48	48	48	43
41	Utah	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	21
42	Virginia	52	52	52	52	52	44	44	52	49	50	32
45	Wisconsin	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	13
54	Chicago	36	36	36	36	36	36	36	36	36	36	36
Wave 2	Subtotal	480	461	459	459	459	435	435	454	459	453	438
4	Arkansas	39	39	39	39	39	38	38	39	39	39	38
12	Idaho*	15	14	14	14	14	14	14	14	14	14	14
15	Iowa	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	15
16	Kansas	33	33	33	33	33	32	32	33	33	33	33
17	Kentucky	16	16	16	16	16	15	15	15	16	16	14
22	Minnesota*	76	70	70	70	70	68	68	68	70	70	70
24	Missouri	37	37	37	37	37	37	37	37	37	37	37
25	Montana*	19	16	16	16	16	11	11	15	16	16	14
26	Nebraska	10	10	10	10	10	9	9	9	10	10	10
32	North Dakota*	12	3	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
34	Oklahoma	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	24
38	South Dakota	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
39	Tennessee	43	43	43	43	43	43	43	43	43	42	37
40	TX - Dallas	38	38	38	38	38	38	38	38	38	38	38
44	West Virginia	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
46	Wyoming	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
49	TX - Austin	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	8	7
51	TX - Houston	43	43	43	43	43	39	39	43	43	41	40
52	TX - Lubbock	42	42	42	42	42	33	33	42	42	42	41

Appendix 2
Status of Reconfiguration for Licensees in Channels 1-120:
Milestones Completed by Number of Call Signs, Per Wave, Per Region, as of March 31, 2008

Public Safety Region (PSR)	PSR Name	Updated Call Sign Population as of 03/31/08	Sprint Nextel Initiated Contact with Licensee	Sprint Nextel and Licensee Reach Pre-Contract Agreement	Sprint Nextel Submits Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement to TA	TA Approves Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement	Through 03/31/08 Call Signs with Reconfiguration Applications Submitted to FCC	Through 03/31/08 Call Signs with Reconfiguration Applications Granted	Sprint Nextel Clears Frequencies	Incumbent Clears Frequencies	Through 03/31/08 Call Signs with Surrender Applications Submitted to FCC	Through 03/31/08 Call signs with Surrender Applications Granted
Number of Call Signs												
Wave 3	Subtotal	573	516	497	489	489	418	418	462	369	378	293
1	Alabama	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	6	6
9	Florida	221	220	220	218	218	198	198	197	109	124	113
10	Georgia	49	49	49	49	49	38	38	46	45	45	29
18	Louisiana	79	79	61	58	57	50	50	54	53	53	52
23	Mississippi	22	22	21	21	21	20	20	21	19	21	19
31	North Carolina	67	67	67	66	67	50	50	67	66	62	45
37	South Carolina	37	37	37	37	37	22	22	37	37	36	20
47	Puerto Rico	66	20	20	18	18	18	18	18	18	18	6
48	US Virgin Islands	23	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	3
Wave 4	Subtotal	902	258	207	207	176	170	170	170	175	163	152
2	Alaska*	23	6	6	6	6	3	3	0	6	6	6
3	Arizona*	74	59	57	57	51	51	51	51	51	51	48
5	CA - South*	139	22	7	7	5	5	5	5	4	4	4
21	Michigan*	62	4	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
29	New Mexico*	25	22	22	22	22	21	21	22	22	22	21
30	NY - Albany*	93	17	17	17	17	17	17	17	17	13	13
33	Ohio*	109	33	17	17	17	17	17	17	17	17	14
36	Pennsylvania*	12	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	6
43	Washington*	156	57	43	43	20	18	18	20	20	20	17
50	TX - El Paso*	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
53	TX - San Antonio*	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	8	8
54	MI portion of Chicago*	8	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
55	New York - Buffalo*	175	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
61	Gulf of Mexico	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
62	Marianas	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
63	Guam	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total for Waves 1-4		2760	2013	1941	1932	1900	1770	1770	1841	1736	1725	1551

Notes:

- Data for Channels 1-120 call signs does not include call signs that were under contract with Sprint Nextel prior to the start of reconfiguration and for which contracts will not be submitted to the TA for review and approval for Sprint Nextel credit.
- Data includes call signs in the international border area. Data may change depending upon border area band plans.
- The current population of call signs has been adjusted for call signs cancelled without a Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement (FRA), and incremented for any call signs added through pending applications. Licensees may cancel licenses or let them expire without entering into an FRA.
- Data includes call signs with fixed locations authorized for frequencies in the 851-854 MHz range with adequate geographic data to determine a Public Safety Region.
- Data for the call sign population and applications may not match data for Sprint Nextel milestones due to call signs expiring or being cancelled without contracts. In addition, certain FRAs may include call signs undergoing reconfiguration that may be cancelled or assigned without frequencies being changed on that particular call sign. Call signs with old frequencies being deleted via a partial assignment are not included in the delete application data.
- Data between Incumbent Clear and Notify and Surrender Applications Submitted to FCC do not always match due to partial assignment applications filed in advance of frequency clearing to expedite the process and occasional time lags in the reporting on licensee frequency clearing.
- Incumbent Clear and Notify counts may exceed Sprint Nextel Cleared counts in certain PSRs due to Sprint Nextel data entry delays; incumbents clearing by cancelling licenses for which Sprint Nextel would not need to clear frequencies; or the replacement channels were not currently in operation in Sprint Nextel's network.



The licensee clearing information is provided by Sprint Nextel. Regions adjacent to international borders will not reach 100 percent until revised border area band plans are available.

Appendix 2
Status of Reconfiguration for Licensees in the Expansion Band:
Milestones Completed by Number of Call Signs, Per Wave, Per Region, as of March 31, 2008

Public Safety Region (PSR)	PSR Name	Updated Call Sign Population as of 03/31/08	Sprint Nextel Initiated Contact with Licensee	Sprint Nextel and Licensee Reach Pre-Contract Agreement	Sprint Nextel Submits Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement to TA	TA Approves Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement	Through 03/31/08 Call Signs with Reconfiguration Applications Submitted to FCC	Through 03/31/08 Call Signs with Reconfiguration Applications Granted	Sprint Nextel Clears Frequencies	Incumbent Clears Frequencies	Through 03/31/08 Call Signs with Surrender Applications Submitted to FCC	Through 03/31/08 Call signs with Surrender Applications Granted
		Number of Call Signs										
Wave 1	Subtotal	324	312	216	214	174	73	73	70	49	42	22
6	CA - North	89	87	87	87	86	10	10	10	9	7	2
7	Colorado	15	14	14	14	13	13	13	13	5	0	0
8	NY - Metro (CT, NJ, NY, PA)	28	28	28	28	5	5	5	5	3	2	1
11	Hawaii	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	4
13	Illinois	12	12	11	11	3	1	1	1	1	0	0
14	Indiana	34	34	12	12	12	7	7	7	6	6	5
19	ME, NH, VT, MA, RI, CT*	24	24	9	9	7	4	4	4	4	4	1
20	MD; DC; VA - Northern	18	17	3	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0
27	Nevada	5	5	5	5	5	2	2	1	0	3	0
28	NJ, PA, DE	28	20	8	8	4	2	2	2	2	2	2
35	Oregon	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0
41	Utah	3	3	3	3	3	2	2	1	1	1	1
42	Virginia	23	23	12	12	11	6	6	4	3	3	1
45	Wisconsin	9	9	2	2	2	0	0	1	0	0	0
54	Chicago	30	30	16	16	16	15	15	14	9	9	5
Wave 2	Subtotal	177	175	105	99	94	43	43	48	30	30	14
4	Arkansas	44	44	6	6	6	5	5	5	5	5	4
12	Idaho*	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
15	Iowa	16	16	15	15	15	4	4	10	2	2	1
16	Kansas	6	6	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
17	Kentucky	14	14	12	11	11	11	11	11	8	8	4
22	Minnesota*	10	10	10	10	10	7	7	7	5	4	4
24	Missouri	11	11	10	10	9	4	4	4	3	3	0
25	Montana*	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
26	Nebraska	4	4	3	3	3	3	3	3	1	2	0
32	North Dakota*	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
34	Oklahoma	3	3	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0
38	South Dakota	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
39	Tennessee	30	28	16	13	11	3	3	3	2	2	1
40	TX - Dallas	21	21	18	17	16	1	1	0	0	0	0
44	West Virginia	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0
46	Wyoming	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	0
49	TX - Austin	9	9	7	6	5	1	1	1	0	0	0
51	TX - Houston	5	5	3	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0
52	TX - Lubbock	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Appendix 2
Status of Reconfiguration for Licensees in the Expansion Band:
Milestones Completed by Number of Call Signs, Per Wave, Per Region, as of March 31, 2008

Public Safety Region (PSR)	PSR Name	Updated Call Sign Population as of 03/31/08	Sprint Nextel Initiated Contact with Licensee	Sprint Nextel and Licensee Reach Pre-Contract Agreement	Sprint Nextel Submits Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement to TA	TA Approves Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement	Through 03/31/08 Call Signs with Reconfiguration Applications Submitted to FCC	Through 03/31/08 Call Signs with Reconfiguration Applications Granted	Sprint Nextel Clears Frequencies	Incumbent Clears Frequencies	Through 03/31/08 Call Signs with Surrender Applications Submitted to FCC	Through 03/31/08 Call signs with Surrender Applications Granted
Number of Call Signs												
Wave 3	Subtotal	203	176	80	66	63	41	41	35	27	20	9
1	Alabama	29	28	10	10	10	8	8	6	6	4	3
9	Florida	61	41	27	21	21	13	13	9	6	5	1
10	Georgia	28	26	13	12	11	8	8	8	8	5	3
18	Louisiana	15	15	5	4	3	0	0	0	0	0	0
23	Mississippi	16	16	12	12	12	8	8	8	3	5	1
31	North Carolina	28	28	7	4	3	2	2	2	2	1	1
37	South Carolina	24	21	5	2	2	2	2	2	2	0	0
47	Puerto Rico	2	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
48	US Virgin Islands	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Wave 4	Subtotal	301	189	116	115	114	10	10	12	9	10	8
2	Alaska*	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0
3	Arizona*	20	3	2	2	2	1	1	0	0	1	0
5	CA - South*	101	38	21	21	21	0	0	0	0	0	0
21	Michigan*	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
29	New Mexico*	3	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
30	NY - Albany*	63	62	62	62	62	0	0	0	0	0	0
33	Ohio*	39	34			0	0	0	0	0	0	0
36	Pennsylvania*	13	12	7	7	7	5	5	5	5	5	5
43	Washington*	20	12	3	3	3	1	1	3	1	2	1
50	TX - El Paso*	4	2	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
53	TX - San Antonio*	16	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
54	MI portion of Chicago*	4	4	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	2	2
55	New York - Buffalo*	15	15	15	15	14	0	0	0	0	0	0
61	Gulf of Mexico	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
62	Marianas	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
63	Guam	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total for Waves 1-4		1005	852	517	494	445	167	167	165	115	102	53

Notes:

- a. Data does not include call signs that were under contract with Sprint Nextel prior to the start of reconfiguration and for which contracts will not be submitted to the TA for review and approval for Sprint Nextel credit.
- b. Data includes call signs in the international border area. Data may change depending upon border area band plans.
- c. The current population of call signs has been adjusted for call signs cancelled without a Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement (FRA), and incremented for any call signs added through pending applications. Licensees may cancel licenses or let them expire without entering into an FRA.
- d. Data includes call signs with fixed locations authorized for frequencies in the Expansion Band with adequate geographic data to determine a Public Safety Region.
- e. Data for the call sign population and applications may not match data for Sprint Nextel milestones due to call signs expiring or being cancelled without contracts. In addition, certain FRAs may include call signs undergoing reconfiguration that may be cancelled or assigned without frequencies being changed on that particular call sign. Call signs with old frequencies being deleted via a partial assignment are not included in the delete application data.
- f. Data between Incumbent Clear and Notify and Surrender Applications Submitted to FCC do not always match due to partial assignment applications filed in advance of frequency clearing to expedite the process and occasional time lags in the reporting on licensee frequency clearing.
- g. Incumbent Clear and Notify counts may exceed Sprint Nextel Cleared counts in certain PSRs due to Sprint Nextel data entry delays; incumbents clearing by cancelling licenses for which Sprint Nextel would not need to clear frequencies; or the replacement channels were not currently in operation in Sprint Nextel's network.

Appendix 2
Status of Reconfiguration for Licensees in NPSPAC Channels:
Milestones Completed by Number of Call Signs, Per Wave, Per Region, as of March 31, 2008

Public Safety Region (PSR)	PSR Name	Updated Call Sign Population as of 03/31/08	Sprint Nextel Initiated Contact with Licensee	Sprint Nextel and Licensee Reach Pre-Contract Agreement	Sprint Nextel Submits Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement to TA	TA Approves Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement	Through 03/31/08 Call Signs with Reconfiguration Applications Submitted to FCC	Through 03/31/08 Call Signs with Reconfiguration Applications Granted	Sprint Nextel Clears Frequencies	Incumbent Clears Frequencies	Through 03/31/08 Call Signs with Surrender Applications Submitted to FCC	Through 03/31/08 Call signs with Surrender Applications Granted
		Number of Call Signs										
Wave 1	Subtotal	1857	1772	1377	1369	880	316	314	464	52	4	2
6	CA - North	137	126	110	110	98	21	21	26	12	0	0
7	Colorado	227	224	224	224	222	213	213	216	8	0	0
8	NY - Metro (CT, NJ, NY, PA)	391	383	343	340	59	28	26	16	3	1	1
11	Hawaii	28	25	25	25	12	0	0	12	0	0	0
13	Illinois	137	130	129	129	7	1	1	1	1	0	0
14	Indiana	124	116	15	15	15	8	8	9	5	0	0
19	ME, NH, VT, MA, RI, CT*	125	114	48	46	46	9	9	11	10	2	1
20	MD; DC; VA - Northern	90	82	27	24	24	0	0	0	0	0	0
27	Nevada	39	36	31	31	31	1	1	1	0	0	0
28	NJ, PA, DE	197	187	142	142	134	6	6	0	0	0	0
35	Oregon	39	37	36	36	36	3	3	3	3	0	0
41	Utah	147	146	146	146	146	1	1	144	0	0	0
42	Virginia	46	42	21	21	21	7	7	7	4	0	0
45	Wisconsin	3	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	0	0	0
54	Chicago	127	122	78	78	27	16	16	16	6	1	0
Wave 2	Subtotal	735	620	307	292	270	104	104	112	44	3	1
4	Arkansas	94	76	2	2	2	1	1	1	1	0	0
12	Idaho*	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
15	Iowa	6	6	5	5	5	0	0	1	0	0	0
16	Kansas	266	196	17	16	16	11	11	11	5	0	0
17	Kentucky	16	15	10	10	9	6	6	7	6	0	0
22	Minnesota*	50	50	50	48	48	36	36	37	4	0	0
24	Missouri	19	17	15	14	14	9	9	8	4	3	1
25	Montana*	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
26	Nebraska	30	30	29	29	26	20	20	21	6	0	0
32	North Dakota*	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
34	Oklahoma	33	32	13	10	10	1	1	3	0	0	0
38	South Dakota	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
39	Tennessee	56	38	28	24	13	7	7	6	3	0	0
40	TX - Dallas	44	41	34	31	30	6	6	7	7	0	0
44	West Virginia	9	9	5	5	5	0	0	0	0	0	0
46	Wyoming	7	7	7	7	7	4	4	7	5	0	0
49	TX - Austin	65	64	63	62	58	0	0	0	0	0	0
51	TX - Houston	37	36	28	28	27	3	3	3	3	0	0
52	TX - Lubbock	2	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Appendix 2
Status of Reconfiguration for Licensees in NPSPAC Channels:
Milestones Completed by Number of Call Signs, Per Wave, Per Region, as of March 31, 2008

Public Safety Region (PSR)	PSR Name	Updated Call Sign Population as of 03/31/08	Sprint Nextel Initiated Contact with Licensee	Sprint Nextel and Licensee Reach Pre-Contract Agreement	Sprint Nextel Submits Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement to TA	TA Approves Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement	Through 03/31/08 Call Signs with Reconfiguration Applications Submitted to FCC	Through 03/31/08 Call Signs with Reconfiguration Applications Granted	Sprint Nextel Clears Frequencies	Incumbent Clears Frequencies	Through 03/31/08 Call Signs with Surrender Applications Submitted to FCC	Through 03/31/08 Call signs with Surrender Applications Granted
Number of Call Signs												
Wave 3	Subtotal	895	637	204	158	131	8	7	4	3	1	1
1	Alabama	33	29	8	8	6	4	3	2	1	1	1
9	Florida	311	124	65	44	44	1	1	0	0	0	0
10	Georgia	76	70	40	40	34	2	2	1	1	0	0
18	Louisiana	60	56	36	32	15	0	0	0	0	0	0
23	Mississippi	21	21	13	13	13	1	1	1	1	0	0
31	North Carolina	225	188	19	15	13	0	0	0	0	0	0
37	South Carolina	159	144	18	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
47	Puerto Rico	10	5	5	5	5	0	0	0	0	0	0
48	US Virgin Islands	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Wave 4	Subtotal	1527	572	227	226	71	4	4	6	1	0	0
2	Alaska*	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0
3	Arizona*	99	4	2	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
5	CA - South*	366	205	58	58	33	0	0	0	0	0	0
21	Michigan*	284	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
29	New Mexico*	9	5	4	3	3	2	2	1	0	0	0
30	NY - Albany*	187	8	5	5	4	0	0	1	1	0	0
33	Ohio*	136	103	14	14	14	2	2	2	0	0	0
36	Pennsylvania*	215	135	133	133	4	0	0	0	0	0	0
43	Washington*	151	90	4	4	4	0	0	1	0	0	0
50	TX - El Paso*	3	2	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
53	TX - San Antonio*	28	17	4	4	4	0	0	0	0	0	0
54	MI portion of Chicago*	20	2	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
55	New York - Buffalo*	28	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
61	Gulf of Mexico	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
62	Marianas	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
63	Guam	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total for Waves 1-4		5014	3601	2115	2045	1352	432	429	586	100	8	4

Notes:

- a. Data does not include call signs that were under contract with Sprint Nextel prior to the start of reconfiguration and for which contracts will not be submitted to the TA for review and approval for Sprint Nextel credit.
- b. Data includes call signs in the international border area. Data may change depending upon border area band plans.
- c. The current population of call signs has been adjusted for call signs cancelled without a Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement (FRA), and incremented for any call signs added through pending applications. Licensees may cancel licenses or let them expire without entering into an FRA.
- d. Data includes call signs with fixed locations authorized for frequencies in the 866-869 MHz range, and those with adequate geographic data to determine a Public Safety Region.
- e. Data for the call sign population and applications may not match data for Sprint Nextel milestones due to call signs expiring or being cancelled without contracts. In addition, certain FRAs may include call signs undergoing reconfiguration that may be cancelled or assigned without frequencies being changed on that particular call sign. Call signs with old frequencies being deleted via a partial assignment are not included in the delete application data.
- f. Data between Incumbent Clear and Notify and Surrender Applications Submitted to FCC do not always match due to partial assignment applications filed in advance of frequency clearing to expedite the process and occasional time lags in the reporting on licensee frequency clearing.
- g. As of the second quarter of 2007, the NPSPAC call sign data includes STA NPSPAC call signs and call signs without fixed locations (e.g., mobile-only authorizations).
- h. Incumbent Clear and Notify counts may exceed Sprint Nextel Cleared counts in certain PSRs due to Sprint Nextel data entry delays; incumbents clearing by cancelling licenses for which Sprint Nextel would not need to clear frequencies; or the replacement channels were not currently in operation in Sprint Nextel's network.

Appendix 2
Status of Reconfiguration for Licensees in the Southeast ESMR Band:
Milestones Completed by Number of Call Signs, Per Wave, Per Region, as of March 31, 2008


Public Safety Region (PSR)	PSR Name	Updated Call Sign Population as of 03/31/08	Sprint Nextel Initiated Contact with Licensee	Sprint Nextel and Licensee Reach Pre-Contract Agreement	Sprint Nextel Submits Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement to TA	TA Approves Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement	Through 03/31/08 Call Signs with Reconfiguration Applications Submitted to FCC	Through 03/31/08 Call Signs with Reconfiguration Applications Granted	Sprint Nextel Clears Frequencies	Incumbent Clears Frequencies	Through 03/31/08 Call Signs with Surrender Applications Submitted to FCC	Through 03/31/08 Call signs with Surrender Applications Granted
		Number of Call Signs										
Wave 1	Subtotal	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6	CA - North	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7	Colorado	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8	NY - Metro (CT, NJ, NY, PA)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
11	Hawaii	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
13	Illinois	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
14	Indiana	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
19	ME, NH, VT, MA, RI, CT*	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
20	MD; DC; VA - Northern	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
27	Nevada	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
28	NJ, PA, DE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
35	Oregon	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
41	Utah	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
42	Virginia	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
45	Wisconsin	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
54	Chicago	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Wave 2	Subtotal	7	7	7	7	7	4	4	4	4	4	4
4	Arkansas	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
12	Idaho*	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
15	Iowa	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
16	Kansas	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
17	Kentucky	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
22	Minnesota*	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
24	Missouri	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
25	Montana*	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
26	Nebraska	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
32	North Dakota*	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
34	Oklahoma	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
38	South Dakota	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
39	Tennessee	7	7	7	7	7	4	4	4	4	4	4
40	TX - Dallas	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
44	West Virginia	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
46	Wyoming	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
49	TX - Austin	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
51	TX - Houston	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
52	TX - Lubbock	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Appendix 2
Status of Reconfiguration for Licensees in the Southeast ESMR Band:
Milestones Completed by Number of Call Signs, Per Wave, Per Region, as of March 31, 2008

Public Safety Region (PSR)	PSR Name	Updated Call Sign Population as of 03/31/08	Sprint Nextel Initiated Contact with Licensee	Sprint Nextel and Licensee Reach Pre-Contract Agreement	Sprint Nextel Submits Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement to TA	TA Approves Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement	Through 03/31/08 Call Signs with Reconfiguration Applications Submitted to FCC	Through 03/31/08 Call Signs with Reconfiguration Applications Granted	Sprint Nextel Clears Frequencies	Incumbent Clears Frequencies	Through 03/31/08 Call Signs with Surrender Applications Submitted to FCC	Through 03/31/08 Call signs with Surrender Applications Granted
		Number of Call Signs										
Wave 3	Subtotal	238	220	165	164	157	137	137	135	124	118	97
1	Alabama	57	57	31	31	31	26	26	25	25	23	19
9	Florida	32	16	12	12	12	10	10	9	5	4	4
10	Georgia	75	73	63	62	58	50	50	50	49	46	36
18	Louisiana	5	5	5	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
23	Mississippi	50	50	44	44	44	39	39	39	34	36	31
31	North Carolina	7	7	4	4	2	2	2	2	2	1	1
37	South Carolina	12	12	6	6	6	6	6	6	5	4	2
47	Puerto Rico	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
48	US Virgin Islands	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Wave 4	Subtotal	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2	Alaska*	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3	Arizona*	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5	CA - South*	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
21	Michigan*	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
29	New Mexico*	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
30	NY - Albany*	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
33	Ohio*	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
36	Pennsylvania*	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
43	Washington*	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
50	TX - El Paso*	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
53	TX - San Antonio*	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
54	MI portion of Chicago*	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
55	New York - Buffalo*	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
61	Gulf of Mexico	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
62	Marianas	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
63	Guam	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total for Waves 1-4		245	227	172	171	164	141	141	139	128	122	101

Notes:

- Data for the Southeast ESMR Band call signs does not include call signs that were under contract with Sprint Nextel prior to the start of reconfiguration and for which contracts will not be submitted to the TA for review and approval for Sprint Nextel credit.
- The current population of call signs has been adjusted for call signs cancelled without a Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement (FRA), and incremented for any call signs added through pending applications. Licensees may cancel licenses or let them expire without entering into an FRA.
- Data includes call signs with fixed locations authorized for frequencies in the Southeast ESMR Band with adequate geographic data to determine a Public Safety Region.
- Data for the call sign population and applications may not match data for Sprint Nextel milestones due to call signs expiring or being cancelled without contracts. In addition, certain FRAs may include call signs undergoing reconfiguration that may be cancelled or assigned without frequencies being changed on that particular call sign. Call signs with old frequencies being deleted via a partial assignment are not included in the delete application data.
- Data between Incumbent Clear and Notify and Surrender Applications Submitted to FCC do not always match due to partial assignment applications filed in advance of frequency clearing to expedite the process and occasional time lags in the reporting on licensee frequency clearing.
- Incumbent Clear and Notify counts may exceed Sprint Nextel Cleared counts in certain PSRs due to Sprint Nextel data entry delays; incumbents clearing by cancelling licenses for which Sprint Nextel would not need to clear frequencies; or the replacement channels were not currently in operation in Sprint Nextel's network.



Appendix 3

Status of Negotiations for Licensees in NPSPAC Channels: Milestones Completed by Number of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreements, Per Wave, Per Region, as of March 31, 2008

Public Safety Region (PSR)	Number of Stage 2 FRAs (a)	Sprint Nextel Initiated Contact with Licensee (a)	Sprint Nextel and Licensee Reach Pre-Contract Agreement (a)	FRAs Submitted to TA	FRAs Approved by TA
		Number of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreements (FRAs)			
Wave 1	350	338	268	263	256
Multiregion	49	49	38	36	34
PSR TBD (b)	18	14	14	13	13
6	34	34	30	30	28
7	6	6	6	6	5
8	44	43	38	37	36
11	3	3	3	3	2
13	6	5	5	5	5
14	19	19	18	18	18
19	49	47	39	38	38
20	25	23	9	9	9
27	4	4	2	2	2
28	20	20	15	15	15
35	5	5	5	5	5
41	3	3	3	3	3
42	23	22	12	12	12
45	4	4	3	3	3
54	38	37	28	28	28
Wave 2	229	224	179	167	160
Multiregion	23	22	16	16	16
PSR TBD (b)	3	3	3	3	3
4	8	8	6	6	6
12	0	0	0	0	0
15	15	15	14	14	14
16	20	20	11	10	10
17	17	16	15	14	14
22	15	15	15	14	14
24	13	13	12	11	10
25	0	0	0	0	0
26	17	17	16	16	14
32	0	0	0	0	0
34	11	11	7	6	6
38	0	0	0	0	0
39	24	21	15	12	12
40	31	31	25	23	22
44	1	1	1	1	1
46	2	2	2	2	2
49	17	17	15	13	11
51	10	10	5	5	5
52	2	2	1	1	

Appendix 3

Status of Negotiations for Licensees in NPSPAC Channels: Milestones Completed by Number of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreements, Per Wave, Per Region, as of March 31, 2008

Public Safety Region (PSR)	Number of Stage 2 FRAs (a)	Sprint Nextel Initiated Contact with Licensee (a)	Sprint Nextel and Licensee Reach Pre-Contract Agreement (a)	FRAs Submitted to TA	FRAs Approved by TA
		Number of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreements (FRAs)			
Wave 3	234	224	121	101	98
Multiregion	11	10	5	5	5
PSR TBD (b)	9	6	6	6	6
1	21	21	9	9	9
9	60	59	32	23	23
10	38	37	22	21	19
18	30	30	16	14	14
23	14	14	9	9	9
31	32	32	11	7	6
37	13	11	7	3	3
47	6	4	4	4	4
48	0	0	0	0	0
Wave 4	55	51	28	27	27
Multiregion	9	8	6	6	6
PSR TBD (b)	2	2	1	1	1
2	1	1	1	1	1
3	1	1	1	1	1
5	4	4	3	3	3
18	1	0	0	0	0
21	0	0	0	0	0
29	7	5	3	2	2
30	2	2	2	2	2
33	9	9	5	5	5
36	3	3	1	1	1
43	2	2	1	1	1
50	2	2	1	1	1
53	10	10	2	2	2
54	2	2	1	1	1
55	0	0	0	0	0
62	0	0	0	0	0
63	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	868	837	596	558	541

Notes:

(a) Sprint Nextel is the data source for this column. The figures have not been verified by the TA.

(b) PSR TBD (To Be Determined) - The TA is unable to accurately assign a PSR based on data provided.

Appendix 4
Entities Filing Expansion Band Elections, as of March 31, 2008

Licensee	ST	Call Sign	Frequencies
Anchorage, Municipality of	AK	KNNQ425	860.9875
Anchorage, Municipality of	AK	WNQL400	860.4875, 860.7125, 860.9875
Anchorage, Municipality of	AK	WPRG698	860.7125
Anchorage, Municipality of	AK	WPRR956	860.7375
North Slope, Borough of	AK	WNDX449	860.7375
North Slope, Borough of	AK	WPZW513	860.7375
North Slope, Borough of	AK	WPZW653	860.7375
Dothan, City of	AL	WPQD755	858.4875
Houston, County of	AL	WPQH284	857.7625, 858.2625
Mobile, County of	AL	WNUX634	857.7625, 857.9875, 858.2375, 858.2625, 858.4375, 858.4625
Northport, City of	AL	WNJD323	857.7125
Bentonville, City of	AR	WPPH830	860.2625
Fayetteville, City of	AR	WPJI661	860.2375, 860.7375
Hot Springs, City of	AR	WPHP482	860.2625
Jefferson, County of	AR	WNVN873	860.2375, 860.2625, 860.7375, 860.9625
Jefferson, County of	AR	WPLY444	860.2125
Paragould, City of	AR	WPFN317	860.2875
Arizona, State of	AZ	WNMY720	860.2125, 860.9375
Flagstaff, City of	AZ	WPWK889	860.4375
Lake Havasu City, City of	AZ	WPXB342	860.4375, 860.9625
Paradise Valley, Town of	AZ	WNMW364	860.2375
Phoenix, City of	AZ	WNMT600	860.9875
Phoenix, City of	AZ	WPXD838	860.9875
City of Palm Springs	CA	WNZH770	860.7125
Contra Costa Community College District	CA	WNMM865	860.2375
Contra Costa Community College District	CA	WNMM866	860.2375
Elk Grove Unified School District	CA	WPJY405	860.7875, 860.8125
Lassen Union School District	CA	WPEF987	860.7875
Lodi, City of	CA	WNLH967	860.2125
Long Beach, City of	CA	KNCR530	860.2375
Los Angeles, County of	CA	KNER447	860.2625
Los Angeles, County of	CA	WPDV636	860.2625
Marin, County of	CA	KNJH407	860.9375
Marin, County of	CA	WPFQ266	860.4625
Merced, City of	CA	WPPX706	860.4375
Monterey Salinas Transit Authority	CA	WPRI866	860.2125
Mountain Valley Emergency Medical Services Agency (Stanislaus County)	CA	WNVJ731	860.9375
Orange, County of, CA	CA	WNIB734	860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9625
Orange, County of, CA	CA	WPMX476	860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9625
Orange, County of, CA	CA	WPMX750	860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9625
Orange, County of, CA	CA	WPMX751	860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9625
Orange, County of, CA	CA	WPMX752	860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9625
Orange, County of, CA	CA	WPMY325	860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9625
Orange, County of, CA	CA	WPMY330	815.2125, 815.4625, 815.7125, 815.9625
Orange, County of, CA	CA	WPMY335	815.2125, 815.4625, 815.7125, 815.9625
Orange, County of, CA	CA	WPMY394	860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9625
Orange, County of, CA	CA	WPMZ774	860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9625
Orange, County of, CA	CA	WPMZ776	860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9625
Orange, County of, CA	CA	WPNP991	860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9625
Orange, County of, CA	CA	WQZ938	860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9625
Palo Alto, City of	CA	WNFI750	860.7125
Placer, County of	CA	WPIE742	860.9375
Pleasant Hill, City of	CA	WNMP521	860.4375
Sacramento City Unified School District	CA	WNHX890	860.4625
Sacramento, County of	CA	WNBQ990	860.7125
Sacramento, County of	CA	WPDD467	860.2125, 860.4375
Sacramento, County of	CA	WPWV729	860.4875
Sacramento, County of	CA	WPXL514	860.4875
Sacramento, County of	CA	WQDK496	860.4875
Sacramento, County of	CA	WQDK705	860.4875

Appendix 4
Entities Filing Expansion Band Elections, as of March 31, 2008

Licensee	ST	Call Sign	Frequencies
San Bernardino, County of	CA	WNNB563	860.2500, 860.4750
San Bernardino, County of	CA	WNNB565	860.2500
San Bernardino, County of	CA	WNNB566	860.4500
San Bernardino, County of	CA	WNNB567	860.2500
San Bernardino, County of	CA	WNNB568	860.2500
San Bernardino, County of	CA	WNNB575	860.2500
San Bernardino, County of	CA	WNNB576	860.4500
San Bernardino, County of	CA	WNNB578	860.2250, 860.9500
San Bernardino, County of	CA	WPMC676	860.2500, 860.4250
San Bernardino, County of	CA	WPNW306	860.2875, 860.8125, 860.8375
San Bernardino, County of	CA	WPNZ337	860.2875, 860.8375
San Bernardino, County of	CA	WPYQ990	860.8125
San Bernardino, County of	CA	WQCW497	860.8125
San Francisco, City and County of	CA	KNGD851	860.4875
San Francisco, City and County of	CA	WNMP411	n/a*
San Francisco, City and County of	CA	WNMP522	860.4625
San Francisco, City and County of	CA	WNNF327	860.4375
San Francisco, City and County of	CA	WPQA782	860.4875
San Francisco, City and County of	CA	WPQF830	860.2125
San Rafael, City of	CA	WNSS412	860.9625
Sierra Community College District	CA	WPIE754	860.9625
Watsonville, City of	CA	WPKI847	860.2375
Arapahoe, County of	CO	WNIJ887	860.3125
Aurora, City of	CO	WNAU532	860.7625, 860.9375, 860.9625, 860.9875
Pueblo, City of	CO	WQAL936	860.7125
Cromwell, Town of	CT	WNKR770	860.9625
District of Columbia	DC	KNJU834	860.9875
District of Columbia	DC	WPXT459	860.9875
City of West Palm Beach	FL	KNER586	860.7125
City of West Palm Beach	FL	WNKD520	860.2125
Clay, County of	FL	WPDC613	860.4375, 860.9625
Collier, County of	FL	WNLK955	860.2625, 860.4375, 860.4875, 860.7625, 860.9375, 860.9625
Collier, County of	FL	WNSK615	860.4375, 860.4875, 860.7625, 860.9625
Indian River, County of	FL	WNMZ770	860.4875, 860.9875
Indian River, County of	FL	WQHR977	860.9875
Jacksonville, City of	FL	WNFP698	860.2125, 860.2625, 860.4625, 860.4875, 860.9375
Jacksonville, City of	FL	WNRE843	860.9375
Jacksonville, City of	FL	WNSC913	860.2375, 860.7375
Jacksonville, City of	FL	WPGY728	860.9875
Jacksonville, City of	FL	WPTF860	860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9375
Miami, City of	FL	KNGR376	860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125
Miami, City of	FL	WNCE612	860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125
Palm Beach, County of	FL	WNHE888	860.3125, 860.3375
Palm Beach, County of	FL	WPRS827	860.3125, 860.3375
Saint Lucie, County of	FL	WPDV207	860.2375, 860.4625
The School Board of Broward County, Florida	FL	KNJJ560	860.9375, 860.9625
Volusia, County of	FL	WNHE867	860.2625, 860.4875, 860.7125, 860.7375, 860.7625
Volusia, County of	FL	WPFQ272	860.2625, 860.4875, 860.7125, 860.7375, 860.7625, 860.9375
Volusia, County of	FL	WPPW666	860.2125
DeKalb County Police Department	GA	KNAN828	858.2625
Guam, Government of	GU	WPDA554	860.2125, 860.2375, 860.2625, 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.7375, 860.7625, 860.9875
Northern Mariana Islands, Commonwealth of	GU	WPCG201	860.2625
Northern Mariana Islands, Commonwealth of	GU	WPTY944	860.9875
Honolulu, City and County of	HI	WPQZ565	860.4625
Honolulu, City and County of	HI	WPRG484	860.4625
Iowa City, City of	IA	WNXG714	860.2625
Iowa City, City of	IA	WNXG746	860.9875
Story, County of	IA	WPQI296	860.4375
The University of Iowa Hospital & Clinics	IA	WPKN529	860.2125

Appendix 4
Entities Filing Expansion Band Elections, as of March 31, 2008

Licensee	ST	Call Sign	Frequencies
Boise, City of	ID	WPIL857	860.9375
Emmett Independent School District	ID	WPYY420	860.8875
Idaho, State of	ID	WPIP622	860.7625
Idaho, State of	ID	WPIP626	860.7625
Idaho, State of	ID	WPIS652	860.7625
Nampa & Meridian Irrigation District	ID	WPUD400	860.7875
City Colleges of Chicago	IL	WNMA681	860.2375
Decatur, City of	IL	WNKZ536	860.2625, 860.4625
Deerfield, Village of (Police Department)	IL	WNGC398	860.7375
Effingham, County of	IL	WPNY754	860.4875
Illinois, State of	IL	WQCT712	860.9375
Illinois, State of (Department of Corrections)	IL	WPLR422	860.2625
Illinois, State of (Department of Corrections)	IL	WPMR362	860.7375
Illinois, State of (Department of Corrections)	IL	WPPD278	860.9375
Jefferson, County of	IL	WPTX994	860.4375
La Salle County of	IL	WPUK993	860.4875
Lansing, Village of	IL	WNNS478	860.7375
Marion County ETSS	IL	KNNT505	860.9875
Normal, Town of	IL	WPIX239	860.4875
Ogle County Sheriff's Office	IL	WQCV211	860.7125
Peoria County Sheriff's Department	IL	WQAB235	860.2625, 860.9625, 860.9875
Rolling Meadows, City of	IL	KNJU694	860.2125
Springfield, City of	IL	WQAZ465	860.4875
Tazewell, County of	IL	WPNW387	860.7125
Tazewell, County of	IL	WQCX272	n/a*
Williamson, County of	IL	WPKM918	860.7625
Floyd, County of	IN	WPNQ948	860.4875
Indiana University	IN	WPCW647	860.8875
Steuben, County of	IN	WPDU229	860.2125
Tippecanoe, County of	IN	WNQH693	860.7375
Garden City, City of	KS	WPMI551	860.4375
Kansas City, City of	KS	WNWF608	860.7625, 860.9375
Kansas City, City of	KS	WPGP232	860.3125
Kentucky, Commonwealth of	KY	WQCP214	860.2625
Madison, County of	KY	WNVN963	860.4875, 860.7375
Powderly, City of	KY	WQCD705	860.4375
Richmond, City of	KY	WQDE403	860.2625
Caddo Parish Communications District No 1	LA	WPMA320	860.7125
Caddo Parish Communications District No 1	LA	WPSQ740	860.7125
East Baton Rouge, Parish of	LA	KNJU727	860.7125
Iberia, Parish of	LA	WPQY650	860.7625
Lafourche, Parish of	LA	WPRX834	860.9375
Louisiana, State of	LA	WNII532	860.2375
Louisiana, State of	LA	WNII533	860.2375, 860.7625
Louisiana, State of	LA	WNII534	860.7625
Louisiana, State of	LA	WNII535	860.4625, 860.9625
Louisiana, State of	LA	WNII536	860.4375, 860.9875
Louisiana, State of	LA	WNMA687	860.2625
Louisiana, State of	LA	WPHE601	860.7625
Louisiana, State of	LA	WPHE605	860.2375
Louisiana, State of	LA	WPHE609	860.9625
Louisiana, State of	LA	WPHE617	860.9875
Louisiana, State of	LA	WPHE629	860.9625
Louisiana, State of	LA	WPHE633	860.4375
Louisiana, State of	LA	WPHE641	860.7625
Louisiana, State of	LA	WPHE657	860.2375, 860.4375
Louisiana, State of	LA	WPHE661	860.4875
Louisiana, State of	LA	WPHF287	860.9625
Louisiana, State of	LA	WPHG955	860.4625
Louisiana, State of	LA	WPIB392	860.7125
Louisiana, State of	LA	WPIR915	860.4625
Louisiana, State of	LA	WPIR919	860.7125

Appendix 4
Entities Filing Expansion Band Elections, as of March 31, 2008

Licensee	ST	Call Sign	Frequencies
Louisiana, State of	LA	WPIR923	860.7625
Louisiana, State of	LA	WPJI711	860.4625
Louisiana, State of	LA	WPKD955	860.4625, 860.9625
Louisiana, State of	LA	WPMI999	860.2625
Louisiana, State of	LA	WPMQ475	860.4875
Louisiana, State of	LA	WPNS672	860.9875
Louisiana, State of	LA	WPPE847	860.2125
Louisiana, State of	LA	WPPE848	860.9375
Madison, Parish of	LA	WPMA348	857.9625
Minden, City of	LA	WPWJ531	860.4875
New Orleans Convention Center	LA	WPJS469	860.2375
New Orleans Regional Transit Authority	LA	WPAP726	860.2125, 860.4375
New Orleans, City of	LA	WNCD880	860.7875, 860.8125
Orleans Levee District	LA	WNQC758	860.2625
Orleans Parish School Board	LA	KNJU690	860.7125
Sterlington, Town of	LA	WPNZ848	860.7375
Allegany, County of	MD	WPRS598	860.4875
Garrett, County of (Board of Education)	MD	WPRU936	860.7375
Salisbury, City of	MD	WPHQ675	860.7625
Somerset, County of	MD	WPWR884	860.9625
Worcester, County of	MD	WPNW557	860.4625, 860.7125
Forest Hills Public Schools	MI	WPUA724	860.7625
Grand Rapids Public Schools	MI	WPIP999	860.2125, 860.9875
Walker, City of	MI	WPVP312	860.4375
Clay, County of	MN	WPLS888	860.7125
Dakota, County of	MN	WPEP246	860.7375
Metropolitan Council/Metro Transit	MN	WPQH695	860.4375
Minnesota, State of	MN	WPKG265	815.2375, 815.2625, 815.4375, 815.9375, 815.9875
Minnesota, State of	MN	WPKG266	815.2625, 815.4375, 815.9375, 815.9875
Minnesota, State of	MN	WPYS897	860.2125, 860.2375, 860.4375, 860.7125, 860.7375, 860.9375, 860.9625
Minnesota, State of	MN	WPYY636	815.2125, 815.2375, 815.4375, 815.7125, 815.7375, 815.9375, 815.9625
Minnesota, State of	MN	WPYY637	860.2375, 860.7375, 860.9375, 860.9625
Minnesota, State of	MN	WQDF219	815.9375
Minnesota, State of	MN	WQDF220	860.9375
Minnesota, State of	MN	WQDG878	860.4375, 860.9375, 860.9875
Minnesota, State of	MN	WQDJ490	860.2375, 860.2625, 860.7625, 860.9625
Minnesota, State of	MN	WQDJ508	860.2375, 860.4625, 860.4875, 860.7375, 860.7625
Minnesota, State of	MN	WQDR523	815.2375, 815.2625, 815.4375, 815.4625, 815.4875, 815.7375, 815.7625, 815.9375, 815.9625, 815.9875
Minnesota, State of	MN	WQHF462	860.2375, 860.7125, 860.9625
Minnesota, State of	MN	WQHK535	860.2375, 860.4375, 860.7125, 860.9625
Minnesota, State of	MN	WPER943	860.2375, 860.2625, 860.4375, 860.9375, 860.9875
Minnesota, State of	MN	WPKG359	860.9375
Minnesota, State of	MN	WPKG360	860.2625
Minnesota, State of	MN	WPYM573	860.9875
Moorhead, City of	MN	WPHY859	860.4625
Blue Springs, City of	MO	WNDG561	860.4875
Curators of the University of Missouri	MO	WPJI572	860.2125
Saint Joseph, City of	MO	WPDC582	860.4875
State of Missouri, Department of Corrections	MO	WPUK277	860.9375
Bolivar County E911	MS	WPXA863	860.2375
City of Columbus	MS	WPNS534	858.2125
De Soto, County of	MS	WPCC361	860.4875, 860.7625
Jackson-Evers International Airport Authority	MS	WQDD668	857.9875
Meridian, City of	MS	WQAP232	858.2125
Mississippi, University of	MS	WPJQ621	860.7375
Smith, County of	MS	WPKG621	858.4375
South Mississippi State Hospital	MS	WPQJ606	857.9875
Vicksburg, City of	MS	KNNH519	857.7125, 858.2625
Asheville, City of	NC	WNXR226	860.7625, 860.9875

Appendix 4
Entities Filing Expansion Band Elections, as of March 31, 2008

Licensee	ST	Call Sign	Frequencies
McDowell, County of	NC	KNNP950	860.9625
Mecklenburg, County of	NC	WNGU623	860.2375, 860.4875, 860.7375, 860.7625, 860.9875
Mecklenburg, County of	NC	WQGP564	860.2375, 860.4875, 860.7375, 860.7625, 860.9875
North Carolina State Highway Patrol	NC	WNRU500	860.4625, 860.4875
North Carolina State Highway Patrol	NC	WPHM257	860.7125
North Carolina State Highway Patrol	NC	WPHM264	860.4375
North Carolina State Highway Patrol	NC	WPKN591	860.7375
North Carolina State Highway Patrol	NC	WPOX341	860.4375
North Carolina State Highway Patrol	NC	WPOX343	860.4375
North Carolina State Highway Patrol	NC	WPOZ292	860.7125
North Carolina State Highway Patrol	NC	WPPB719	860.7125
North Carolina State Highway Patrol	NC	WPRJ405	860.4375
North Carolina State Highway Patrol	NC	WPSM605	n/a*
North Carolina State Highway Patrol	NC	WPYC603	860.4375
Fargo, City of	ND	KNNT448	860.2125
Omaha Public Power District	NE	KNER503	860.4375, 860.4875, 860.9375
Omaha Public Power District	NE	KNER504	860.4375, 860.4875, 860.9375
Omaha Public Power District	NE	WPPY921	860.9375
Omaha Public Power District	NE	WPSZ331	860.3375
Omaha Public Power District	NE	WPTA210	860.3375
Scotts Bluff, County of	NE	WPKU672	860.2125, 860.2375, 860.2625, 860.4875, 860.7375
Manchester, City of	NH	WPDK444	860.4875
Atlantic City, City of	NJ	WPRS952	860.7625
Camden, City of	NJ	WNWG655	860.9875
Camden, City of	NJ	WQAF461	860.9875
Delaware River Port Authority	NJ	WPXY839	860.9875
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNDD570	860.4625, 860.9625
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNDD571	860.4625, 860.9625
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNDD572	860.4625, 860.9625
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNDD573	860.4625, 860.9625
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNDD574	860.4625, 860.9625
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNDD575	860.2125, 860.7125
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNDD576	860.2125, 860.7125
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNDD577	860.9375
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNDD578	860.9375
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNDD579	860.9375
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNDD580	860.2125, 860.7125
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNHS409	860.9375
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNHS410	860.2125, 860.4625, 860.7125, 860.9625
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNII538	860.9375
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNJI598	860.9375
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNPS351	860.4625, 860.9625
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNXC890	860.4625, 860.9625
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNXC891	860.2125, 860.7125
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNXZ718	860.9625
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WNZZ317	860.7125
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WPSE858	860.2125, 860.7125
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WPUH543	860.9375
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WPYQ725	860.4625, 860.9625
New Jersey, State of	NJ	WQBY316	860.4625, 860.9625
Vineland, City of	NJ	WNXZ709	860.4625, 860.9625
Bernalillo, County of	NM	WNZW684	n/a*
Bernalillo, County of	NM	WPUW259	860.4375, 860.9625
State of Nevada	NV	WNZB252	860.7375
State of Nevada	NV	WPKB391	860.7375
State of Nevada	NV	WPKJ524	860.2125, 860.7125, 860.9625
State of Nevada	NV	WPKJ526	860.4625, 860.7375, 860.9625
State of Nevada	NV	WPQD805	860.2125, 860.9875
State of Nevada	NV	WPQD806	860.2125, 860.9875
State of Nevada	NV	WPQZ376	860.2125, 860.4375
State of Nevada	NV	WPRY226	860.2125, 860.9875
State of Nevada	NV	WPRY297	860.2375, 860.7375

Appendix 4
Entities Filing Expansion Band Elections, as of March 31, 2008

Licensee	ST	Call Sign	Frequencies
State of Nevada	NV	WPST690	860.7375
State of Nevada	NV	WPTR307	860.2125
State of Nevada	NV	WPZZ385	860.2375
State of Nevada	NV	WQAV628	860.2125
State of Nevada	NV	WQAV634	860.2125
University and Community College System	NV	WPWK236	860.4625
Washoe, County of	NV	WPRX312	860.2125, 860.2375, 860.2625, 860.4375, 860.4625, 860.4875, 860.7625, 860.9375, 860.9875
Washoe, County of	NV	WPRX313	860.7625
Albany County Airport Authority	NY	WPBD381	860.7625
Albany, County of	NY	WPTC680	860.7375
Chemung, County of	NY	WPDT243	860.2625
City of New York DoITT FCC Licensing Support	NY	KNBX914	860.7375, 860.9875
City of New York DoITT FCC Licensing Support	NY	KNER623	860.4375, 860.7625, 860.9375
City of New York DoITT FCC Licensing Support	NY	WPML463	860.7625
City of New York DoITT FCC Licensing Support	NY	WPML524	860.7625
City of New York DoITT FCC Licensing Support	NY	WPML525	860.7625
City of New York DoITT FCC Licensing Support	NY	WPML526	860.7625
City of New York DoITT FCC Licensing Support	NY	WQCI937	860.4375
Colonie, Town of	NY	WNRN976	860.2625
Colonie, Town of	NY	WPJS475	860.2375
New York City Transit Authority	NY	KB23096	815.3875, 815.4125
New York City Transit Authority	NY	KNEH690	860.3875, 860.4125
New York City Transit Authority	NY	KNEH691	815.3875, 815.4125
New York City Transit Authority	NY	WNUB684	860.3875, 860.4125
New York City Transit Authority	NY	WNUB732	860.3875, 860.4125
Niskayuna, Town of	NY	WPKY419	860.9875
Rockland, County of	NY	WPED556	860.7250
Troy, City of	NY	WPFC682	860.9375
Forest Park, City of	OH	WNJH360	860.9875
City of Norman	OK	WPPC871	860.7625, 860.9625
Edmond, City of	OK	WPJT968	860.9375
Lincoln, County of	OK	WPVM206	860.7375
Oklahoma, State of	OK	KNBU457	860.2375, 860.2625, 860.4375, 860.4875
Oklahoma, State of	OK	WPIP614	860.7125, 860.7375, 860.7625, 860.9875
Oklahoma, State of	OK	WPIP618	860.2375, 860.4375, 860.4875, 860.7125
Oklahoma, State of	OK	WQCQ850	860.9875
Oklahoma, State of	OK	WQCQ878	860.7125
Oklahoma, State of	OK	WQFN465	860.7125
Oklahoma, State of	OK	WQFN466	860.7375
Oklahoma, State of	OK	WQFN467	860.2625
Oklahoma, State of	OK	WQFR618	860.2125
Oklahoma, State of	OK	WQFR886	860.4875
Oklahoma, State of	OK	WQFR887	860.7625
Oklahoma, State of	OK	WQFR889	860.9375
Oklahoma, State of	OK	WQFR890	860.2375
Oklahoma, State of	OK	WQFZ462	860.7125
Oklahoma, State of	OK	WQGF211	860.4375
Shawnee, City of	OK	WNWV280	860.4625
Bend, City of	OR	WNVN568	860.9625
City of Portland	OR	WNVL283	860.2625, 860.4375, 860.4625, 860.7125
City of Portland	OR	WNZY850	860.2625, 860.4375, 860.4625, 860.7125
City of Portland	OR	WPFQ300	860.8125, 860.8625
Deschutes, County of	OR	WPHE354	860.7375, 860.9875
Deschutes, County of	OR	WPJR649	860.2125, 860.9375
Jackson County Juvenile Department	OR	WQCC874	860.2375
Redmond, City of	OR	WQAY688	860.7625
Salem, City of	OR	WPKB609	860.4875
Washington County Consolidated Communications Agency	OR	WNSK475	860.2375, 860.7375
Washington County Consolidated Communications Agency	OR	WQES432	860.2375, 860.7375

Appendix 4
Entities Filing Expansion Band Elections, as of March 31, 2008

Licensee	ST	Call Sign	Frequencies
Washington County Consolidated Communications Agency	OR	WQES436	860.2375, 860.7375
Allegheny County Emergency Services	PA	KNJH332	860.2625, 860.4375, 860.7625
Allegheny County Emergency Services	PA	WNWC473	860.2125, 860.4875
Allegheny County Emergency Services	PA	WPJG234	860.2125, 860.4875
Allentown, City of	PA	WPJK416	860.9375
Carlisle, Borough of	PA	KNNK871	860.2375, 860.4875
Commonwealth of Penna Bloomsburg University	PA	WPGD607	860.8375
Cumberland County, Pennsylvania Department of Emergency Preparedness	PA	WQAB589	860.4375
Fayette, County of	PA	WPDS263	860.2375
Fayette, County of	PA	WPDS263	860.4625
Fayette, County of	PA	WPDS263	860.9875
Lower Allen, Township of	PA	WPAP650	860.9875
Luzerne, County of	PA	WPMZ512	860.7375
Luzerne, County of	PA	WPQD915	860.9875
Luzerne, County of	PA	WPYT624	860.7125, 860.9625
School District of Philadelphia	PA	WNKV367	860.8125, 860.8375, 860.9125
Whitehall, Borough of	PA	WPRF753	860.7125
Rhode Island, State of	RI	WNCX326	860.3125
Charleston, County of	SC	WNVH447	860.2375, 860.4875, 860.7375, 860.9375
Charleston, County of	SC	WPRR560	860.4625
Clemson, City of	SC	WPKU649	860.7375
Greenville, County of	SC	WPKD619	860.7125
Greenwood, County of	SC	WPOX642	857.7375
South Carolina State Ports Authority	SC	WPLU704	860.7125
South Carolina, State of	SC	WPWM262	860.9875
Spartanburg, County of	SC	WPGR361	860.4625, 860.9375
Spartanburg, County of	SC	WPKZ275	860.2125
Spartanburg, County of	SC	WPLZ536	860.2375, 860.2625
Athens Utilities Board	TN	WQDM490	857.7375
Bartlett, City of	TN	WNVF991	860.7375
Clarksville, City of	TN	WQCL650	860.2375
Jackson Energy Authority	TN	WQBJ748	860.7375
Jackson Energy Authority	TN	WQBJ748	860.7375
Jackson, City of	TN	WPEU965	860.2625, 860.7625
Jackson, City of	TN	WQBB501	860.9875
Memphis Shelby County Airport Authority	TN	WPUQ392	860.2625
Memphis, City of	TN	WPAB818	860.3375, 860.3875
Tennessee, State of	TN	WPKH401	860.9375
Tennessee, State of	TN	WPZB947	858.4875
Tennessee, State of	TN	WQBY860	857.9875, 860.2375
Anderson County, Texas	TX	WPYA801	860.2375, 860.9875
Austin, City of	TX	WNBZ704	860.2625, 860.4375
Austin, City of	TX	WPYE613	860.2125, 860.2625, 860.4375
Austin, City of	TX	WPYU318	860.4375
City Public Service	TX	WNLI313	860.2875, 860.3375
Dallas, City of	TX	WNBG573	860.7375, 860.9875
Harris, County of	TX	WNBZ674	860.2125, 860.2375, 860.4625, 860.4875, 860.7125
Harris, County of	TX	WPPF214	860.2125, 860.2375, 860.4625, 860.4875, 860.7125
Harris, County of	TX	WQBM285	860.7125
Houston, City of (Dept. of Aviation)	TX	KNDH570	860.2875, 860.3125
Houston, City of (Dept. of Aviation)	TX	WPNW558	860.7375
Metropolitan Transit Authority of Harris County	TX	KRX666	860.3875
Metropolitan Transit Authority of Harris County	TX	WPTD745	860.3875
Missouri City	TX	WNAS493	860.9625
Texas Tech University	TX	KNNJ876	860.9625
Travis County Emergency Service Dist #9	TX	KSP328	860.9375
Travis, County of	TX	WPYE612	860.2125, 860.2625
Travis, County of	TX	WPZR511	860.4375
Wichita Falls, City of	TX	WQAW913	860.4625, 860.9625
League City, City of	TX	WNNL329	860.9875

Appendix 4
Entities Filing Expansion Band Elections, as of March 31, 2008

Licensee	ST	Call Sign	Frequencies
Murray, City of	UT	WPSK554	860.4375
Murray, City of	UT	WPWH838	860.4375
Murray, City of	UT	WPXK838	860.4375
Salt Lake City, City of	UT	KNJU695	860.7625, 860.9625
Salt Lake Department of Airports	UT	WNYR765	860.2375, 860.2625, 860.4875
Salt Lake Department of Airports	UT	WQBM266	860.2625
Salt Lake, County of	UT	WPGJ689	860.4625, 860.7125, 860.7375
Utah Communications Agency Network	UT	KNIV722	860.2125, 860.2375, 860.2625, 860.4375, 860.4625, 860.4875, 860.7125, 860.7375, 860.7625, 860.9625, 860.9875
Utah Communications Agency Network	UT	WQCE706	860.7125
Utah, County of	UT	WPZV887	860.2125, 860.9375
Arlington, County of	VA	KNIQ704	860.4375, 860.7625, 860.9375
Fairfax, County of	VA	KNIH412	860.2625
Fairfax, County of	VA	WNAJ365	860.2625
Virginia Beach, City of	VA	WNAU439	860.4625, 860.4875, 860.7125, 860.7375
Virginia Beach, City of	VA	WNSS359	860.4875, 860.7375
Virginia, Commonwealth of (Department of Corrections)	VA	WPIZ624	860.4875
Virginia, Commonwealth of (NVCC)	VA	WPRR746	860.4875
Clark, County of	WA	WPJY899	860.9875
Clark, County of	WA	WPLR403	860.9625
Clark, County of	WA	WPLX749	860.7625, 860.9375
Clark, County of	WA	WQEQ542	860.9875
King, County of	WA	WQBZ725	860.4625, 860.9625
Public Utility District #2 of Grant County, WA	WA	KNNJ860	860.2375, 860.2625, 860.4375
Public Utility District #2 of Grant County, WA	WA	KNNJ862	860.2375
Public Utility District #2 of Grant County, WA	WA	KNNJ865	860.2625
Public Utility District #2 of Grant County, WA	WA	KNNJ866	860.2625
Public Utility District #2 of Grant County, WA	WA	KNNJ867	860.4375
Valley Communications Center	WA	WQBD600	860.2625, 860.7125
East Troy, Town of	WI	WNMD420	860.4375
Oregon Schools	WI	WPMV532	860.8875
Ozaukee, County of	WI	WNWS961	860.7125, 860.7625
Sheboygan, County of	WI	WPTS436	860.2625
Watertown Water, City of	WI	WPFD727	860.2375
Wisconsin, University of	WI	WPJH396	860.7875
Morgan, County of	WV	WPSD704	860.2125
Morgan, County of	WV	WPTA421	860.2125
Morgan, County of	WV	WPTA470	860.2125

* Licensee listed a Call Sign on their Expansion Band Election Form that does not have any frequencies within the Expansion Band located at 860-861 MHz (857.5-858.5 MHz in the Southeastern U.S, except within a seventy-mile radius of Atlanta where it is located at 858-858.5 MHz).

Appendix 5
Call Sign-Related Reconfiguration Information, as of March 31, 2008

Current Population of Call Signs, Per Wave, Per Region, as of March 31, 2008						
Public Safety Region (PSR)	PSR Name	Channels 1-120	Public Safety Expansion Band	NPSPAC Band	SE-ESMR ESMR Band	Total
Number of Call Signs						
Wave 1	Subtotal	805	324	1857	0	2986
6	CA - North	109	89	137	0	335
7	Colorado	27	15	227	0	269
8	NY - Metro (CT, NJ, NY, PA)	96	28	391	0	515
11	Hawaii	58	5	28	0	91
13	Illinois	40	12	137	0	189
14	Indiana	27	34	124	0	185
19	ME, NH, VT, MA, RI, CT*	82	24	125	0	231
20	MD; DC; VA - Northern	66	18	90	0	174
27	Nevada	63	5	39	0	107
28	NJ, PA, DE	67	28	197	0	292
35	Oregon	48	1	39	0	88
41	Utah	21	3	147	0	171
42	Virginia	52	23	46	0	121
45	Wisconsin	13	9	3	0	25
54	Chicago	36	30	127	0	193
Wave 2	Subtotal	480	177	735	7	1399
4	Arkansas	39	44	94	0	177
12	Idaho*	15	0	0	0	15
15	Iowa	16	16	6	0	38
16	Kansas	33	6	266	0	305
17	Kentucky	16	14	16	0	46
22	Minnesota*	76	10	50	0	136
24	Missouri	37	11	19	0	67
25	Montana*	19	0	0	0	19
26	Nebraska	10	4	30	0	44
32	North Dakota*	12	0	1	0	13
34	Oklahoma	25	3	33	0	61
38	South Dakota	1	0	0	0	1
39	Tennessee	43	30	56	7	136
40	TX - Dallas	38	21	44	0	103
44	West Virginia	3	1	9	0	13
46	Wyoming	1	2	7	0	10
49	TX - Austin	11	9	65	0	85
51	TX - Houston	43	5	37	0	85
52	TX - Lubbock	42	1	2	0	45
Wave 3	Subtotal	573	203	895	238	1909
1	Alabama	9	29	33	57	128
9	Florida	221	61	311	32	625
10	Georgia	49	28	76	75	228
18	Louisiana	79	15	60	5	159
23	Mississippi	22	16	21	50	109
31	North Carolina	67	28	225	7	327
37	South Carolina	37	24	159	12	232
47	Puerto Rico	66	2	10	0	78
48	US Virgin Islands	23	0	0	0	23

Appendix 5
Call Sign-Related Reconfiguration Information, as of March 31, 2008

Current Population of Call Signs, Per Wave, Per Region, as of March 31, 2008						
Public Safety Region (PSR)	PSR Name	Channels 1-120	Public Safety Expansion Band	NPSPAC Band	SE-ESMR ESMR Band	Total
Number of Call Signs						
Wave 4	Subtotal	902	301	1527	0	2730
2	Alaska*	23	1	1	0	25
3	Arizona*	74	20	99	0	193
5	CA - South*	139	101	366	0	606
21	Michigan*	62	2	284	0	348
29	New Mexico*	25	3	9	0	37
30	NY - Albany*	93	63	187	0	343
33	Ohio*	109	39	136	0	284
36	Pennsylvania*	12	13	215	0	240
43	Washington*	156	20	151	0	327
50	TX - El Paso*	10	4	3	0	17
53	TX - San Antonio*	16	16	28	0	60
54	MI portion of Chicago*	8	4	20	0	32
55	New York - Buffalo*	175	15	28	0	218
61	Gulf of Mexico	0	0	0	0	0
62	Marianas	0	0	0	0	0
63	Guam	0	0	0	0	0
Total for Waves 1-4		2760	1005	5014	245	9024

Appendix 5
Call Sign-Related Reconfiguration Information, as of March 31, 2008

Frequency Proposal Reports for Waves 1-4, as of March 31, 2008														
Status	Wave 1			Wave 2				Wave 3				Wave 4		
	1-120	Exp Band	NPSPAC	1-120	SE-ESMR	Exp Band	NPSPAC	1-120	SE-ESMR	Exp Band	NPSPAC	1-120	Exp Band	NPSPAC
FPR Sent	84.1%	98.2%	100.0%	88.6%	100.0%	99.6%	99.8%	87.0%	97.6%	90.2%	100.0%	16.2%	42.8%	5.9%
Under Prior Contract	12.7%	0.0%	0.0%	7.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.3%	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Affected by Border Zone	2.8%	0.0%	0.0%	3.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	80.5%	42.5%	93.9%
EA/ESMR Related Call Signs	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	10.6%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Recent grants, revised or pending proposals	0.4%	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	9.8%	0.0%	1.0%	14.7%	0.2%
FPRs in process (03/31/2008)	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

- Notes:
- * Public Safety Region (PSR) includes call signs in the international border area. Data may change depending upon border area band plans.
 - a. Data for Channels 1-120 call signs excludes call signs that were under contract with Sprint Nextel prior to the start of reconfiguration and for which contracts are not going to be submitted to the TA for review and approval for Sprint Nextel credit. Data for Expansion Band call signs excludes call signs under prior contract and call signs for which licensees have elected not to reconfigure.
 - b. The current population of call signs has been adjusted for call signs cancelled without a Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement (FRA), and incremented for any call signs added through pending applications. Licensees may cancel licenses or let them expire without entering into an FRA.
 - c. Data for Channels 1-120 call signs includes call signs with at least one primary fixed location authorized for frequencies the 851-854 MHz range with adequate geographic data to determine a PSR. Expansion Band data includes call signs with at least one primary fixed location in the Expansion Band, as the Expansion Band may be defined inside and outside the Southeast ESMR region, with adequate geographic data to determine a PSR. NPSPAC data includes call signs with fixed locations in the 866-869 MHz range with adequate geographic data to determine a PSR. Southeast ESMR Band data includes call signs with fixed locations in the 858.5-862 MHz range within the Southeast ESMR region and with adequate geographic data to determine a PSR. Call signs with locations in multiple PSRs are counted for each PSR. Call signs are counted within every PSR for which they have a fixed primary location.
 - d. Data has been adjusted to reflect the change in the band plan in the Atlanta area pursuant to the FCC's October 5, 2005 Memorandum Opinion and Order.
 - e. STA call signs are excluded from this analysis. The TA does not generally send FPRs for STA call signs unless requested by the licensee for the purposes of planning funding or contract negotiation.

Appendix 6
Status of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement Review for Channels 1-120, Per Wave, Per Region,
as of March 31, 2008

Public Safety Region (PSR)	PSR Name	1-5 Days from Receipt	6-10 Days from Receipt	11-15 Days from Receipt	16-20 Days from Receipt	21 Days or More from Receipt	Total
		Number of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreements (FRAs)					
Wave 1	Subtotal	293	48	13	0	0	354
	Multiregion	71	17	4	0	0	92
	PSR TBD (b)	5	1	0	0	0	6
6	Northern California	31	3	2	0	0	36
7	Colorado	10	0	1	0	0	11
8	Metropolitan NYC Area (NY, NJ, CT)	29	5	0	0	0	34
11	Hawaii	7	0	2	0	0	9
13	Illinois	16	2	0	0	0	18
14	Indiana	7	1	1	0	0	9
19	New England	15	0	0	0	0	15
20	MD; DC; VA - Northern	11	6	0	0	0	17
27	Nevada	18	3	1	0	0	22
28	Eastern Pennsylvania	23	0	1	0	0	24
35	Oregon	12	1	0	0	0	13
41	Utah	5	2	0	0	0	7
42	Virginia	14	2	0	0	0	16
45	Wisconsin	6	1	0	0	0	7
54	Southern Lake Michigan	13	4	1	0	0	18
Wave 2	Subtotal	168	35	2	1	0	206
	Multiregion	49	14	1	0	0	64
	PSR TBD (b)	1	0	0	0	0	1
4	Arkansas	6	3	0	0	0	9
12	Idaho (a)	4	0	0	0	0	4
15	Iowa	3	2	0	0	0	5
16	Kansas	8	0	0	1	0	9
17	Kentucky	9	1	0	0	0	10
22	Minnesota	22	3	0	0	0	25
24	Missouri	10	3	0	0	0	13
25	Montana	4	0	1	0	0	5
26	Nebraska	3	1	0	0	0	4
32	North Dakota (a)	0	0	0	0	0	0
34	Oklahoma	0	2	0	0	0	2
38	South Dakota	1	0	0	0	0	1
39	Tennessee	20	3	0	0	0	23
40	Texas (Central & Northeast)	10	2	0	0	0	12
44	West Virginia	1	0	0	0	0	1
46	Wyoming	0	0	0	0	0	0
47	Puerto Rico	0	0	0	0	0	0
49	Texas - Central (Austin Area)	1	1	0	0	0	2
51	Texas - East (Houston Area)	8	0	0	0	0	8
52	Texas - Panhandle, High Plains & NW	8	0	0	0	0	8

Appendix 6
Status of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement Review for Channels 1-120, Per Wave, Per Region,
as of March 31, 2008

Public Safety Region (PSR)	PSR Name	1-5 Days from Receipt	6-10 Days from Receipt	11-15 Days from Receipt	16-20 Days from Receipt	21 Days or More from Receipt	Total
		Number of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreements (FRAs)					
Wave 3	Subtotal	217	18	4	0	0	239
	Multiregion	66	5	1	0	0	72
	PSR TBD (b)	1	0	0	0	0	1
1	Alabama	12	1	0	0	0	13
9	Florida	48	5	2	0	0	55
10	Georgia	32	3	0	0	0	35
18	Louisiana	13	1	0	0	0	14
23	Mississippi	13	1	0	0	0	14
31	North Carolina	20	1	1	0	0	22
37	South Carolina	4	1	0	0	0	5
47	Puerto Rico	5	0	0	0	0	5
48	US Virgin Islands	3	0	0	0	0	3
Wave 4	Subtotal	102	3	1	0	0	106
	Multiregion	21	0	0	0	0	21
	PSR TBD (b)	1	0	1	0	0	2
2	Alaska	4	0	0	0	0	4
3	Arizona (a)	22	0	0	0	0	22
5	CA - South (a)	2	0	0	0	0	2
10	Georgia	1	0	0	0	0	1
18	Louisiana	9	0	0	0	0	9
21	Michigan (a)	1	0	0	0	0	1
29	New Mexico (a)	7	0	0	0	0	7
30	Eastern Upstate NY (a)	4	1	0	0	0	5
33	Ohio (a)	7	0	0	0	0	7
36	Pennsylvania (a)	4	0	0	0	0	4
43	Washington (a)	5	0	0	0	0	5
50	TX - El Paso (a)	6	0	0	0	0	6
53	TX - San Antonio (a)	4	2	0	0	0	6
54	MI portion of Chicago (a)	4	0	0	0	0	4
55	New York - Buffalo (a)	0	0	0	0	0	0
Totals for Waves 1-4		780	104	20	1	0	905

Notes:

(a) Public Safety Region (PSR) includes international border area. Data may change depending upon border area band plans.

(b) PSR TBD (To Be Determined) - The TA is unable to accurately assign a PSR based on data provided.

Appendix 7
Status of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement Review for NPSPAC Channels, Per Wave, Per Region,
as of March 31, 2008

Public Safety Region (PSR)	PSR Name	1-5 Days from Receipt	6-10 Days from Receipt	11-15 Days from Receipt	16-20 Days from Receipt	21 Days or More from Receipt	Total
		Number of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreements (FRAs)					
Wave 1	Subtotal	223	28	5	0	0	256
	Multiregion	25	7	2	0	0	34
	PSR TBD (b)	11	2	0	0	0	13
6	Northern California	25	3	0	0	0	28
7	Colorado	5	0	0	0	0	5
8	Metropolitan NYC Area (NY, NJ, CT)	33	2	1	0	0	36
11	Hawaii	1	0	1	0	0	2
13	Illinois	5	0	0	0	0	5
14	Indiana	15	3	0	0	0	18
19	New England	32	6	0	0	0	38
20	MD; DC; VA - Northern	7	2	0	0	0	9
27	Nevada	2	0	0	0	0	2
28	Eastern Pennsylvania	14	1	0	0	0	15
35	Oregon	5	0	0	0	0	5
41	Utah	3	0	0	0	0	3
42	Virginia	11	0	1	0	0	12
45	Wisconsin	2	1	0	0	0	3
54	Southern Lake Michigan	27	1	0	0	0	28
Wave 2	Subtotal	143	14	3	0	0	160
	Multiregion	13	3	0	0	0	16
	PSR TBD (b)	3	0	0	0	0	3
4	Arkansas	6	0	0	0	0	6
12	Idaho (a)	0	0	0	0	0	0
15	Iowa	13	1	0	0	0	14
16	Kansas	9	1	0	0	0	10
17	Kentucky	13	1	0	0	0	14
22	Minnesota	14	0	0	0	0	14
24	Missouri	10	0	0	0	0	10
25	Montana	0	0	0	0	0	0
26	Nebraska	13	1	0	0	0	14
32	North Dakota (a)	0	0	0	0	0	0
34	Oklahoma	5	1	0	0	0	6
38	South Dakota	0	0	0	0	0	0
39	Tennessee	12	0	0	0	0	12
40	Texas (Central & Northeast)	19	3	0	0	0	22
44	West Virginia	1	0	0	0	0	1
46	Wyoming	1	0	1	0	0	2
47	Puerto Rico	0	0	0	0	0	0
49	Texas - Central (Austin Area)	7	3	1	0	0	11
51	Texas - East (Houston Area)	4	0	1	0	0	5
52	Texas - Panhandle, High Plains & NW	0	0	0	0	0	0

Appendix 7
Status of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreement Review for NPSPAC Channels, Per Wave, Per Region,
as of March 31, 2008

Public Safety Region (PSR)	PSR Name	1-5 Days from Receipt	6-10 Days from Receipt	11-15 Days from Receipt	16-20 Days from Receipt	21 Days or More from Receipt	Total
		Number of Frequency Reconfiguration Agreements (FRAs)					
Wave 3	Subtotal	83	15	0	0	0	98
	Multiregion	3	2	0	0	0	5
	PSR TBD (b)	6	0	0	0	0	6
1	Alabama	7	2	0	0	0	9
9	Florida	18	6	0	0	0	24
10	Georgia	17	1	0	0	0	18
18	Louisiana	10	4	0	0	0	14
23	Mississippi	9	0	0	0	0	9
31	North Carolina	6	0	0	0	0	6
37	South Carolina	3	0	0	0	0	3
47	Puerto Rico	4	0	0	0	0	4
48	US Virgin Islands	0	0	0	0	0	0
Wave 4	Subtotal	25	2	0	0	0	27
	Multiregion	6	0	0	0	0	6
	PSR TBD (b)	1	0	0	0	0	1
2	Alaska	0	1	0	0	0	1
3	Arizona (a)	1	0	0	0	0	1
5	CA - South (a)	3	0	0	0	0	3
18	Louisiana	0	0	0	0	0	0
21	Michigan (a)	0	0	0	0	0	0
29	New Mexico (a)	1	1	0	0	0	2
30	Eastern Upstate NY (a)	2	0	0	0	0	2
33	Ohio (a)	5	0	0	0	0	5
36	Pennsylvania (a)	1	0	0	0	0	1
43	Washington (a)	1	0	0	0	0	1
50	TX - El Paso (a)	1	0	0	0	0	1
53	TX - San Antonio (a)	2	0	0	0	0	2
54	MI portion of Chicago (a)	1	0	0	0	0	1
55	New York - Buffalo (a)	0	0	0	0	0	0
Totals for Waves 1-4		474	59	8	0	0	541

Notes:

(a) Public Safety Region (PSR) includes international border area. Data may change depending upon border area band plans.

(b) PSR TBD (To Be Determined) - The TA is unable to accurately assign a PSR based on data provided.

Appendix 8
Summary of Stage 1 FRAs that have Closed, as of March 31, 2008

Stage 1 Completed FRAs*			
Deal Name		TA Completion Certification Receipt Date	Deal Count
Wave 1			
1	Cunningham, Barbara	5/3/06	
2	Baltimore Gas & Electric Company	1/26/07	
3	Thelen Sand & Gravel Inc	7/10/07	
4	Commonwealth Repeater Services, Inc.	7/12/06	
5	Henderson, Henry	6/26/06	
6	Vico Construction Company	4/17/06	
7	Cohen, Eliahu	6/11/07	
8	Anderson, Glen	5/18/07	
9	Smith, Alton	5/18/06	
10	Kuypers, John	2/27/06	
11	Reilly, Phillip	6/5/07	
12	Stoller Construction	2/5/07	
13	Wulf, Thomas	7/10/06	
14	Chapin, Barbara A.	5/25/06	
15	Estate of Rolland Gonsalves	6/30/06	
16	Ferma Corp	9/20/06	
17	Lodi Unified School District	12/22/05	
18	Dick Anderson and Sons, Inc	7/5/06	
19	Cupertino Union School District	6/6/06	
20	York Cable Television	10/24/07	
21	Billiou Ranch Inc.	2/7/06	
22	Murray City Power Department	3/13/08	
23	841 Bishop, LLC	7/6/06	
24	Marco Polo Rebanding	4/27/06	
25	Ohio Valley Gas	4/27/06	
26	Resorts USA Inc.	6/30/06	
27	NBC Telemundo License Company	10/9/06	
28	Parrot Ranch Company	2/15/06	
29	Hartford Hospital	1/19/07	
30	CSI Communications d/b/a Day Wireless Systems	8/9/06	
31	Hampden Communication Corp	12/12/06	
32	Mountaire Farms	12/5/06	
33	Ihilani Rebanding	10/20/06	
34	Binder Machinery Corporation	4/27/06	
35	Adler, Philip	2/1/07	
36	Underground Inc	6/21/06	
37	Mammoth June Ski Resort, Inc.	7/12/06	
38	Firstview Communications 2	1/27/06	
39	Levi Strauss & Co	8/30/06	
40	Browning Ferris Industries, Inc. 2005	6/12/07	
41	Total Networks Communications Inc	8/3/06	
42	M S Concrete	7/26/06	
43	Brenner, Jerry	2/21/07	
44	Cure Brothers	6/28/07	
45	F & D Enterprises Inc.	7/17/06	
46	Boston Properties limited Partnership	8/24/06	
47	A Teichert & Son Contract	8/1/06	
48	Ready Mixed Concrete Company	6/26/07	
49	Bresnan Communications, LLC	6/9/06	
50	Rocky Mountain Motorists Inc.	7/24/06	
51	Coast Hotels and Casinos	2/27/06	

Appendix 8
Summary of Stage 1 FRAs that have Closed, as of March 31, 2008

Stage 1 Completed FRAs*			
	Deal Name	TA Completion Certification Receipt Date	Deal Count
52	Henry Nelch & Son Co	7/28/06	
53	Gold Star FS INC	4/18/06	
54	Church of Jesus Christ of LDS	8/1/06	
55	Fruit Belt Service Co. Inc	8/30/06	
56	Casino Queen INC	7/10/06	
57	West County Transportation Agency	6/19/06	
58	Emery, Connie R	5/10/06	
59	Emery, Robert M	6/6/06	
60	Steuben County 3	8/15/06	
61	Brandenburg, James: Brandenburg, Donald	6/6/06	
62	Wireless Connections LLC	10/17/06	
63	Brooks, Janis	12/1/06	
64	Comcast of Connecticut, Inc.	11/27/06	
65	San Jose Unified School District	7/27/06	
66	Duncan Gordon L	11/21/06	
67	Las Vegas Paving	7/6/06	
68	Sobota, Carolyn	6/16/06	
69	PK Smith Limousine Co., Inc.2	6/8/06	
70	Weleccki, Alan M	12/28/06	
71	Rheins, Joy 2	5/23/07	
72	RCMH Car Company, Inc. 2	6/14/07	
73	Storer, Donald B.	6/21/06	
74	Praire Group Inc.	3/15/07	
75	Super Shuttle International 2	5/24/06	
76	Mathews Ferderick and Chas	12/6/06	
77	O'Hare Midway Limousine Svcs, Inc.	6/4/07	
78	Irving Materials	7/28/06	
79	ISG Indiana Harbor Inc.	5/16/06	
80	Perdue Farms Inc.	6/23/06	
81	London Towncars Inc	8/8/06	
82	Lowery, Nelda	2/15/06	
83	New Star Fresh Foods LLC	10/9/06	
84	Access Ag, Inc.	5/24/06	
85	Blankenbechler, Steve	8/1/06	
86	Fowlkes, Edddie	8/31/06	
87	MCM Construction # 2 Swap	2/12/08	
88	Wireless Market Source 2	1/26/06	
89	Transit Mix Concrete Co	1/24/06	
90	Boyar, Adam	4/25/06	
91	Sunset Scavenger Corp	4/27/06	
92	Shuluk, Greg	8/28/06	
93	Mc Clatchy Newspaper Inc.	1/19/07	
94	Lely, Gerald	7/12/06	
95	Jung, Mark	9/11/06	
96	Crader, R David	4/17/06	
97	Central Bucks School District	4/13/07	
98	Patel, Shailesh N #3 (swap)	6/14/06	
99	Douglas E Morris Profit Sharing Trust	6/29/06	
100	Wright Jr, Gary L	10/23/06	
101	Yamaoka Bros Inc	5/25/06	
102	Wilbur Ellis Company DBA Helm Fertilizers	7/17/06	
103	Community Repeater Guidance CA	8/1/06	

Appendix 8
Summary of Stage 1 FRAs that have Closed, as of March 31, 2008

Stage 1 Completed FRAs*			
	Deal Name	TA Completion Certification Receipt Date	Deal Count
104	Communications Systems Specialist, Inc.	6/9/06	
105	Radisson Hotel and Conference Center	7/26/06	
106	Gallagher Asphalt # 2	5/23/06	
107	Jeffersonville, City of	8/4/06	
108	Black, Daniel	6/1/06	
109	County of Ocean New Jersey	3/15/07	
110	Steg, Bernard (2)	5/10/06	
111	Stock, Marcia	2/15/06	
112	Secom Communications 2	7/10/06	
113	Whitley County Consolidated Schools	8/16/06	
114	High Peak Communications, LLC	4/21/06	
115	Central Jersey Irrigation	7/24/06	
116	Conley, George	11/2/06	
117	Clifford Broman & Sons Trucking Inc	2/16/06	
118	Wheatridge, City of	8/15/06	
119	Ruffin Gaming LLC	4/23/06	
120	Denver Public Schools	9/6/06	
121	Hernandez, David A	6/12/07	
122	Emergency Radio Services Inc. 2	1/29/07	
123	Shearer Communications	8/15/06	
124	Craig Antenna Service 2	12/20/07	
125	Tilden, Robert	8/9/06	
126	Prentiss Properties (rebanding)	7/13/06	
127	Espinoza, Raul	4/27/06	
128	Adams, Don Jr.	5/17/07	
129	Triangle Wireless Inc	7/12/06	
130	Oregon, State of	12/22/05	
131	Detweiler, Scott R.	5/15/06	
132	Donald, C. Alger	8/15/06	
133	Aggregate Inc.	1/17/07	
134	Easton, Town of	7/28/06	
135	Albany, City of	5/3/06	
136	Horizon Mobile Communications Inc.	3/29/07	
137	RA Comm Inc	1/10/06	
138	Mora, Albert	7/2/07	
139	Salisbury, Town of	5/9/06	
140	Sprague, Town of	2/27/07	
141	Radon - Norcom	3/15/07	
142	Lyon, Corey M	8/4/06	
143	Jokers, Lloyd L	2/7/06	
144	Taylor, Eugene J.	4/21/06	
145	Methvin, Madeline	9/5/06	
146	Clean Water Services	7/21/06	
147	Denver Radio Electronics & Technology	7/19/06	
148	Sangamon, County of (Courthouse)	8/4/06	
149	Caterpillar	2/15/07	
150	Townsend Booth	5/23/07	
151	RSK World, Inc. Rebanding	7/17/07	
152	Wecom Inc.	12/6/06	
153	Soundview Spectrum, LLC	3/15/07	
154	ANAT, LLC Rebanding	7/23/07	
155	Orosz, Joseph Rebanding	6/11/07	

Appendix 8
Summary of Stage 1 FRAs that have Closed, as of March 31, 2008

Stage 1 Completed FRAs*			
	Deal Name	TA Completion Certification Receipt Date	Deal Count
156	G & G Communications Inc. Rebanding	11/27/06	
157	Excalibur Hotel Casino	8/28/06	
158	Mandalay Corp	8/3/06	
159	Crane, Bert	10/16/06	
160	Morgan, County of (WV)	11/10/06	
161	Montgomery, County of 2005	10/24/07	
162	Tooele, County Of	5/9/06	
163	Herby, Clinton	4/17/06	
164	Stamford Fire Department	10/6/06	
165	Aluminium Company of America 1	7/28/06	
166	Celco SND Comm Inc	7/7/06	
167	Lectro Communications Inc.	8/9/06	
168	Lockheed Martin Corporation	3/6/07	
169	Waste Management Holdings Inc. (2)	9/6/06	
170	Mobile Radio of Kokomo Inc.	10/5/06	
171	Viking Land Mobile Communications, Inc. (2)	5/9/06	
172	Raft River Rural Electric Corp	1/5/06	
173	Plantings By the Sea	4/25/06	
174	Hardy Plumbing , Heating & Air Conditioning, Inc	8/16/06	
175	Globeground North America LLC	11/20/06	
176	Hudson General -NY	5/24/07	
177	Slater, Stuart R	12/23/05	
178	Veach, Dorothy	8/30/06	
179	Aeronautical Radio - NJ	10/6/06	
180	CNF Transportation Inc.	12/8/06	
181	Connecticut State of , CCSU	1/8/07	
182	Salvation Army Harrisburg	7/17/06	
183	Technology Associates, LLC	8/29/06	
184	Sangamon, County of (Mobile Data)	9/8/06	
185	Taylor, Dorothy L	4/27/06	
186	Chicago, City of - Streets & Sanitation	6/14/06	
187	Myers, Natalie	5/18/06	
188	Brock, Harold: Samuel: Dorothy (Nevada)	7/6/06	
189	Shledon Gwyneth A # 4 (swap)	7/20/06	
190	Motient (Deal I - L120)	4/17/07	
191	Township of Berkeley	3/10/08	
192	PCS Communications	9/19/07	
193	State Farm Mutual Automobile Insurance Co (2)	9/26/06	
194	State Farm Mutual Automobile Insurance Co (4)	9/27/06	
195	Frontier Radio	2/9/07	
196	Redland Genstar Inc.	3/25/08	
197	Colorado, State of (L-120)	5/30/07	
198	Cook Dupage Transportation	8/21/06	
199	New York Communications Company Inc.	6/1/07	
200	WP Company LLC	3/2/07	
201	Vectren Corporation/ Indiana Gas Co. Inc.	5/31/07	
202	CU Radio Enterprises, Inc.	8/8/06	
203	K5 Contruction	1/24/07	
204	Mid - State Mobile Radio Inc.	12/8/06	
205	Atlantic, County of	10/3/07	
206	Triple C Communications	12/6/06	
207	Willard Agri Svc	1/19/07	

Appendix 8
Summary of Stage 1 FRAs that have Closed, as of March 31, 2008

Stage 1 Completed FRAs*			
	Deal Name	TA Completion Certification Receipt Date	Deal Count
208	Mirage Resorts Inc.	3/26/07	
209	Granite Contruction Company	9/8/06	
210	Windham, Town of	11/20/06	
211	First Student, Inc.	4/19/07	
212	K & A Mutual Associates Inc.	1/23/07	
213	Dorler Communications Company	11/1/06	
214	Chaney Enterprises (2)	3/8/07	
215	Upper Merion, Township of	9/8/06	
216	XW LLC #2 (swap)	3/21/07	
217	Dole Fresh Fruit	1/25/07	
218	Sun Cab Inc dba Nellis Cab Company	5/11/07	
219	William A. Hazel	2/2/07	
220	Metro Electronics	3/16/07	
221	JTE Enterprises	2/21/07	
222	Insight Communications Midwest LLC	2/26/07	
223	Joseph J Albanese Inc	2/15/07	
224	The Boeing Company	8/30/06	
225	Egg Harbor, Township of	9/7/07	
226	Illinois, State of	10/12/06	
227	Nevada Ready Mix II	2/27/07	
228	Meriden, City of	3/21/07	
229	Rhode Island, State of	3/20/07	
230	Motorola (2)	4/20/07	
231	R. W. Miller & Sons Inc	2/8/07	
232	Deere & Company	11/2/06	
233	Trenton, City of	4/6/07	
234	TKT INC	11/2/06	
235	Montgomery Shuttle	4/11/07	
236	Desilva Gates Const.	7/2/07	
237	Gerawan Farming (Re-band)	3/27/07	
238	Ferrari, Julia (Plote)	6/16/06	
239	E&J Gallo Winery	8/14/06	
240	Mary Ellen Brewer (Lundy)	2/26/07	
241	Pennington, Agnes	5/15/07	
242	Petry, Gay	10/17/06	
243	Glastonbury Police Department	3/15/07	
244	Olde City Taxi Coach Association, Inc.	4/30/07	
245	Baker Rock Crushing Company	11/27/06	
246	Union, County of	10/25/07	
247	Frederick County, MD	2/9/07	
248	Sonoma County, CA	3/1/07	
249	David Whiteside	6/26/07	
250	KLL Wireless, INC	1/29/07	
251	Durham School services - PHI, PA	5/1/07	
252	Durham School services - CHI, IL	5/1/07	
253	Durham School Services - Decatur, IL	5/23/07	
254	Durham Scool Services - North CA	5/1/07	
255	Cumberland, County of	6/5/07	
256	Academy Express	7/10/07	
257	John E Sola Estate	7/25/07	
258	Johnson, County of	1/31/08	
259	Frederick County, MD Board of Education	2/8/07	

Appendix 8
Summary of Stage 1 FRAs that have Closed, as of March 31, 2008

Stage 1 Completed FRAs*			
	Deal Name	TA Completion Certification Receipt Date	Deal Count
260	VCF Inc.	7/24/07	
261	PG & E (Re-Band)	5/15/07	
262	Wille Brother Company	1/25/07	
263	Waterbury, City of	10/24/06	
264	Nevada Ready Mix	1/15/08	
265	DuPage, County of	1/14/08	
266	Hawaii, State of	3/16/07	
267	Ragan Communications, Inc #3 (swap)	6/22/07	
268	Rock County, WI	11/13/06	
269	New Haven, City of	1/25/07	
270	Ray's Radio #2	1/11/08	
271	Bethlehem, City of	6/27/07	
272	Illinois Power Company	7/12/07	
273	Coastal SMR/ARC	11/16/07	
	Subtotal FRA count for Wave 1		273
Wave 2			
1	Fischer, Craig D	4/21/06	
2	Frontier El Dorado Refining	6/1/06	
3	TFM Comm Inc.	7/13/06	
4	Gateway Wireless Services 3	10/4/06	
5	Butler, Edward	7/6/06	
6	Curtis Well Servicing	1/10/07	
7	Champion Communications Services	3/14/06	
8	J Lee Milligan	6/6/06	
9	Balis, Gregory K	6/28/06	
10	Malavia, Jim	5/9/06	
11	Telebeep Inc.	6/1/06	
12	Best D & D	5/18/06	
13	Nebco Inc.	2/27/06	
14	Herby, John	7/27/06	
15	Airport Fast Park	7/23/07	
16	Graybill Electronics Inc.	5/25/06	
17	Triple D Communications Inc	4/27/06	
18	Seba Bros Farms Inc	4/27/06	
19	Electronic Specialties, Inc	3/8/06	
20	Fred Weber Inc.	7/19/06	
21	Gerbis Bros Contruction Co	2/28/07	
22	West Central Communications 2	7/12/06	
23	Scott Strouts DBA AMC Taxi	7/5/06	
24	Maplewood, City of	1/3/06	
25	Boyar, Chris	6/9/06	
26	Roadrunner Transportation	6/6/06	
27	Corey Seppmann Well Drilling	1/17/07	
28	Davis Jr, Clifton	8/15/06	
29	Allied Services LLC	7/26/06	
30	Battles Communications 2	5/15/06	
31	Meek,Larry (Rebanding)	10/23/07	
32	Houston 900 Network	6/23/06	
33	Smith, Eleanor (2)	5/18/06	
34	Mattson Well Company	2/19/07	
35	Williamstown, City of	8/7/06	
36	Metro Communications LLC	4/27/06	

Appendix 8
Summary of Stage 1 FRAs that have Closed, as of March 31, 2008

Stage 1 Completed FRAs*			
	Deal Name	TA Completion Certification Receipt Date	Deal Count
37	Hewlett Packard Company Inc.	5/5/06	
38	Minnesota, State of	5/15/06	
39	Lyondell Citgo	3/27/06	
40	Zenk, John	1/10/06	
41	Johnson, Christian	3/14/06	
42	Myers, L E	6/2/06	
43	Steier, Tim	4/21/06	
44	Ames Construction Inc.	8/15/06	
45	Stier Transportation Services Inc.	8/18/06	
46	Herby, Martha A	8/16/06	
47	Raabe, Richard	11/8/06	
48	First Student, Inc.	4/17/06	
49	Alperowitz, Francine	7/28/06	
50	Radioland Inc.	5/15/06	
51	McMahon, Eric	4/17/06	
52	Leonardt, Bruno	8/1/06	
53	Boulder AG	3/20/07	
54	Idaho Supreme Potatoes	2/3/06	
55	Wood Communications 2	6/28/06	
56	Mid Tennessee third Mobile	5/9/06	
57	Aeronautical Radio Inc	10/27/06	
58	Lees Summit Board of Education	12/6/05	
59	Alpha Wireless Communications Inc.	3/13/07	
60	Kenton County Airport Board	6/19/06	
61	Lexington Fayette Urban County Government	6/25/07	
62	Epperson, Jimmy A.	5/25/06	
63	Miller, Cleo	8/24/06	
64	Melba School District 136	6/6/06	
65	North Kansas City, City of (School District)	7/13/06	
66	Airtel Wireless, LLC	8/28/06	
67	Ashland Construction Communications	7/6/06	
68	Craighead, County of AR	8/16/06	
69	Crittenden County, AR	11/1/06	
70	Grant County, AR	3/5/07	
71	Holsum Bakers Inc DBA Caprock Communications	6/9/06	
72	Pine Bluff, City of, AR	6/16/06	
73	Stinnett Heater Treater Repairs	5/24/06	
74	Sebastian, County of, AR	4/13/07	
75	Minneapolis, City of	6/19/06	
76	Hopkins, Terry	6/26/06	
77	Minnesota, State of - Dept. of Corrections	5/18/07	
78	Cox Communications - Wichita, KS	5/15/06	
79	Wenner Farms	3/14/07	
80	21st Century Wireless (L120 Rebanding)	8/9/06	
81	Comm Center /Phoenix Distributing	4/3/07	
82	Bismark, City of	12/27/06	
83	Entergy	2/27/08	
84	Molitor Farms Inc	8/9/06	
85	W G Block Co	1/17/07	
86	Young, Chris: Paschall, Larry A	3/15/07	
87	Hopkins, City of	10/31/06	
88	Lehman Roberts	9/15/06	

Appendix 8
Summary of Stage 1 FRAs that have Closed, as of March 31, 2008

Stage 1 Completed FRAs*			
	Deal Name	TA Completion Certification Receipt Date	Deal Count
89	Main Street Partners	6/7/07	
90	Crescent, The	2/26/07	
91	Watowan Farm Service	9/27/06	
92	Eastman Chemical Company	12/1/06	
93	Hearthstone Enterprises Inc	10/3/06	
94	Mustang, City of, OK	12/15/06	
95	Intermountain Communications of Southern Idaho Inc	5/2/07	
96	Co Op Agriculture Center	8/24/06	
97	Bellar Communications Co	8/14/06	
98	Hertz Rent A Car	7/2/07	
99	Laidlaw (Wave 2 and 4)	10/18/07	
100	Minnesota Mobile Telephone	8/24/06	
101	Septran, Inc.	12/12/07	
102	Michael Howell	10/12/06	
103	Schmidtke, Dave	11/1/06	
104	Estate of Joseph C Thames	7/21/06	
105	Green Isle Countryside Farms	3/28/07	
106	Charleston Housing	3/22/07	
107	Hillard, Harold 2	10/17/07	
108	City of Derby	11/16/07	
109	City of Dakota Dunes	8/28/06	
110	C & W Comm (Steve Gill)	11/9/06	
111	Gem State	2/5/07	
112	Snyder, Kenneth C.	2/8/07	
113	AVR Inc.	10/6/06	
114	Bass Enterprises Construction Company	7/23/07	
115	C S Leasing	11/27/06	
116	Midwest City	11/2/06	
117	Bridgeport Tank Trucks	8/15/07	
118	Grays Knob Coal Co	10/17/07	
119	Hennepin County	3/15/07	
120	Union Carbide Corp	12/8/06	
121	Griffin, Bryan	1/3/07	
122	Berry Hill, City of	5/14/07	
123	Sater, Gary N.	2/12/07	
124	G & D Communications	4/19/07	
125	Brush, Peter	2/1/07	
126	Aeronautical Radio - MS	11/29/06	
127	A Communications LLC	6/12/07	
128	John Knox Village	11/10/06	
129	Baptist Medical System	10/17/07	
130	Dallas Count, TX	11/27/06	
131	Northwest Airlines	3/15/07	
132	Ford Communications	5/24/07	
133	Anchorage, City of	2/5/07	
134	W A Ellis Construction Company	4/9/07	
135	Xcel Energy Services Inc	1/18/07	
136	Durham School Services - MO	5/1/07	
137	County Douglas - KS	1/3/07	
138	Bliss, Robert D	10/10/07	
139	Raymer, Joe R	5/17/07	
140	Leavenworth, County of, KS	6/4/07	

Appendix 8
Summary of Stage 1 FRAs that have Closed, as of March 31, 2008

Stage 1 Completed FRAs*			
	Deal Name	TA Completion Certification Receipt Date	Deal Count
141	Action Radio & Communications INC	10/23/06	
142	Holes Inc	2/27/07	
143	MO ARK Communications Inc.	4/11/07	
144	Denton, City of	1/14/08	
145	Peacock, John F	8/24/07	
146	Electronic Engineering Co. 3	2/26/07	
147	Self Radio, Inc. and Self Brothers Oil Company	2/18/08	
148	SR Communications Associates	3/6/07	
149	Sedgwick, County of, KS	2/19/08	
150	Cemstone Products Company	2/7/07	
151	Mobil Oil Telcom LTD	3/21/08	
152	Aldredge, RW:Kay, AP:Weishuhn G	7/2/07	
153	Chevron Phillips Chemical Co LP	5/17/07	
154	Callahan Tower Joint Venture	1/5/07	
155	Richardson, TX City of	10/30/06	
156	Occidental Permian LTD	2/26/07	
157	Kansas, State of	3/10/08	
158	Challenger Construction INC.	6/11/07	
159	Chattanooga SMR Inc	1/3/07	
160	Athens Utilities Board, TN	11/17/06	
161	SP100 South 5th Street LLC & SP150 South Street	3/5/07	
162	JRJ Paving	5/24/07	
163	American Red Cross	12/1/06	
164	JP Jenkins, Inc.	3/28/07	
165	Ebenhyoh, Daniel	2/26/07	
166	Central Communications & Electronics	6/11/07	
167	Gladstone, City of	8/24/07	
168	Capital Aggregates Inc	10/23/07	
169	Atofina Petrochemicals Inc	4/9/07	
	Subtotal FRA count for Wave 2		169
Wave 3			
1	Time Warner Entertainment-Advance/Nethouse Pa	3/27/06	
2	Curry and Company Plumbing	5/10/06	
3	BJM and Associated Inc	10/17/06	
4	Cargill Juice North America, Inc.	1/3/06	
5	Ben Hill Griffin	7/11/06	
6	North Communications of PR	5/10/06	
7	Boulware, Winston	6/20/06	
8	Bright House Networks, LLC	7/19/06	
9	Highland Wireless Services, L.L.C.	5/23/06	
10	Modern Communications of Greenville Mississippi	7/7/06	
11	Quadratics Inc.	7/14/06	
12	Rodd Electronics Contract	6/29/06	
13	Shreveport Communications	7/11/06	
14	Fulk, Gray L.	7/26/06	
15	Wilemon, Jerry W	5/17/06	
16	State Farm Mutual Automobile Insurance Co (3)	9/27/06	
17	Columbus City of - Medical Center	7/2/07	
18	Gastonia, city of , NC	8/15/06	
19	Ashford, City of, AL	8/30/06	
20	Louisburg, Town of, NC	8/15/06	
21	Ingram Grove Service Inc	8/9/06	

Appendix 8
Summary of Stage 1 FRAs that have Closed, as of March 31, 2008

Stage 1 Completed FRAs*			
	Deal Name	TA Completion Certification Receipt Date	Deal Count
22	Patterson Communications & Electronics	12/11/06	
23	Byrd, Alan P	8/5/06	
24	Houston County of AL	7/21/06	
25	Tri Co Communications	8/10/06	
26	Gaston, County of, NC	2/21/07	
27	Mears Destination Services	8/15/06	
28	AFLAC	9/15/06	
29	Oxford, City of, NC	10/6/06	
30	Jack M Berry Inc.	12/13/06	
31	Chatco Communications	5/17/07	
32	Tallulah, City of, LA	11/29/06	
33	White, James	9/8/06	
34	Chattaway, Paul S	7/25/07	
35	Morganton, City of, NC	8/28/06	
36	Gunby Communications Inc.	9/4/07	
37	Waters Brothers Contracting	9/15/06	
38	Monroe - Southern	2/22/07	
39	Buddys Phone Patching Inc.	9/20/06	
40	Dothan City Schools	3/27/07	
41	Waste Services of Florida	11/17/06	
42	Sugar Cane Growers	2/15/07	
43	Lykes Brothers Inc	8/1/06	
44	C & S Communications INC	8/15/07	
45	Micro Technology Southeast	3/5/07	
46	Tallapoosa, County Of, AL	12/11/06	
47	Supershuttle International	9/6/06	
48	Woodard Communications Corporation	11/7/06	
49	APM Terminals North America	5/14/07	
50	Electronic Maintenance Company	12/19/06	
51	Lakeland, City Of, FL	4/6/07	
52	Two Way Communications, Inc. LA	11/2/06	
53	Haralson, County Of, GA	12/13/06	
54	Rabalais Jr, Ronald J	10/11/06	
55	Cameron, Parish Of, LA	3/26/07	
56	Wireless Technology Equipment Company Inc	10/27/06	
57	Horn Lake, City of, MS	1/18/07	
58	Alpharetta, City of, GA	2/15/07	
59	Washington, County of, FL	11/27/06	
60	Charlotte, City of, NC	11/21/06	
61	Vestavia Hills, City Of, AL	12/6/06	
62	Neighborly Care Network, Inc.	2/12/07	
63	North Sight Communications, INC.	7/12/07	
64	CellSMR South Inc	10/17/06	
65	Sea Coast Communications Inc	5/14/07	
66	Everglades Communications Inc	11/20/06	
67	Amoco Oil Company	9/13/07	
68	Saint Joseph Hospital INC	10/17/06	
69	Anderson, City Of, SC	3/2/07	
70	Columbus, City of, GA	1/17/07	
71	Gainesville, City of, GA	3/30/07	
72	Wireless Services of Puerto Rico Inc	3/11/08	
73	North Carolina, State of - DOC	2/2/07	

Appendix 8
Summary of Stage 1 FRAs that have Closed, as of March 31, 2008

Stage 1 Completed FRAs*			
	Deal Name	TA Completion Certification Receipt Date	Deal Count
74	Re Max Agents Realty Inc	3/5/07	
75	Carroll, County Of, GA	6/4/07	
76	Louisiana Transit Company Inc.	1/30/07	
77	Forest Park, City Of, GA	4/19/07	
78	Martha Herby - Virgin Islands	3/27/07	
79	BCW INC	9/18/07	
80	McDonald Construction Corporation	7/31/07	
81	City of Wilson	3/20/07	
82	Roswell, City of, GA	4/2/07	
83	S C Department Of Mental Health, SC	1/3/07	
84	Orange City, Of, FL	8/6/07	
85	Orange, County of, FL	10/23/07	
86	Huntsville Radio Service	3/27/07	
87	Central Florida Regional Transportation Authority	5/15/07	
88	Shaw Industries Inc	3/21/07	
89	Tampa Electric Company	11/21/06	
90	Carroll County Water Authority, GA	10/1/07	
91	Tower Communications, Inc	7/26/07	
92	Vincent, Perry	7/25/07	
93	United States Sugar Corporation	2/15/07	
94	Palm Springs, Village of, FL	6/19/07	
95	Florida Hospital	2/27/07	
96	Rutherford Electric Membership Corp	8/13/07	
97	Purser, Mark	2/26/07	
98	Palm Beach County Solid Waste Authority	1/31/07	
99	Desoto, County of, Fl	3/28/07	
100	Decatur, City of, GA	7/10/07	
101	Donn Barr - Virigin Islands	2/2/07	
102	Action Community Center	11/27/06	
103	Sunstate Communications Inc	3/2/07	
104	Richland, City of, MS	3/26/07	
105	High Tech Communications Services Inc	3/16/07	
106	Palm Beach, County of, FL	3/15/07	
107	Atlanta, City of, GA	9/18/07	
108	Perry, County of	12/27/06	
109	Consolidated Freightways Corporation	6/1/07	
110	Pineville, Town of, NC	3/12/07	
111	Communications Leasing Corp	4/3/07	
112	Lantana, Town of, FL	3/5/07	
113	Madison, Parish of, LA	11/17/06	
114	Hattiesburg-Laurel Regional Airport Authority, MS	12/27/06	
115	Miami Springs, City of, FL	9/14/07	
116	Car Comm / End of Line	12/14/07	
117	Florida, State of - Ranger Drainage District	12/28/06	
118	M. E. Diversified Electronics, Inc	2/23/07	
119	Federal Express Corporation	3/13/07	
120	State Farm Mutual Automobile Insurance Companie	3/27/07	
121	South Sales Communications Inc	8/6/07	
122	FLOYD HEALTHCARE MANAGEMENT INC DBA F	1/17/07	
123	GLYNN, COUNTY OF, GA	7/18/07	
124	MEDLEY, TOWN OF, FL	10/16/07	
125	Whitley's Communications Service	3/1/07	

Appendix 8
Summary of Stage 1 FRAs that have Closed, as of March 31, 2008

Stage 1 Completed FRAs*			
	Deal Name	TA Completion Certification Receipt Date	Deal Count
126	Two Way Radio of Carolina	8/13/07	
127	SAINT PETERSBURG, CITY OF, FL	3/5/07	
128	PINELLAS, COUNTY OF, FL	10/8/07	
129	CONCRETE SUPPLY COMPANY	7/16/07	
130	SUMITOMO LIFE REALTY NY INC	8/6/07	
131	Targa Midstream Services Limited Partnership	3/24/08	
132	GWINNETT, COUNTY OF, GA	1/30/07	
133	LAWRENCEVILLE, CITY OF, GA	2/27/07	
134	SNELLVILLE, CITY OF, GA	4/19/07	
135	COLLINS, ROLAND	10/3/07	
136	Bushnell, City of FL / Bushnell Utility Company Inc	9/25/07	
137	GAINESVILLE, CITY OF, FL	10/16/07	
138	Alabama, University of	4/16/07	
139	CORAL SPRINGS, CITY OF, FL	8/6/07	
140	PALM BEACH, TOWN OF, FL	10/25/07	
141	University of West Florida	9/4/07	
142	OGLETHORPE POWER CORP	8/24/07	
143	ARC TRANSIT INC	12/15/06	
144	Mississippi DOT	3/27/07	
145	MECKLENBURG, COUNTY OF, NC	8/13/07	
146	VoiceLink Communications, Inc.	9/7/07	
147	NORTH CAROLINA, STATE OF	3/23/07	
148	BRUNS & MC KENNA 2 INC	7/12/07	
149	HARDRIVES OF DELRAY INC	4/6/07	
150	MIAMI SHORES, VILLAGE OF, FL	9/12/07	
151	COLUMBUS, CITY OF, MS	7/23/07	
152	GREATER ORLANDO AVIATION AUTHORITY, FL	2/27/08	
153	TERREBONNE, PARISH OF, LA	8/15/07	
154	WHITE MOUNTAIN COMMUNICATIONS INC	11/16/07	
155	JACKSON INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT AUTHORITY	2/11/08	
	Subtotal FRA count for Wave 3		155
Wave 4			
1	Keller, Mike L.	4/27/06	
2	Johnson, Harold L #3	3/27/06	
3	Hopkins, Terry (800 Rebanding)	6/6/06	
4	J.R. Simplot Company	12/23/05	
5	Josh Klassen Inc.	6/1/06	
6	Rehoboth Mckinley Christian Hospital	12/6/06	
7	Texas Communications Inc.	2/5/07	
8	Wulf, Barbara J # 2 (swap)	6/2/06	
9	Kronenfeld, Mark	7/12/06	
10	Kronenfeld, Kurt #2 (Swap)	7/25/06	
11	Pagel Partnership	3/20/07	
12	Sims, Vicki	9/15/06	
13	Kuhn, Dale F:Kuhn, Trenton DBA Kuhns Network	9/19/06	
14	Rizzo, Alice P.	11/9/06	
15	Middlesex, Township of, PA	9/20/06	
16	Schatzlein, David A. #2	8/1/06	
17	Gerhard, Stephen	5/23/07	
18	Bumgarner, Jeffrey W	5/23/07	
19	Geter, Kim:Geter, Regina	10/17/07	
20	Chingas, Joseph	9/18/06	

Appendix 8
Summary of Stage 1 FRAs that have Closed, as of March 31, 2008

Stage 1 Completed FRAs*			
	Deal Name	TA Completion Certification Receipt Date	Deal Count
21	Waste Management Holdings, Inc.	2/9/07	
22	Skitronics EA Swap	11/16/07	
23	Batelle Memorial Institute Inc, WA	1/2/07	
24	Aksala Electronics Inc.	2/21/07	
25	Abilene, City of, TX	6/14/07	
26	TUCKER, ALAN	8/13/07	
27	NEW PENN MOTOR EXPRESS	3/27/07	
28	SOUTHWEST WASHINGTON MEDICAL CENTER	3/27/07	
29	B&M Enterprises	5/14/07	
30	PETRIE, GLENN	5/17/07	
31	BLASDELL, JAMES A	3/5/07	
32	Brock, Harold:Samuel:Dorothy	5/14/07	
33	SAMNADDA, TERRY	5/14/07	
34	GLEN J PETERSON AND SHIRLEY L PETERSON	4/12/07	
35	ELLIS COMPANY PARTNERSHIP	8/13/07	
36	ABRAHAM, KYE A	3/9/07	
37	BRAUCHLE, JACK W	2/27/07	
38	RON MIEDEMA CEMENT CONTRACTOR INC	4/30/07	
39	STELLER, JO ANN	4/12/07	
40	WELLMON, STEVE	4/30/07	
41	SMITH, JOY L	5/25/07	
42	HAMILTON, COUNTY OF, OH	8/13/07	
43	SPRAGUE, JO A	8/6/07	
44	KARY, LAVERNE E:KARY, EMIL J DBA KARY GRO	9/14/07	
45	WYCKOFF FARMS INC	3/21/08	
46	New York, State of - State Police/Albany Police	8/13/07	
47	HAMILTON, CITY OF, OH	1/9/08	
48	ARROW INDUSTRIES CORP	7/10/07	
49	Capital Digitronics, Inc.	8/28/07	
50	SOMERSET, COUNTY OF, PA	3/10/08	
51	GRAND TRAVERSE, COUNTY OF, MI	11/21/07	
52	Specialty Corporation--Gill	1/11/08	
53	PENN HILS, MUNICIPALITY OF, PA	3/25/08	
54	OTTAWA, COUNTY OF, MI	12/3/07	
55	HUMBLE, GARY:LEEPER, DEBRA	12/7/07	
	Subtotal FRA count for Wave 4		55
	Total Completed FRAs		652

* "Completed" means that the TA has reviewed and certified the reconfiguration as complete, pending any results of the TA's post-close review rights or external audits.

Appendix 8

Summary of Stage 1 FRAs that are Pending TA Completion Certification Review, as of March 31, 2008

Stage 1 FRAs Pending TA Completion Certification Review			
	Deal Name	TA Completion Certification Receipt Date	Deal Count
Wave 1			
1	Peltzer Farm Management, Inc.	3/31/2008	
2	Myers Brothers Inc	10/23/2007	
3	Peco Energy Company	2/1/2008	
	Subtotal FRA count for Wave 1		3
Wave 2			
1	Fayette, County of	9/13/2007	
	Subtotal FRA count for Wave 2		1
Wave 3			
1	Florida, State of - State Attorney	3/21/08	
2	Mountain Park, City of, GA	2/26/07	
3	DAPHNE, CITY OF, AL	3/11/08	
4	TIFT, COUNTY OF, GA	3/13/08	
	Subtotal FRA count for Wave 3		4
Wave 4			
1	P & R Spectrum Resources, Inc.	3/10/08	
	Subtotal FRA count for Wave 4		1
Total FRAs Pending TA Completion Certification Review			9

Appendix 8
Summary of Stage 1 PFAs that have Closed, as of March 31, 2008

Stage 1 Completed PFAs*				
	Deal Name	TA Completion Certification Receipt Date	Deal Count	
Wave 1				
1	Illinois Power Company	7/12/2007		
2	Roanoke, County of (VA)	12/15/2006		
3	Chesterfield County, VA	1/15/2008		
	Subtotal PFA count for Wave 1		3	
Wave 2				
1	Total Petrochemicals, USA, Inc.	12/1/2006		
	Subtotal PFA count for Wave 2		1	
Wave 3				
1	LAMAR, COUNTY OF, MS	9/28/2007		
2	HOUSTON, COUNTY OF, GA	10/15/2007		
	Subtotal PFA count for Wave 3		2	
Wave 4				
1	SOMERSET, COUNTY OF, PA	1/24/2008		
2	SLIDELL, CITY OF, LA	1/18/2008		
	Subtotal PFA count for Wave 4		2	
Total Completed PFAs				8

* "Completed" means that the TA has reviewed and certified the PFA as complete, pending any results of the TA's post-close review rights or external audits.

Appendix 8
Summary of Stage 2 FRAs that have Closed, as of March 31, 2008

Stage 2 Completed FRAs*			
	Deal Name	TA Completion Certification Receipt Date	Deal Count
Wave 1			
1	Milwaukee Area Tech College	9/15/06	
2	Poughkeepsie, Town Of, NY	8/6/07	
3	Bluffton, City Of, IN PH II	1/17/07	
4	Pendleton, Town Of, IN PH II	1/17/07	
5	Bloomington, City Of	3/15/07	
6	New Albany, City Of	10/2/06	
7	ADAMS, COUNTY OF, PA PH II	7/10/07	
8	WALWORTH, COUNTY OF, WI PH II	7/2/07	
9	ELWOOD, CITY OF, IN PH II	5/17/07	
10	WILMINGTON, CITY OF, DE PH II	3/15/07	
11	SOUTHWEST CENTRAL DISPATCH, IL PH II	1/25/08	
12	WISCONSIN CENTER DISTRICT, WI PH II	8/13/07	
13	RIVERSIDE REGIONAL JAIL AUTHORITY, VA PH II	2/19/08	
14	BRISTOL, CITY OF, CT PH II	9/12/07	
15	BLOOMINGTON, CITY OF, MN PH II	9/28/07	
	Subtotal FRA count for Wave 1		15
Wave 2			
1	Lexington Fayette Urban County Government	1/3/07	
2	Louisville, City of, KY	3/20/07	
3	JONESBORO, CITY OF, AR PH II	7/31/07	
4	MOUNTAIN HOME, CITY OF, AR PH II	7/25/07	
5	LINN, COUNTY OF, IA PH II	10/16/07	
6	BENTON, COUNTY OF, MN PH II	1/18/08	
7	Sebastian, County of, AR PH II	3/11/08	
8	MADISONVILLE, CITY OF, KY PH II	7/2/07	
9	MOWER, COUNTY OF, MN PH II	12/10/07	
	Subtotal FRA count for Wave 2		9
Wave 3			
1	HATTIESBURG, CITY OF, MS	7/23/07	
2	WASHINGTON, COUNTY OF, MS PH II	1/14/08	
3	Crisp County School System, GA	9/4/07	
4	PICKENS, COUNTY OF, SC PH II	3/20/08	
5	GRANVILLE, COUNTY OF, NC PH II	3/10/08	
	Subtotal FRA count for Wave 3		5
Wave 4			
1	VANCOUVER HOUSING AUTHORITY, WA PH II	1/25/08	
	Subtotal FRA count for Wave 4		1
Total Completed FRAs			30

* "Completed" means that the TA has reviewed and certified the reconfiguration as complete, pending any results of the TA's post-close review rights or external audits.

Appendix 8
Summary of Stage 2 PFAs that have Closed, as of March 31, 2008

Stage 2 Completed PFAs*			
	Deal Name	TA Completion Certification Receipt Date	Deal Count
Wave 1			
1	Monterey, County of (CA)	5/11/07	
2	VACAVILLE, CITY OF, CA PH II	1/14/08	
3	Colorado, State of PH II	3/10/08	
4	ALLEN, COUNTY OF, IN PH II	3/12/08	
5	ROCK, COUNTY OF, WI PH II	6/11/07	
6	SHEBOYGAN, COUNTY OF, WI PH II	3/20/08	
	Subtotal PFA count for Wave 1		6
Wave 2			
1	DYERSBURG, CITY OF, TN PH II	7/30/2007	
	Subtotal PFA count for Wave 2		1
Wave 3			
1	EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT DISTRICT, MS	3/11/2008	
2	JACKSONVILLE BEACH, CITY OF, FL PH II	3/21/2008	
	Subtotal PFA count for Wave 3		2
Wave 4			
1	WESTERN MICHIGAN UNIVERSITY, MI PH II	3/21/2008	
	Subtotal PFA count for Wave 4		1
Total Completed PFAs			10

* "Completed" means that the TA has reviewed and certified the PFA as complete, pending any results of the TA's post-close review rights or external audits.

Appendix 8
Summary of Stage 2 PFAs Pending TA Completion Certification Review, as of March 31, 2008

Stage 2 PFAs Pending TA Completion Certification Review			
	Deal Name	TA Completion Certification Receipt Date	Deal Count
Wave 1			
1	EAGLE, COUNTY OF, CO PH II	3/20/2008	
	Subtotal PFA count for Wave 1		1
Wave 2			
1	Middle TN State Univ PH II	3/27/2008	
2	CARROLLTON, CITY OF, TX PH II	3/26/2008	
	Subtotal PFA count for Wave 2		2
Wave 3			
	Subtotal PFA count for Wave 3		0
Wave 4			
	Subtotal PFA count for Wave 4		0
Total PFAs Pending TA Completion Certification Review			3

Appendix 9
Stakeholder Outreach Activities:
Meeting and Conference Attendance by TA Representatives
For Quarter Ended March 31, 2008 and Quarter Ending June 30, 2008

January 2008:

South Florida Interoperability Meeting on January 10
CPRA Southern California APCO Meeting on January 17
Wisconsin APCO Regional Planning Meeting on January 22
Louisiana SIEC Interoperability Meeting on January 23
Florida Region V DSTF Meeting on January 27
APCO 2008 Winter Summit on January 28-30
Florida Status Meeting at APCO Summit on January 29
Region 10 - Georgia Interoperability Planning Session on January 30
Florida Domestic Security Task Force on January 31

February 2008:

NPSTC Meeting on February 11-13
CCCN Meeting-Centennial, CO on February 13
Colorado APCO Chapter Meeting on February 15
Rocky Mountain EDACS Users Group on February 19
Florida Region VII DSTF Meeting on February 21
Florida Region V DSTF Rebanding Meeting on February 22
IWCE Conference on February 27-29
Florida Region V DSTF Monthly Meeting on February 28

March 2008:

Region 10 - Georgia MTUG and APCO Regional Meeting on March 5
Region 40 - Dallas Implementation Planning Session on March 5
Region 49 - Austin Implementation Planning Session on March 7
Florida Region V Domestic Security Task Force Meeting on March 14
Riverside County Interoperability Forum on March 18
Region 28 - New Jersey Implementation Planning Session on March 19
Oregon APCO Chapter Meeting on March 19-21
CPRA Southern California APCO Meeting on March 20
City and County of San Diego Meeting on March 24
APCO Western Regional Meeting on March 30 - April 2

Events for Second Quarter of 2008:

Arizona MTUG Meeting on April 17
APCO Northern California Chapter Meeting on April 18
Texas APCO Conference on April 27-30
Public Safety Communications Summit on April 28-29
Louisiana APCO Conference on April 29
Florida APCO Conference on May 4-10
California Statewide Training Conference by CPRA on May 6-8
Florida Region 9 Meetings on May 8
Region 51 - Houston Implementation Planning Session on May 14
Region 10 - Georgia Implementation Planning Session in June (TBD)

Appendix 10
800 MHz Transition Administrator, LLC
Fees and Expenses through March 31, 2008

	Quarter Ending March 31, 2008	Year-to-Date
Fees:		
Public Safety Outreach*	\$1,754,573	\$1,754,573
Reconfiguration Management	\$1,221,893	\$1,221,893
Frequency Management**	\$616,558	\$616,558
Financial Management	\$905,551	\$905,551
General Counsel/Regulatory Management	\$1,658,624	\$1,658,624
Stakeholder Relationship Management	\$905,869	\$905,869
TA Systems Support	\$455,581	\$455,581
Program Management Support	\$552,671	\$552,671
Alternative Dispute Resolution	\$1,441,066	\$1,441,066
<i>Fees Subtotal</i>	\$9,512,385	\$9,512,385
Expenses	\$185,390	\$185,390
Total Fees and Expenses	\$9,697,775	\$9,697,775

* Prior to the quarter ended June 30, 2006, Public Safety Outreach fees were reported under Reconfiguration Management.

** During the quarters ended December 31, 2004 and March 31, 2005, all Frequency Management fees were reported under Reconfiguration Management.